	Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Fil	ed 08/03/22 Page 1 of 106
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>RACHEL S. BRASS, SBN 219301 rbrass@gibsondunn.com</li> <li>GIBSON, DUNN &amp; CRUTCHER LLP</li> <li>555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, California 94105-0921 Telephone: 415.393.8200</li> <li>Facsimile: 415.393.8306</li> <li>ROBERT C. WALTERS, pro hac vice forthcoming rwalters@gibsondunn.com</li> <li>GIBSON, DUNN &amp; CRUTCHER LLP</li> <li>2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 2100</li> <li>Dallas, Texas 75201-2911</li> <li>Telephone: 214.698.3100</li> <li>JOHN B. QUINN, SBN 90378 johnquinn@quinnemanuel.com</li> <li>QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &amp; SULLIVAN LLP</li> <li>865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017</li> <li>Telephone: 213.443.3000</li> <li>ROBERT P. FELDMAN, SBN 69602 bobfeldman@quinnemanuel.com</li> <li>555 Twin Dolphin Dr., 5th Floor Redwood Shores, California 94065</li> <li>Telephone: 650.801.5000</li> <li>Facsimile: 650.801.5100</li> <li>Attorneys for Plaintiffs Talor Gooch, Hudson Swafford, Matt Jones, Bryson DeChambeau, Abraham Ancer, Carlos Ortiz, Ian Poulter, Pat Perez, Jason Kokrak and Peter Uihlein</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>WILLIAM V. ROPPOLO, pro hac vice forthcoming william.ropplo@bakermckenzie.com</li> <li>BAKER McKENZIE LLP</li> <li>1111 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1700</li> <li>Miami, Florida 33131 USA</li> <li>Telephone: 305.789.8900</li> <li>Attorneys for Phil Mickelson</li> <li>(additional counsel on signature page)</li> </ul>
18 19 20	IN THE UNITED STAT FOR THE NORTHERN DIS SAN FRANCIS PHIL MICKELSON, TALOR GOOCH,	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
21		COMPLAINT
22		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
23	UIHLEIN, Plaintiffs,	
24	v.	
25 26	V. PGA TOUR, INC.,	
26 27	Defendant.	
27 28		
20		
	COMPLAINT – DEMAI CASE NO. 3:	

With knowledge as to their own conduct and on information and belief as to all other matters, Plaintiffs Phil Mickelson, Talor Gooch, Hudson Swafford, Matt Jones, Bryson DeChambeau, Abraham Ancer, Carlos Ortiz, Ian Poulter, Pat Perez, Jason Kokrak, and Peter Uihlein allege:

#### INTRODUCTION

1. The PGA Tour, Inc. (sometimes "the Tour") began when Jack Nicklaus, Arnold Palmer, and other elite golfers in the 1960s determined the PGA of America was not compensating them their market value and they split off the Players Tournament Division and formed the Tour, a tax-exempt entity organized ostensibly to "promote the common interests of professional tournament golfers." From that seemingly laudable origin, the Tour has evolved into an entrenched monopolist with a vice-grip on professional golf. As the Tour's monopoly power has grown, it has employed its dominance to craft an arsenal of anticompetitive restraints to protect its long-standing monopoly. Now, threatened by the entry of LIV Golf, Inc. ("LIV Golf"), and diametrically opposed to its founding mission, the Tour has ventured to harm the careers and livelihoods of any golfers, including Plaintiffs Phil Mickelson, Talor Gooch, Hudson Swafford, Matt Jones, Bryson DeChambeau, Abraham Ancer, Carlos Ortiz, Ian Poulter, Pat Perez, Jason Kokrak, and Peter Uihlein ("Plaintiffs"), who have the temerity to defy the Tour and play in tournaments sponsored by the new entrant. The Tour has done so in an intentional and relentless effort to crush nascent competition before it threatens the Tour's monopoly.

2. Before LIV Golf's entry, golfers who sold their services in the elite professional golf services market had no meaningful option but to play on the Tour if they wanted to pursue their profession at the highest levels. This provided the Tour with enormous power over the players, including the ability to force players into restrictive terms that foreclose them from playing in competing events and the ability to suppress player compensation below competitive levels. Members of the Tour receive a substantially lower percentage of the Tour's revenues than professional athletes in other major sports, even though the Tour is a tax-exempt non-profit corporation and other major sports leagues are for-profit enterprises. This control has also given the Tour the power to impose restrictions on players—who are independent contractors but are denied independence by the Tour—that make it risky and costly for players to affiliate with another promoter and prohibitively difficult for any would-be entrant to challenge the Tour's monopoly. And, in its response to LIV Golf's

# Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 3 of 106

competitive challenge, the Tour has exercised this power by punishing the players to choke off the supply of elite professional golfers—an essential input to LIV Golf's competitive challenge—and cement its dominance over the sport. The Tour's monopoly power has also allowed it to preside over the demise of golf itself, by its failure to innovate and broaden the game's appeal and bring the game into the 21st century.

6 3. As part of its carefully orchestrated plan to defeat competition, the Tour has threatened 7 lifetime bans on players who play in even a single LIV Golf event. It has backed up these threats by 8 imposing unprecedented suspensions on players (including the Plaintiffs) that threaten irreparable harm 9 to the players and their ability to pursue their profession. It has threatened sponsors, vendors, and 10 agents to coerce players to abandon opportunities to play in LIV Golf events. And it has orchestrated a per se unlawful group boycott with the European Tour<sup>1</sup> to deny LIV Golf access to their members. 11 12 The PGA Tour also has leaned on other entities in the so-called golf "ecosystem," including certain 13 entities that put on golf's "Majors," to do its bidding in its effort to maximize the threats and harm to 14 any golfer who defies the Tour's monopsonistic requirements and plays in LIV Golf events.

15 4. The Tour's unlawful strategy has been both harmful to the players and successful in 16 threatening LIV Golf's otherwise-promising launch. For example, the Tour's conduct caused LIV Golf 17 to cancel its 2022 business plan to launch its full competing League. LIV Golf was not deterred, 18 however, and it changed its 2022 strategy and launched a smaller version of its concept-the LIV Golf 19 Invitational Series—with no League, no franchises, no broadcast deal, less players, and fewer 20 tournaments. Players (including Plaintiffs) were interested nonetheless. So, in response, the Tour ratcheted up its strategy and doubled-down on its efforts to punish Plaintiffs and to protect its 21 22 monopoly. The Tour flexed its incumbent monopolistic power, including by (1) enforcing its unlawful 23 player restrictions that deny players (including Plaintiffs) the ability to sell their services to others, (2) 24 imposing lengthy suspensions on players for merely exercising their right as independent contractors 25 to play in a competing promoter's events, and (3) ramping up its threats targeting Plaintiffs and others.

26 27

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The European Tour recently changed its name to DP World Tour, but, because it was called European Tour for most of the time period relevant to this case and in most of the relevant documents, it is referred to herein as the European Tour for consistency and clarity.

## Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 4 of 106

- 5. The Tour's conduct serves no purpose other than to cause harm to players and foreclose 1 2 the entry of the first meaningful competitive threat the Tour has faced in decades. Banning Plaintiffs 3 and other top professional golfers from its own events degrades the Tour's strength of field and diminishes the quality of the product that it offers to golf fans by depriving them from seeing many top 4 5 golfers participate in Tour events. The only conceivable benefit to the Tour from degrading its own 6 product in this manner is the destruction of competition. Indeed, the Tour has conceded its nakedly 7 anticompetitive purpose in attacking and injuring the players. When the Tour adjusted its rules to 8 render them more effective in defeating competitive entry, a memorandum authored by PGA Tour 9 Commissioner Jay Monahan made clear that the rule change was expressly designed to enable the Tour 10 to foreclose competition. And when the Tour imposed unprecedented punishments on the players for playing in LIV Golf events, the Tour explained to the players that it was doing so precisely because 11 12 LIV Golf is attempting to compete with the Tour.
- 13 6. Plaintiffs have devoted the bulk of their professional careers to growing the PGA Tour. 14 Yet the Tour has repaid them of late with suspensions, punishments, threats, and disparagement for 15 merely playing professional golf for another promoter and embracing competition for their services. 16 The Tour has denied them income-earning opportunities, attacked their goodwill and reputation, 17 interfered with their businesses, attacked their business partners, threatened them with egregious 18 punishment-including threats to deny them from participating in golf's marquee events, even when 19 they have earned placement or exemptions to participate in those tournaments-and unlawfully 20 prevented them from exercising their independent contractor rights. And, at every step, the Tour has 21 repeatedly admitted that it has done this to destroy nascent competition.
- 7. The Tour long stood alone as the only tour anywhere in the world that features the best
  golfers in the world. The PGA Tour Commissioner Jay Monahan boasted on June 22, 2022 that the
  "Tour is doing everything it possibly can . . . [to] mak[e] certain that the best players in the world are
  competing on the best Tour in the world, the PGA Tour." The Tour has ensured that remains the case
  through its anticompetitive PGA Tour Player Regulations. First, the Tour's Conflicting Events
  Regulation prohibits its members from participating "in any other golf tournament or event" in North
  America, without exception, if a Tour-sanctioned event is scheduled in the same week, regardless of

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 5 of 106

whether the players participate in the Tour's sanctioned event. The Tour has a sanctioned event almost 1 2 every week of the year, hence the Conflicting Event Regulation effectively prohibits Tour members 3 from playing in any non-Tour golf event in North America. The effect is both a naked restraint on 4 competition and a reduction in output, as Tour members are foreclosed from playing anywhere else 5 when they are not playing in Tour events. For international tours or events, a player may request up to 6 three exemptions a year, but, critically, the Tour Commissioner has complete discretion whether to 7 grant these exemptions, something he has refused to do for each of the LIV Golf events. The 8 Conflicting Events Regulation thus invests the leader of the incumbent monopolist with unbridled 9 discretion to foreclose players from participating in any competing events. And while the Tour has 10 historically granted releases to players that allow them to compete in other events throughout the world, 11 Tour Commissioner Monahan has taken a different stance regarding LIV Golf, denying event releases 12 even for LIV Golf events overseas. As Commissioner Monahan has confessed, he has departed from 13 past practice in prohibiting members from participating in LIV Golf events outside North America 14 because LIV Golf plans to compete with the Tour. And he has enforced the Conflicting Events 15 Regulation to deny players permission to participate in LIV Golf events in North America because LIV 16 Golf's North American events compete with the Tour.

17 8. Second, the Tour maintains that its Media Rights Regulation provides an additional 18 means of foreclosing players from participating in competing events. This regulation prohibits any 19 members from appearing in any "golf program" ("any golf contest, exhibition or play") that takes place 20 "anywhere in the world" and is shown on any media of any type. Because it is fundamental for any 21 organizer of elite-level professional golf tournaments to broadcast the tournament on television and 22 other media, the Tour contends this provision acts as a blanket prohibition on PGA Tour members 23 participating in any golf event throughout the world, even when players are not playing in Tour events. 24 This broad prohibition is no accident, as the PGA Tour specifically broadened this provision to prevent competitive entry of leagues such as LIV Golf. The provision serves no procompetitive purpose nor 25 benefits consumers, but rather simply restricts output and foreclose competition, as it prevents all Tour 26 27 members from playing golf, even casually, if it is recorded for distribution over any media anywhere 28 in the world during weeks when they are not participating in PGA Tour events.

# COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

Z

## Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 6 of 106

In short, these regulations—the Media Rights Regulation and the Conflicting Event
 Regulation—foreclose the players, who are independent contractors, from participating in any golf
 event that the PGA Tour deems to be a competitive threat. These provisions limit output by keeping
 golfers on the sidelines when not playing on the Tour. And these provisions, in turn, foreclose
 competition and entrench the PGA Tour's monopoly power.
 It is no secret that the PGA Tour is targeting players in order to defeat the threat of

10. It is no secret that the PGA Tour is targeting players in order to defeat the threat of
competitive entry. The PGA Tour has been clear since the threat of competitive entry emerged that its
most powerful weapon to defeat competition is to target its members—who comprise virtually all of
the elite professional golfers in the world—to prevent them from playing on a competing tour. For
example, PGA Tour Commissioner Monahan wrote in a January 2020 strategy memorandum that the
best way to prevent a competitor from emerging is to prevent PGA Tour members (including Plaintiffs)
from supporting the new promoter:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The impact that [the new league] could have on the PGA TOUR is dependent on the level of support it may receive from these players. Without this support, [the new league's] ability to attract media and corporate partners will be significantly marginalized and its impact on the TOUR diminished.

At its core, the point is obvious: A nascent golf league without the golfers necessary to put on elite events is no threat at all. Deprive the new league of access to virtually all of the top golfers in the world, and it will pose no challenge to the Tour's dominance.

11. Accordingly, the Tour set out to destroy competition in its infancy by doing everything in its power to lock up its members (including Plaintiffs) and deny them the opportunity for true sustained competition for their services. The Tour's conduct has included at least six practices, each of which is patently exclusionary, anticompetitive and unlawful under the Sherman Act:

a. The Tour has repeatedly threatened its members (including Plaintiffs) with devasting consequences if they join LIV Golf. For example, on multiple occasions, the Tour threatened a *lifetime ban* for any player who joins or participates in LIV Golf. Then, in June and July 2022, the Tour imposed a *career-threatening ban* on Plaintiffs (and others) for playing in LIV Golf events. For other golfers who resigned their Tour membership because they did not want to be subject to the

5 COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Tour's draconian punishments, the Tour responded by actually imposing a *lifetime ban*.

- b. The Tour amended and expanded its Media Rights and Conflicting Events Regulations in response to the threat of competitive entry. And it then enforced these unlawful provisions to foreclose members from participating in LIV Golf events.
- The Tour orchestrated a group boycott with the European Tour to ensure that any c. golfer who considers defying the Tour's threats by playing in any LIV Golf events (including Plaintiffs) cannot pursue his career and livelihood anywhere in the global golf "ecosystem." The Tour's agreement is clearly established through the statements of its partners. For example, during a meeting in Malta in July 2021, representatives of the entity that sponsored LIV Golf met with the CEO and other representatives of the European Tour to seek a partnership with the European Tour in launching the new league. The minutes from that meeting prepared by the European Tour's title sponsor state that the CEO of the European Tour, Mr. Keith Pelley, "Confirmed new series appeal and fit, however, stated main issue is US PGA mighty power and need to avoid a collision course between ET [European Tour] and PGA." Under pressure from the "mighty power" of the PGA Tour, the European Tour agreed to boycott and rejected the opportunity to partner with the new entrant, and instead strengthened its strategic alliance with the PGA Tour. As part of this illegal partnership, the PGA Tour pressured the European Tour to amend its Regulations to restrict European Tour golfers from playing in LIV Golf events, and it pressured the European Tour to punish its members who played in LIV Golf events with ~\$125,000 fines and suspension from any tournaments the PGA Tour and the European Tour co-sanction. The European Tour agreed to all of the PGA Tour's demands to implement the group boycott.
  - d. Similarly, the PGA Tour has encouraged the PGA of America (a separate entity) to threaten to disallow LIV Golf players from playing both in the Major tournament it

1	sponsors (the PGA Championship) and the Ryder Cup, one of golf's marquee
2	events. And it has leaned on other golfing entities to do its bidding. The Tour leaned
3	on Augusta National to pressure golfers against joining LIV Golf. The Tour has
4	also leaned on the Royal & Ancient ("R&A") (sponsor of The Open) to publicly
5	question whether LIV Golf players could play in their respective tournaments. And
6	the Tour has leaned on the Official World Golf Ranking ("OWGR") to call into
7	question whether LIV Golf tournaments would be eligible for OWGR ranking
8	points. This conduct obviously serves no beneficial purpose, but rather serves to
9	harm the careers of the players (including Plaintiffs) who play in LIV Golf events,
10	and to deter other players from joining LIV Golf to avoid career destruction at the
11	hands of the Tour,
12	e. At various points, the Tour has threatened Tour members' agents and business
13	partners with punishment if the players joined LIV Golf. For example, in June and
14	July 2022, the Tour threatened some Plaintiffs' representatives at GSE Worldwide
15	Management they would lose their credentials to represent any Tour members
16	because several GSE Worldwide Management golfers had elected to join LIV Golf
17	over the Tour's threats.
18	f. The Tour has also threatened sponsors that they must sever their relationships with
19	players who join LIV Golf, or be cut off from having any sponsorship opportunities
20	with the PGA Tour. Based on these threats, several sponsors have cut ties with
21	players who have joined LIV Golf (including the Plaintiffs), sometimes ending
22	years-long relationships. The Tour has also intimidated sponsors and vendors into
23	not doing business with LIV Golf, lest they lose the opportunity to do business with
24	the dominant golf tour in North America, the PGA Tour.
25	12. These restraints have proved devastatingly effective. They have harmed Plaintiffs by:
26	(1) diminishing competition for their services and reinforcing the Tour's monopsony power in which
27	the Plaintiffs sell those services; (2) denying them income-earning opportunities, tournament
28	performance opportunities (including denying them opportunities to participate in tournaments in
	7
	COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
	CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 9 of 106

1 which they have qualified), sponsorship revenue, and independent contractor rights; and (3) harming 2 their reputations, goodwill, and brands. These restraints have likewise proved effective at harming 3 competition in the relevant market by preventing other players from joining LIV Golf who would have 4 joined the new league but for these competitive restraints, thus threatening the competitive viability of 5 LIV Golf and any other potential competitor by protecting the PGA Tour's monopsony power over the 6 purchase of services from professional golfers to participate in elite golf events. The restraints have 7 also threatened LIV Golf's competitive viability.

8

Yesterday, the Tour confirmed monopsony power, anticompetitive intent and the Tour's 13. 9 disregard for the law and this Court's jurisdiction. Tour representative Davis Love III said, "We hold all the cards. We say to the FTC and to Washington, 'No, we support the rules. We don't want those 10 guys playing. We don't care what the courts say.' The nuclear option is to say 'Fine, if they have to 11 12 play in our events we just won't play." The Tour is not above the law.

13 14. Without fair process, PGA Tour Commissioner Jay Monahan—who is necessarily 14 partial-imposed a 21-month Tour suspension on some Plaintiffs, through March 31, 2024 (other 15 Plaintiffs' suspensions are indefinite or 9 months as of this Complaint), for exercising their independent 16 contractor rights to play in the first two LIV Golf events. After imposing his biased suspensions, the 17 Tour followed its procedurally and substantively unconscionable appeals process to maintain the 18 suspension without giving Plaintiffs fair proceedings to be heard by neutral and independent decision-19 makers. Plaintiffs Gooch, Swafford and Jones (among other Plaintiffs) had earned the right to play in 20 the FedEx Cup Playoffs (a series of lucrative and high-profile events scheduled at the end of the PGA Tour's 2022 season) through strong performance and dedication to the Tour, but the Tour has banned 21 22 them from playing in those tournaments, diminishing the strength of its own fields and harming these 23 Plaintiffs. The injury to these players extends beyond mere foreclosure from these tournaments (itself 24 a substantial and irreparable injury), but also cripples their chances of qualifying for both the Majors 25 and the Tour's premier invitationals in future seasons. The punishment that would accrue to these players from not being able to play in the FedEx Cup Playoffs is substantial and irreparable, and a 26 27 temporary restraining order is needed to prevent the irreparable harm that would ensue were they not 28 to be able to participate.

## Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 10 of 106

15. Without injunctive relief prohibiting the PGA Tour's anticompetitive conduct, Plaintiffs 1 2 will be irreparably harmed, including by the Tour's unlawful suspensions that have denied and will 3 continue to deny them income earning opportunities, tournament performance opportunities, 4 sponsorship revenue, and independent contractor rights that they have earned, as well as by the actions 5 of the Tour and the European Tour that deny them the opportunity to participate in events sponsored by others throughout the golf "ecosystem." Furthermore, the Plaintiffs will be irreparably harmed if 6 7 LIV Golf's entry into the market for players' services is thwarted by the PGA Tour's anticompetitive 8 conduct. As sellers into this market who have had their earnings suppressed for many years under the 9 PGA Tour's monopsony, the possibility of competitive entry in this market promises great benefits to 10 the Plaintiffs and other tournament professional golfers. But if this once-in-a-generation opportunity for competitive entry is denied, Plaintiffs and other sellers in the market will be unfairly and unlawfully 11 12 denied the benefits of competition for their services, creating irreparable injury. On the other hand, 13 with an injunction, the anticompetitive conduct of the PGA Tour will be lifted, and Plaintiffs and other 14 professional tournament golfers will enjoy the benefits of competition for their services that the 15 antitrust and other laws protect.

16

#### PARTIES

17 16. Plaintiff Phil Mickelson is a Hall of Fame American professional golfer who resides in 18 San Diego, California. Mr. Mickelson was a three-time NCAA Champion at Arizona State University. 19 In 1991, he won the Northern Telecom Open, which was the last time an amateur won a tournament 20 on the PGA Tour. He is a 30-year veteran of the PGA Tour who has won 57 worldwide professional events, including six Majors—the most recent in 2021, which earned him the title of the oldest Major 21 22 winner in the game's history. He spent over 26 consecutive years in the top 50 of the Official World 23 Golf Ranking (the only player in the history of the sport to ever do so), including over 700 weeks 24 ranked in the top 10 in the world. Mr. Mickelson has represented the United States as a professional 25 golfer in 24 team tournaments, which includes 12 Presidents Cups and 12 Ryder Cups, both American records. He participated as a vice captain in additional United States team tournaments, and played in 26 27 the Dunhill Cup, World Amateur Team Championship and two Walker Cups for the United States as 28 an amateur. Mr. Mickelson also has a strong commitment to giving back through the Phil and Amy

# COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

## Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 11 of 106

1

Mickelson Foundation. Since its inception in 2004, the Foundation has focused primarily on supporting 2 a variety of youth and family initiatives. He also founded Birdies for the Brave, the PGA Tour's 3 national military outreach initiative, which raises money for a variety of charities supporting veterans and military families. Mr. Mickelson dedicated his entire professional career, 30 years, to the PGA 4 5 Tour. He has hosted tournaments on the Tour and engaged in countless endeavors to advance the Tour, 6 its purpose, and the game of golf. Mr. Mickelson has invested in himself and his investment has 7 benefited the Tour's business tremendously over the last 30 years. As a lifetime member-a hard-8 earned accomplishment and honor, requiring 20 PGA Tour wins and 15 years of membership on the 9 Tour-Mr. Mickelson desires to continue being a member of the Tour and to play in events on the 10 Tour.

11 17. Plaintiff Talor Gooch is a 30-year-old professional golfer who resides in Texas. He is 12 a member of the Tour. Mr. Gooch played golf at Oklahoma State University until 2014 when he began 13 his professional career. He joined the PGA Tour Canada in 2015 and earned his way onto the Korn Ferry Tour in 2016. In 2017, Mr. Gooch won the News Sentinel Open (which later became the Visit 14 15 Knoxville Open on the Korn Ferry Tour) and then earned his way onto the PGA Tour in 2018. In 2021, he won his first PGA Tour tournament at the RSM Classic. Mr. Gooch was on top of the PGA Tour's 16 17 FedEx Cup Rankings for the 2021-22 season following the RSM Classic. Mr. Gooch has played in 18 over one hundred PGA Tour events. He is currently the 20th ranked golfer on the FedEx Cup rankings. 19 Mr. Gooch has played in 21 PGA Tour events this season and has qualified for the FedEx Cup Playoffs. 20 Mr. Gooch desires to continue to be a member of the Tour and to play in events on the Tour.

21 18. Plaintiff Hudson Swafford is a 34-year-old professional golfer who resides in Georgia. He is a member of the Tour. He started his professional golf career in 2011 after graduating with a 22 23 B.S. in Consumer Economics from the University of Georgia. Mr. Swafford joined the Nationwide 24 Tour in 2012, and, that same year, won the Stadion Classic at UGA, a golf tournament on the Web.com 25 Tour (which became known as the Korn Ferry Tour in 2019). In 2013, Mr. Swafford finished 21st in the Web.Com Tour Finals to earn his PGA Tour card for 2014. Mr. Swafford won his first PGA Tour 26 27 victory in 2017 at the CareerBuilder Challenge. In 2018, Mr. Swafford suffered a rib injury and then, 28 in 2019, Mr. Swafford had to undergo a surgery to remove a small bone from the bottom of his foot,

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 12 of 106

1

forcing him to miss four months of play. In September 2020, Mr. Swafford won his second PGA Tour 2 victory at the Corales Puntacana Resort and Club Championship. In 2022, Mr. Swafford earned his 3 third PGA Tour victory at the American Express. Since the start of his career, Mr. Swafford has played in over 250 Tour events. Mr. Swafford has played in 21 PGA Tour events this season, is currently 63rd 4 5 in the FedEx Cup rankings, and has qualified for the FedEx Cup Playoffs. Mr. Swafford desires to 6 continue to be a member of the Tour and to play in events on the Tour.

7 19. Plaintiff Matt Jones is a 42-year-old professional golfer who resides in Arizona. He is 8 a member of the Tour. He was born in Sydney, Australia, and upon meeting fellow Australian Greg 9 Norman at six years old became determined to become a professional golfer. Mr. Jones moved to the 10 United States to attend Arizona State University where he was a first-team All-American golfer. Mr. Jones joined the Nationwide Tour in 2004 and earned his PGA Tour card in 2008. In 2014, Mr. Jones 11 12 won the PGA Tour's Shell Houston Open. In 2015, and again in 2019, he won the Emirates Australian 13 Open on the PGA Tour of Australasia. In 2021, Mr. Jones won the PGA Tour Honda Classic. Mr. 14 Jones has played in over 350 Tour events. Mr. Jones has played in 20 PGA Tour events this season, is 15 currently ranked 62nd in the FedEx Cup rankings, and has qualified for the FedEx Cup Playoffs. Mr. Jones desires to continue to be a member of the Tour and to play in events on the Tour. 16

20. 17 Plaintiff Bryson DeChambeau is a 28-year-old professional golfer who resides in Texas. 18 He is a member of the Tour. Mr. DeChambeau grew up in California and played golf at Southern 19 Methodist University while majoring in physics. In 2015, Mr. DeChambeau became just the fifth 20 person to win both the NCAA individual championship and the U.S. Amateur title. He made his PGA 21 tour debut in 2015 at the FedEx St. Jude Classic. In 2015, while still an amateur, he was the runner-up 22 in the Australian Masters. He began his professional career in 2016 at the RBC Heritage event, 23 finishing fourth. That year, Mr. DeChambeau won the Korn Ferry DAP Championship, earning his Tour card. In 2017, Mr. DeChambeau won his first PGA Tour event at the John Deere Classic. In 24 25 2018, Mr. DeChambeau won the Memorial Tournament. He then won the first two FedEx Cup Playoff events at the Northern Trust and Dell Technologies Championship. Mr. DeChambeau was picked for 26 27 the U.S. team in the 2018 Ryder Cup. In 2019, Mr. DeChambeau won the Shriners Hospitals for 28 Children Open and the Omega Dubai Desert Classic. In 2020, Mr. DeChambeau won the Rocket

> COMPLAINT - DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 13 of 106

Mortgage Classic and won the U.S. Open, his first Major. In 2021, he won the Arnold Palmer Invitational and played on the winning U.S. team at the 2021 Ryder Cup. In 2022, Mr. DeChambeau underwent surgery on his left wrist from a fracture. Mr. DeChambeau desires to continue to be a member of the Tour and to play in events on the Tour.

21. Plaintiff Abraham Ancer is a 31-year-old professional golfer who resides in Texas. He is a member of the Tour. Mr. Ancer played golf at Odessa College and the University of Oklahoma until 2013 when he began his professional career. He played on the Web.com Tour until he earned his PGA Tour card for the 2016 season. He returned to the Web.com Tour in 2017 and secured his PGA Tour card again for the 2018 season. In 2018, he won the Australian Open and represented Mexico in the World Cup of Golf. In 2019, he qualified for the 2019 Presidents Cup International team. In 2021, Mr. Ancer finished second in the Wells Fargo Championship, finished 14th in the Tokyo Olympics, and won the FedEx St. Jude Classic. Mr. Ancer has played in over 140 PGA Tour events. He is currently the 84th ranked golfer on the FedEx Cup rankings. Mr. Ancer has played in 18 PGA Tour events this season. Mr. Ancer desires to continue to be a member of the Tour and to play in events on the Tour.

Plaintiff Carlos Ortiz is a 31-year-old professional golfer from Guadalajara, Mexico
who resides in Texas. He is a member of the Tour. Mr. Ortiz played college golf at the University of
North Texas. Mr. Ortiz won three tournaments on the Korn Ferry Tour in 2014. He earned his PGA
Tour card in 2015. He won the 2020 Houston Open on the Tour and participated in the 2020 Olympic
games. Mr. Ortiz has played in over 200 Tour events. He has played in 20 PGA Tour events this
season and is currently ranked 104th in the FedEx Cup rankings. Mr. Ortiz desires to continue to be a
member of the Tour and to play in events on the Tour.

23 23. Plaintiff Ian Poulter is a 46-year-old professional golfer who splits his residence
24 between Florida and England. He is a member of the Tour. He was born in England, and began playing
25 golf at just four years old before turning professional in 1994. Mr. Poulter won the 1999 Open de Côte
26 d'Ivoire on the Challenge Tour and was promoted to the European Tour. He was a member of the
27 victorious 2004 European Ryder Cup team and then joined the PGA Tour in 2005. In addition to his
28 many international victories, Mr. Poulter won the 2010 World Golf Championship-Accenture Match

#### COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Play Championship, the 2012 World Golf Championships-HSBC Champions, and the 2018 Houston Open. Mr. Poulter has played in over 300 Tour events. Mr. Poulter has played in 16 PGA Tour events this season and is currently ranked 166th in the FedEx Cup rankings. Mr. Poulter desires to continue to be a member of the Tour and to play in events on the Tour.

24. Plaintiff Pat Perez is a 46-year-old professional golfer. He is a member of the Tour. Mr. Perez was born in Arizona, attended Arizona State University and resides in Arizona. Mr. Perez has been on the PGA Tour for 20 years. He has won three PGA Tour events: the Bob Hope Classic, OHL Classic at Mayakoba and the CIMB Classic. Mr. Perez has played in over 500 Tour events. Mr. Perez played in 19 PGA Tour events this season, is currently ranked 127th in the FedEx Cup rankings, and just missed qualifying for the FedEx Cup Playoffs. Mr. Perez desires to continue to be a member of the Tour and to play in events on the Tour.

12 25. Plaintiff Jason Kokrak is a 37-year-old professional golfer. He is a member of the Tour. 13 Mr. Kokrak was born in Ontario, Canada, played professional golf at Xavier University and resides in 14 Ohio. Mr. Kokrak has won three times on the Tour, including the Houston Open in 2021, the Charles 15 Schwab at Colonial in 2021, and the CJ Cup in 2020. He also won twice on the Korn Ferry Tour prior to joining the PGA Tour. Since the start of his career, Mr. Kokrak has played in over 250 Tour events. 16 17 Mr. Kokrak has played in 19 PGA Tour events this season, is currently 43rd in the FedEx Cup rankings, 18 and has qualified for the FedEx Cup Playoffs. Mr. Kokrak desires to continue to be a member of the 19 Tour and to play in events on the Tour.

20 26. Plaintiff Peter Uihlein is a 32-year-old professional golfer. He is a member of the Korn Ferry Tour, which is owned and controlled by the Tour. Mr. Uihlein was born in New Bedford, 21 22 Massachusetts, played golf at Oklahoma State, and resides in Florida. Mr. Uihlein has two professional 23 victories on the Korn Ferry Tour-the Nationwide Children's Hospital Championship in 2017 and the MGM Resorts Championship in 2021. Mr. Uihlein has also won on the European Tour in 2013 at the 24 25 Madeira Islands Open. Mr. Uihlein has represented the United States in two Walker Cups (2009 and 2011) and won the 2010 Eisenhower Trophy. Mr. Uihlein won the 2010 U.S. Amateur Championship. 26 27 Mr. Uihlein currently ranks 59th on the Korn Ferry Tour regular season points list. Mr. Uihlein desires to be a member of the Tour and/or continue to be a member of the Korn Ferry Tour, and to play in 28

events on the Tour and the Korn Ferry Tour.

27. Defendant PGA Tour is a Maryland non-profit corporation, with its principal place of business in Ponte Vedra Beach, Florida. The PGA Tour sponsors a season-long series of golf tournaments throughout the calendar year called the PGA Tour. Those events occur primarily in the United States. In the 2021-22 PGA Tour season, the Tour sponsored events in twenty states, including six events in California. The Tour is engaged in interstate commerce.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

28. Plaintiffs' action arises under Sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1, 2. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief under 15 U.S.C. § 26 and damages under 15 U.S.C. § 15(a). This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the federal antitrust claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question) and 28 U.S.C. § 1337 (commerce and antitrust regulation); this Court has jurisdiction over the related state-law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (supplemental jurisdiction).

13 29. This Court may exercise personal jurisdiction over the PGA Tour under Section 12 of 14 the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 22. The PGA Tour manages or operates two golf courses (TPC Harding 15 Park and TPC Stonebrae) in this District and employs dozens of individuals who work there. It organizes and promotes annually at least six golf tournaments throughout California (Fortinet 16 17 Championship, The American Express, Farmers Insurance Open, AT&T Pebble Beach Open, The 18 Genesis Invitational, and the Barracuda Championship), two of which are within the Northern District 19 of California. California hosts more PGA Tour golf tournaments than any other state. The Tour issued 20 the first of its known anticompetitive threats to Plaintiffs and other players that is at issue in this lawsuit 21 in La Jolla, California in January 2020. The PGA Tour also threatened Plaintiffs and other players 22 with lifetime bans in Los Angeles, California in February 2022. The Tour has unlawfully restricted 23 Plaintiffs from participating in events that compete against the PGA Tour.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

30. This Court also may exercise personal jurisdiction over the PGA Tour under California 25 Code of Civil Procedure § 410.10. The Tour operated, conducted, engaged in, and carried on a business venture in this state; committed tortious acts within this state that harmed Plaintiffs; and is engaged in 26 27 substantial and not isolated activity within this state.

28

31.

Venue is proper in this district under Sections 4 and 12 of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C.

§§ 15, 22) because the Tour may be found in this District and transacts business in this District through the management or operation of two golf courses, hosting and promoting two golf tournaments, and employing individuals in this District.

#### **BACKGROUND AND FACTS**

#### **Overview of Professional Golf**

32. The business and sport of professional golf are organized around tours and tournaments that combine players of comparable skill levels. These tours and tournaments bring golf competition to fans, financially compensate players, and provide opportunities for sponsors and advertisers to market their products to golf fans of these events.

33. The elite level of men's professional golf is comprised of (1) the PGA Tour, which sponsors and co-sponsors a series of tournaments scheduled from September to September each season; (2) four annual standalone "Major" tournaments sponsored by entities other than the Tour: the Masters, the U.S. Open, The Open (or The British Open), and the PGA Championship; (3) two bi-annual team events (Ryder Cup and the Presidents Cup); (4) quadrennial Olympic competition; and (5) a handful of standalone events in which Tour members are only permitted to compete if they are given permission by the Tour Commissioner.

34. Until LIV Golf's nascent entry, the Tour was the sole elite golf tour in the United States and the world. Other elite professional golf events are standalone events (such as the Majors) that are not part of an organized tour that extends throughout a season. Professional golfers who qualify for membership on the Tour invariably compete on it, as it offers by far the largest tournament purses, the greatest opportunities to qualify for the Majors, the greatest opportunities for exposure in the golf world and beyond, and the most expansive opportunities to secure large endorsements from sponsors. As of the filing of this Complaint, all of the top 30 golfers in the world are active members of the Tour, except those golfers whom PGA Commissioner Monahan suspended or forced to resign in June 2022. This is not surprising, because (until LIV Golf's entry) no other golf tour in the world is a reasonable competitive substitute for the PGA Tour. For example, the average purse of a PGA Tour event is 26 27 roughly two-and-a-half times the average purse of a European Tour event, roughly nine times the 28 average purse of an Asian Tour event, and 13 times the average purse of a Korn Ferry Tour event. The

1

PGA Tour is the only golf tour shown regularly on broadcast television in the United States, and it earns vastly more in sponsorship, advertising, and broadcast revenue than any other golf tour.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

35. The Tour and the four Majors act in concert and are complements, not competitors. The Tour schedules no events during the weeks of three of the Majors and schedules only a minor event with a lower prize pool the week of The Open. Conversely, the Majors do not compete with the Tour for players' services; rather, they encourage and incentivize players to participate on certain tours (the PGA Tour in particular) by adopting eligibility requirements that open playing spots to golfers who have performed well on those tours. Similarly, LIV Golf does not compete with the Majors, as it schedules its Series and will schedule its League around the Majors.

10 36. The European Tour is a purchaser of professional golfers' services, but it is effectively 11 a feeder into and only a potential competitor to the PGA Tour. In the 1980s and early 1990s, the 12 European Tour exerted some competitive pressure on the Tour for certain star international players, 13 including Seve Ballesteros, Nick Faldo, and Bernhard Langer, but that has not been the case for many 14 years. Instead, when European Tour members qualify for Tour membership, they almost invariably 15 elect to immediately become PGA Tour members. None of the Top 30 golfers in the world are only members of the European Tour. PGA Tour superstar Rory McIlroy, originally from Northern Ireland 16 17 who began his career on the European Tour, has aptly described the European Tour as "a stepping 18 stone," explaining "you can go to America and play for more money and more ranking points. I think 19 as well with the world ranking points, everyone out here, all of their contracts with sponsors, it's all 20 about world ranking points. If players are getting paid more and earning more world ranking points, 21 why would you play over there [European Tour]?" The actions of other European players who qualify 22 for the Tour are consistent with McIlroy's views: they join the PGA Tour when they qualify.

37. European Tour Board Member Paul McGinley told *The Independent* that "the
[European] Tour has accepted it is a junior partner to the PGA Tour now and will act as a feeder tour
with more and more co-sanctioned events on both sides of the pond." McGinley continued: "We are
there to enhance that and enable the PGA Tour to become the premier golf tour in the world. We
realise that the [European] Tour will not be that, but we want to be very much... a kind of international
arm and create pathways for players to come into the ecosystem via the European Tour and perhaps

## Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 18 of 106

the Korn Ferry Tour and then graduate onto the premier tour in the world which is the PGA Tour."
 Likewise, European Tour Commissioner Keith Pelley told *The New York Times* that the European Tour
 agreed not to compete with the PGA Tour for player services as of November 2020.

- 4 38. The Tour has entered into an illegal agreement with the European Tour as part of its 5 scheme to ensure that the European Tour does not partner with any entrant (including LIV Golf) that 6 might seek to become part of the golf "ecosystem." In a January 2020 strategy memorandum describing 7 the PGA Tour's plan to foreclose new entry, Commissioner Monahan explained that this alliance with 8 the European Tour was aimed at removing the European Tour as a potential partner for a new entrant: 9 "We have continued discussions with the European Tour about the potential to work more closely together, thereby removing the European Tour as a potential partner of" a new entrant. The Tour's 10 11 strategy was thus designed to ensure no new potential competitor could emerge to challenge its 12 monopoly.
- 39. The Tour executed Monahan's plan in November 2020, when it announced that it had
  purchased a minority stake in the European Tour's media production company, and that the two tours
  would work in concert with one another. As detailed below, since that alliance was formed, the
  European Tour has joined the PGA Tour in a group boycott aimed at punishing players to foreclose
  LIV Golf's entry.
- 40. There are also a number of more limited lower-level tours that operate in the U.S. and
  throughout the world, but none is a meaningful competitor to the Tour. In fact, the Tour owns, operates,
  or controls a number of these lower-level tours, ensuring that they do not become meaningful
  competitors to the PGA Tour. These tours include:

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- PGA Tour Champions A tour for players over the age of 50, organized and managed by the Tour;
- Korn Ferry Tour The PGA Tour's development tour in North America, through which players (like Plaintiff Uihlein) can qualify for the PGA Tour; organized and managed by the PGA Tour and described by the Tour as "the path to the PGA Tour";
- PGA Tour Latinoamerica A tour with events in Latin America, through which players can qualify for promotion to the Tour, organized and managed by the Tour;

	Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 19 of 106
1	• Mackenzie Tour-PGA Tour Canada – A tour with events in Canada, through which
2	players can qualify for promotion to the Tour, organized and managed by the Tour;
3	• PGA Tour Series-China – A tour with events in China, organized and managed by
4	the Tour;
5	• Asian Tour – A tour with events principally in Asia;
6	• Japan Tour – A tour with events in Japan;
7	• Sunshine Tour – A tour with events in Africa; and
8	• KPGA Korean Tour – A tour with events in South Korea.
9	41. These tours offer considerably lower levels of competition, far lower prize pools, far
10	smaller sponsorship and income opportunities, and far less, if any, broadcast exposure and viewership
11	throughout most of the world. As a result, players who qualify for the PGA Tour join the PGA Tour.
12	Simply put, the PGA Tour has no competition as the premier professional golf tour in the United States
13	and the world.
14	42. While the on-course competition among participants in the elite professional golf
15	services market is intense, the Tour itself faces no meaningful competition for players' services. Until
15	
15	LIV Golf arrived on the scene, no other tour came close to the PGA Tour in terms of the money,
	LIV Golf arrived on the scene, no other tour came close to the PGA Tour in terms of the money, exposure, quality of on-course competition for players, fan interest, advertising or sponsorship
16	
16 17	exposure, quality of on-course competition for players, fan interest, advertising or sponsorship
16 17 18	exposure, quality of on-course competition for players, fan interest, advertising or sponsorship opportunities.
16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>exposure, quality of on-course competition for players, fan interest, advertising or sponsorship opportunities.</li> <li>43. In addition, players in Tour events have a significantly greater opportunity than players</li> </ul>
16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>exposure, quality of on-course competition for players, fan interest, advertising or sponsorship opportunities.</li> <li>43. In addition, players in Tour events have a significantly greater opportunity than players in the lower-tier tours to qualify for spots in the Majors, Ryder Cup, Presidents Cup, and the Olympics</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>exposure, quality of on-course competition for players, fan interest, advertising or sponsorship opportunities.</li> <li>43. In addition, players in Tour events have a significantly greater opportunity than players in the lower-tier tours to qualify for spots in the Majors, Ryder Cup, Presidents Cup, and the Olympics by winning tournaments that provide entry into the Majors and that provide greater opportunity to earn</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>exposure, quality of on-course competition for players, fan interest, advertising or sponsorship opportunities.</li> <li>43. In addition, players in Tour events have a significantly greater opportunity than players in the lower-tier tours to qualify for spots in the Majors, Ryder Cup, Presidents Cup, and the Olympics by winning tournaments that provide entry into the Majors and that provide greater opportunity to earn more points in the world golf ranking system. A common way for players to qualify for the Majors is</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>exposure, quality of on-course competition for players, fan interest, advertising or sponsorship opportunities.</li> <li>43. In addition, players in Tour events have a significantly greater opportunity than players in the lower-tier tours to qualify for spots in the Majors, Ryder Cup, Presidents Cup, and the Olympics by winning tournaments that provide entry into the Majors and that provide greater opportunity to earn more points in the world golf ranking system. A common way for players to qualify for the Majors is by being ranked within the Top 50 of the OWGR. While players in any tournament recognized by the</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>exposure, quality of on-course competition for players, fan interest, advertising or sponsorship opportunities.</li> <li>43. In addition, players in Tour events have a significantly greater opportunity than players in the lower-tier tours to qualify for spots in the Majors, Ryder Cup, Presidents Cup, and the Olympics by winning tournaments that provide entry into the Majors and that provide greater opportunity to earn more points in the world golf ranking system. A common way for players to qualify for the Majors is by being ranked within the Top 50 of the OWGR. While players in any tournament recognized by the OWGR can qualify for points, the OWGR awards points based on a tournament's competitive strength</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>exposure, quality of on-course competition for players, fan interest, advertising or sponsorship opportunities.</li> <li>43. In addition, players in Tour events have a significantly greater opportunity than players in the lower-tier tours to qualify for spots in the Majors, Ryder Cup, Presidents Cup, and the Olympics by winning tournaments that provide entry into the Majors and that provide greater opportunity to earn more points in the world golf ranking system. A common way for players to qualify for the Majors is by being ranked within the Top 50 of the OWGR. While players in any tournament recognized by the OWGR can qualify for points, the OWGR awards points based on a tournament's competitive strength and the player's finishing position. Thus, players on the Tour are eligible to earn far more points than</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	exposure, quality of on-course competition for players, fan interest, advertising or sponsorship opportunities. 43. In addition, players in Tour events have a significantly greater opportunity than players in the lower-tier tours to qualify for spots in the Majors, Ryder Cup, Presidents Cup, and the Olympics by winning tournaments that provide entry into the Majors and that provide greater opportunity to earn more points in the world golf ranking system. A common way for players to qualify for the Majors is by being ranked within the Top 50 of the OWGR. While players in any tournament recognized by the OWGR can qualify for points, the OWGR awards points based on a tournament's competitive strength and the player's finishing position. Thus, players on the Tour are eligible to earn far more points than players on lower-tier tours. In addition, OWGR points are often used to determine the amount of
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	exposure, quality of on-course competition for players, fan interest, advertising or sponsorship opportunities. 43. In addition, players in Tour events have a significantly greater opportunity than players in the lower-tier tours to qualify for spots in the Majors, Ryder Cup, Presidents Cup, and the Olympics by winning tournaments that provide entry into the Majors and that provide greater opportunity to earn more points in the world golf ranking system. A common way for players to qualify for the Majors is by being ranked within the Top 50 of the OWGR. While players in any tournament recognized by the OWGR can qualify for points, the OWGR awards points based on a tournament's competitive strength and the player's finishing position. Thus, players on the Tour are eligible to earn far more points than players on lower-tier tours. In addition, OWGR points are often used to determine the amount of money players receive from sponsors. Qualification for the Olympics is determined solely by OWGR rankings. Again, as PGA Tour player Rory McIlroy explained "it's all about world ranking points,"
<ol> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	exposure, quality of on-course competition for players, fan interest, advertising or sponsorship opportunities. 43. In addition, players in Tour events have a significantly greater opportunity than players in the lower-tier tours to qualify for spots in the Majors, Ryder Cup, Presidents Cup, and the Olympics by winning tournaments that provide entry into the Majors and that provide greater opportunity to earn more points in the world golf ranking system. A common way for players to qualify for the Majors is by being ranked within the Top 50 of the OWGR. While players in any tournament recognized by the OWGR can qualify for points, the OWGR awards points based on a tournament's competitive strength and the player's finishing position. Thus, players on the Tour are eligible to earn far more points than players on lower-tier tours. In addition, OWGR points are often used to determine the amount of money players receive from sponsors. Qualification for the Olympics is determined solely by OWGR rankings. Again, as PGA Tour player Rory McIlroy explained "it's all about world ranking points,"

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 20 of 106

and players can earn the most points on the PGA Tour.

1

14

15

16

17

2 44. The OWGR's Governing Board includes PGA Tour Commissioner Monahan, as well 3 as the top executives from a number of the other bodies: the CEO of the European Tour (Keith Pelley), 4 the General Counsel of the European Tour (Ben Bye), the COO of the European Tour (Keith Waters), 5 the CEO of the PGA of America (Seth Waugh), the Executive Director of the United States Golf 6 Association (Mike Whan), the Senior Director of the Masters Tournament of the Augusta National 7 Golf Club (Buzzy Johnson), the CEO of the R&A (Martin Slumbers), and the former CEO of the R&A 8 (Peter Dawson). As set forth in detail below, the PGA Tour has entered into an unlawful agreement 9 with the European Tour to foreclose competitive entry by locking arms in a group boycott to exclude 10 from the world golf "ecosystem" LIV Golf, any players who play in LIV Golf events (including the 11 Plaintiffs), and any other vendor, tour promoter, or other entity that partners with LIV Golf. And the 12 Tour has leaned on the other world golfing bodies that have representatives on the OWGR Governing 13 Board to do its bidding to heighten threats for associating with LIV Golf.

#### **PGA Tour Structure**

45. The Tour's charter promises it will act to "promote the common interests of professional tournament golfers." The Tour certified to the Internal Revenue Service that its non-profit purpose is to promote the sport of professional golf and the common interests of touring golf professionals.

18 46. The professional golfers who have earned the right to compete on the Tour are the most 19 skilled and popular professional golfers in the United States and the world. Plaintiffs were active 20 members of the Tour until they were given lengthy suspensions for playing in LIV Golf events. Players 21 from the United States who are members of the Tour include Tiger Woods, Plaintiff Mickelson (now 22 suspended), Justin Thomas, Jordan Spieth, Plaintiff DeChambeau (now suspended), Dustin Johnson 23 (now resigned), Scottie Scheffler, Bubba Watson, and Brooks Koepka (now suspended). The PGA 24 Tour's Media Guide also states that its membership includes 94 international players from 29 countries 25 and territories outside the United States, including Justin Rose, Rory McIlroy, Sergio Garcia (now resigned), Jon Rahm, Adam Scott, Henrik Stenson (now suspended), Louis Oosthuizen (now resigned), 26 27 Hideki Matsuyama, and Cameron Smith. They include some of the biggest names in sports and popular 28 culture in the United States and the world.

#### 19 COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

## Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 21 of 106

47. All member golfers on the Tour are independent contractors, not employees of the Tour. There is no team or other employer to cover their many and substantial expenses as a professional athlete (e.g., coaches, caddies, trainers, therapists, travel, and lodging).

48. The Tour is managed by its Commissioner, Jay Monahan, who assumed the role on January 2, 2017. Commissioner Monahan sits on the Board of the European Tour, the Governing Board of the OWGR, and the Board of Directors and Executive Committee of the International Golf Federation. Through these various roles, Commissioner Monahan assures that the Tour controls what it euphemistically terms the world golf "ecosystem." Being blacklisted by the PGA Tour means effective expulsion from the golf "ecosystem" anywhere in the world.

# Elite Professional Golf Has Stagnated Under the PGA Tour's Monopoly

49. As Commissioner Monahan acknowledged in a memorandum to the PGA Tour Policy Board (the "Monopoly Manifesto"), the PGA Tour is "the world's leading professional golf tour" and "is second to none due to the strength of its members." Thus, not surprisingly, virtually every golfer of public prominence worldwide is a member of the PGA Tour.

50. While the quality of play on the PGA Tour continues to flourish, the business of professional golf has stagnated under the Tour's monopoly. In the age of social media, the accessibility and relatability of elite professional golfers should lend itself to a boom in fan interest and viewership, as it has with other sports. The opposite has happened. Without any meaningful competition (prior to LIV Golf's entry), the Tour has failed to innovate and its product has grown stale. At the same time, the Tour's fanbase has shrunk and continues to age, a trend sharply at odds with other major sports. Likewise, the Tour's compensation to its members fell behind compensation to other professional athletes, as measured by the share of revenue the players receive, reflecting the Tour's monopsony power over players' services.

51. Despite offering a stagnant product with a shrinking fanbase, the Tour has used its monopoly position to extract substantially increased revenues from broadcasters and advertisers. As a monopsonist, however, the Tour has not passed those increased revenues through to its members. For example, the Tour's revenue has increased between 2011 and 2019 by 163 percent, yet the share of revenue it provided its members fell substantially. This is because there is no competition for players'

## Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 22 of 106

services, allowing the Tour to direct its increased revenues into its bloated bureaucracy, extravagant 1 2 facilities, and multimillion-dollar compensation and lavish perks for Commissioner Monahan and the 3 other executives who run the monopoly, rather than sharing them with players. Tour data shows that 4 average Tour purses grew an anemic 2.5 percent per year on average from 2014 through 2019-from 5 \$6.62 million in 2014 to \$7.47 million in 2019. By comparison, the total salary pool for other major 6 professional sports leagues grew at much stronger rates over the same period—15 percent per year for 7 the NFL, 8 percent per year for the NBA, and 4 percent per year for the NHL, even though the 2014 8 base levels for the other professional sports were substantially higher.

9 52. The Tour has failed to offer its members compensation on par with professional athletes
in other sports. The number one player on the Tour money list in 2019 was Brooks Koepka, with \$9.68
11 million in tournament winnings. His winnings were the equivalent to the 129th highest paid NFL
12 player, the 121st highest paid NBA player, and the 128th highest paid MLB player.

13 53. It is incongruous that Tour members' share of revenue lags so significantly behind those 14 of players in other sports over the same period, because the Tour is a nonprofit entity that does not 15 compensate players for their travel and other expenses, while the other major professional sports are 16 for-profit enterprises with franchise owners. Unlike those other sports, however, which have free-17 agency systems that establish competition among franchise owners for players' services, the PGA Tour 18 faced no viable competition before LIV Golf's entry. As a monopolist, the PGA Tour does not compete 19 for players' services, and the Plaintiffs' earnings have been and are suppressed.

54. The lagging compensation the Tour pays to its members is also striking in light of the expenses and risks that the players bear. Unlike professional athletes in other sports, professional golfers have to pay out-of-pocket to play on the Tour. Tour members pay for their own travel to and lodging at Tour events, and they pay for their coaches, therapists, trainers, and caddies. In addition, the players have no guarantees from the Tour—they earn nothing if they get injured, and they get nothing if they miss the cut. As a result, Tour members can end up with *negative* earnings for the year.

55. For example, Plaintiff Perez described a fellow Tour member that "one year ma[d]e
\$22,000 on the Tour. He lost, he was in the hole about 90 grand. Mind you, he didn't play well and I
get it, but how can he be out money? He earned his card and he was out like \$90 grand that year."

## Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 23 of 106

56. A Tour player agent was quoted as saying: "What I think the average fan doesn't know 1 2 is how much a player spends to go to work. . . . [T]hey spend so much money or reinvest so much 3 money in themselves and what they pay their team and what they spend on private airfare, renting homes and [paying] chefs and trainers and physical therapists and everything that goes into it. It's a 4 5 very lucrative sport, but it's also a very expensive sport for them, unlike team-sport athletes who are flown around every place and supplied all those things." But without competition, elite professional 6 7 golfers have historically had no option other than the Tour.

8

11

57. The Tour's monopsony hold on participants in elite professional golf events is also 9 reflected in its failure to innovate or retain (let alone expand) its audience. The Tour's television ratings 10 have struggled. Out of 27 PGA Tour tournaments for which data are available, 16 tournaments (almost 60 percent) had viewership in 2019 that was below the five-year average for that tournament. In 2020 12 (before the COVID outbreak shut down the Tour), six out of seven tournaments had viewership that 13 was below the five-year average for that tournament. The PGA Tour's fanbase is aging faster than any other sport, because it has failed to capture the attention of younger viewers. As Rory McIlroy (a PGA 14 15 Tour Member, President of the Players Advisory Council, and PGA Tour Board Member) recognized, "competition is a good thing" and "any business needs competition for things to progress and move 16 on." But the PGA Tour has faced no competition for many years, it has had no reason to innovate and 17 18 grow its fan base, and its product has grown stale.

19

# **Anticompetitive PGA Tour Player Regulations**

20 58. Membership on the Tour is governed by the PGA Tour Player Regulations & Tournament Regulations ("Regulations"). Exhibit 1. Several interrelated provisions of the Regulations 21 22 unreasonably restrict the independent contractor-players' ability to participate in competing events.

23 59. Media Rights Regulation. The Regulations contain two provisions relevant to this 24 case that govern players' media rights. First, Section V.B.1.a. of the Regulations purport to grant to 25 the PGA Tour the media rights for players when they are participating in Tour-sponsored tournaments. Plaintiffs do not challenge that provision on the understanding that the Tour interprets it to apply to 26 27 golfers only when they are playing in Tour-sponsored events. However, if the Tour relies on that 28 provision to support its position that it can control Tour members' golf media rights even when they

# COMPLAINT - DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 24 of 106

are not participating in a Tour event, the Plaintiffs would challenge that provision as being 1 2 anticompetitive.

3 60. A second provision in the Regulations, Section V.B.1.b. (the "Media Rights Regulation") provides that "[n]o PGA Tour member shall participate in any live or recorded golf 4 5 program without the prior written approval of the Commissioner, except that this requirement shall not 6 apply to PGA Tour cosponsored, coordinated or approved tournaments, wholly instructional programs 7 or personal appearances on interview or guest shows." Exhibit 1. The Tour broadly defines "golf 8 program" to cover "any golf contest, exhibition or play that is shown anywhere in the world in any 9 form of media now known or hereinafter developed." Id. According to the PGA Tour, this provision 10 prevents all Tour members from participating in any golf program anywhere in the world, during any time of the year, even when they are not participating in a Tour event. According to the PGA Tour, 12 the effect is a year-round prohibition on all Tour-member independent contractors from participating 13 in any competing golf event anywhere in the world that is broadcast on any media. For example, when 14 Plaintiffs participated in a LIV Golf event in London that was streamed on the Internet (but not shown 15 on any television network in the United States), the PGA Tour declared that the Plaintiffs had violated 16 this rule.

61. The global prohibition on playing in competing events is not needed to create or improve any product or offering by the Tour, or to improve any aspect of any product for fans. For example, other provisions purportedly grant the Tour the media rights for Tour events in which the players are participating. The global prohibition serves only to prohibit the Tour's independent contractor players from playing in any competing events during weeks when they are not playing in Tour events.

62. The Media Rights Regulation is fundamentally inconsistent with the rights of the Plaintiffs as independent contractors, denying Plaintiffs the right to sell their own media rights to other bidders for their services. As a result, the Tour has deprived and continues to deprive Plaintiffs of the opportunity to pursue their profession, thus depriving them of income-earning, marketing, branding, and charitable opportunities.

27 Furthermore, this provision has foreclosed entry by LIV Golf at its planned scale and 63. imposed on LIV Golf a cost basis that the Tour itself describes as "irrational." In addition, and 28

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 25 of 106

critically, the Tour has compromised LIV Golf's ability to secure a television broadcast contract, a 1 2 critical component of any sustainable elite golf tour. Even though LIV Golf has been able to convince 3 some players to defy the Tour's threatened lifetime bans and to participate in LIV Golf events, the Media Rights Regulation has precluded LIV Golf from securing agreements to broadcast its 4 5 tournaments because United States platforms are disinclined to sign a broadcast contract with LIV Golf 6 while the Tour claims to control the media rights of the players participating in LIV Golf tournaments. 7 As the PGA Tour has maintained, the Media Rights Regulation purportedly denies any competing tour 8 the opportunity to broadcast tournaments to fans, an essential element of the business plan of LIV Golf 9 or any other elite professional golf promoter. Unless it is enjoined, this provision will threaten the 10 competitive entry of LIV Golf or any other potential competitor, which would deny Plaintiffs the 11 opportunity to sell their services in a competitive market.

12 64. The anticompetitive intent of the Media Rights Regulation is exposed by the Tour's 13 amendment of the definition of "golf program" in the provision in November 2019 in response to 14 rumors of potential competitive entry. Whereas the prohibition had previously applied to "any golf 15 contest, exhibition or play that is shown in the United States," the prohibition was expanded to cover "any golf contest, exhibition or play that is shown anywhere in the world." Exhibit 1 (emphasis added). 16 17 Commissioner Monahan admitted this anticompetitive purpose in his Monopoly Manifesto: "Our 18 current Tournament Regulations provide a significant hurdle for PGA Tour members with respect to 19 contracting with Private Equity Golf under its proposed structure. . . In particular, the Tournament 20 Regulations governing Conflicting Events and Media Rights/Releases would be applicable. . . . [I]n November 2019 the Policy Board ratified a revised Media Rights/Release regulation to ensure that all 21 golf events are unequivocally covered on a global basis." The Tour did not negotiate with Plaintiffs or 22 23 any other Tour Member over its unilateral expansion of the Media Rights Regulation and does not 24 compensate them for the Tour's purported exclusionary control over their year-round global media 25 rights. The expansion ensures that players are restricted from participating with a competing golf tour anywhere in the world. 26

27 65. The anticompetitive purpose of the Media Rights Regulation is further illustrated by
28 comparison with the European Tour. The European Tour does not prohibit its independent contractor

## Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 26 of 106

1 golfers from using their media rights when they are not playing in European Tour events. Rather, the 2 European Tour's rules make clear that the players' grant of media rights applies only when they 3 participate in European Tour events. During other weeks of the year, that grant of media rights "does 4 not otherwise affect the Member's rights as an independent contractor in respect of their own image 5 except as set out in these Regulations, including Regulation E5(c) [Ryder Cup] below." The European 6 Tour also "recognises the individual rights of all Members operating as independent contractors. . . and 7 will not unreasonably seek to restrain its Members from Participating in certain golf tournaments or 8 events which are not sanctioned by the European Tour. ... "

9 66. Some Plaintiffs requested releases from the Media Rights Regulation to play the in the
10 LIV Golf London Invitational. The PGA Tour denied their request (as well as those of other Tour
11 members) and instead imposed lengthy suspensions on all players who participated in the event.

12 67. The Tour's anticompetitive use of the Media Rights Regulation is further demonstrated 13 through its selective enforcement of the provision against other events that it does not deem to be competitive threats. For example, the Tour did not require members to obtain releases to participate in 14 15 a Pro-Am golf competition called the JP McManus held in the Republic of Ireland from July 4-5, 2022, even though the event was broadcast in the United States, Europe, and throughout the world. In 16 17 contrast, days earlier the Tour enforced the provision with draconian punishments when Plaintiffs and others played in the LIV Golf Portland Invitational from June 30 - July 2, 2022. The key difference 18 19 between the LIV Golf event and the JP McManus event is that the Tour views only LIV Golf as a 20 competitive threat.

21 68. The anticompetitive purpose and effect of the Media Rights Regulation is clear. The 22 incumbent monopolist has granted itself the right to foreclose the best golfers in the world from playing 23 in events that create real competition, at its own discretion. And if golfers defy the Tour's threats, the 24 competitor that is able to secure the players' services is nonetheless foreclosed from securing contracts 25 to broadcast the event on television or any other media.

69. Conflicting Events Regulation. A second exclusionary provision in the Regulations
(Section V.A.2–3, the "Conflicting Events Regulation") grants Tour Commissioner Monahan with the
discretion to prohibit Plaintiffs and all other Tour members from playing in any other golf tournament

1

2

3

11

anywhere in the world. Exhibit 1. Commissioner Monahan has exercised his discretion to foreclose competition from LIV Golf by preventing any Tour members from participating in any LIV Golf events, under penalty of career-threatening suspensions.

4 70. The Conflicting Events Regulation contains two components, each of which the Tour 5 has employed to attack Plaintiffs in its effort to foreclose LIV Golf's entry. First, the provision 6 prohibits any Tour member from playing in any other golf tournament in North America during any 7 week when the Tour sponsors or co-sponsors an event-without exception, even when the player is not 8 playing in the Tour event. Because the Tour typically sponsors or co-sponsors events approximately 9 48 weeks per year, the Conflicting Events Regulation effectively prevents independent contractor Tour 10 members from ever playing in non-PGA Tour events in North America. Second, the Regulations also prohibit Plaintiffs and all other Tour members from playing in any events *outside* North America during 12 weeks in which the Tour is sponsoring or co-sponsoring an event, unless the Commissioner grants a 13 release. These releases are limited to three per year, and the Commissioner has complete discretion to 14 deny them.

15 71. The releases the Commissioner can choose to grant do not permit meaningful competition by other tours. No releases are permitted for any event in North America. Even as to 16 international events, the Commissioner retains "sole discretion" to deny a release. Exhibit 1. While 17 18 the Tour has historically granted releases for international events, the Tour changed its practice once 19 the threat of potential competitive entry became evident. For the LIV Golf London Invitational, the 20 Tour denied releases for all members. In doing so, Commissioner Monahan clarified that the Tour 21 denied the requested relief because LIV Golf is organizing a tour that competes with the PGA Tour in 22 North America. The Commissioner's vice president wrote, "While releases have been granted in 23 limited circumstances for one-off events outside North America or for events outside of North America 24 on tours based exclusively outside of North America, the event for which you have requested a release 25 is the first in an eight-event "2022 LIV Golf Invitational Series" season, and more than half of them will be held in the United States." Furthermore, even if the Commissioner did not exercise his 26 27 discretion to attack competition, the Regulation provides that a player may obtain only three Conflicting Event releases per season, and may do so only if he also plays in a minimum of 15 Tour 28

## Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 28 of 106

1

cosponsored or approved tournaments. Also, the PGA Tour Commissioner is only required to give a 2 player a decision 30 days in advance of the event, which makes it difficult for those planning 3 international events to know which players will be permitted by the Tour Commissioner to play in the 4 field.

5 72. The scope of this Conflicting Events Regulation is expanded by another provision in the 6 Regulations which provides that in any week in which a Tour, PGA Tour Champions, Korn Ferry Tour, 7 PGA Tour Latinoamerica, PGA Tour Canada, or PGA Tour China cosponsored tournament is 8 scheduled, no Tour member may participate in any golf activity (including public exhibitions, clinics, 9 and pro-ams) in the same geographic area without the prior approval of the Commissioner.

10 73. The Tour has made clear that it will weaponize the Conflicting Events and the Media Rights Regulation to attack competition. In January 2020, Commissioner Monahan told a meeting of 11 12 PGA Tour members that the Tour will impose "strict enforcement of the Conflicting Event and Media 13 Rights/Release rules" on players to prevent them from playing golf on a competing tour. When Plaintiffs participated in the LIV Golf London Invitational, Commissioner Monahan summarily 14 15 suspended them within an hour of tee-off. Then, to expand the in terrorem effect of the suspension on 16 all other Tour members, Commissioner Monahan immediately notified all PGA Tour members of his 17 action.

18 74. The Tour has forced members of the Korn Ferry Tour-the developmental tour-like 19 Plaintiff Uihlein, to be bound by the same Regulations and has enforced them to punish young 20 developing professional golfers who play in LIV Golf. The PGA Tour has even banned golfers who 21 are members in good-standing of the European Tour from the European Tour events it co-sanctions 22 with the PGA Tour simply because they played in a LIV Golf event, including members of the 23 European Tour who were granted permission to play in the LIV Golf event.

24 75. The Tour's attack on LIV Golf is not the first time the Tour has used the Media Rights 25 and Conflicting Events Regulations to attack nascent competitive entry. Before LIV Golf, the last 26 meaningful threat of competitive entry to challenge the PGA Tour was the World Golf Tour, led by 27 Greg Norman, which attempted to launch in 1994. In response, then-Tour Commissioner Tim Finchem 28 wrote to Mr. Norman that the Tour would not grant conflicting event releases for "events held within

> 27 COMPLAINT - DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 29 of 106

the United States" and that it would only grant a media rights release if the World Golf Tour would
pay a prohibitive sum to the PGA Tour. And even then, the Tour's releases would only be granted for
events held on a Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday. The Commissioner also threatened Tour members
that they would lose PGA Tour membership cards if they joined the competing tour. Within days, the
World Golf Tour collapsed. No other meaningful competitive threat emerged for more than a quarter
century.

7 76. Plaintiffs are members of the Tour (albeit now subject to lengthy suspensions) and 8 remain subject to the Regulations. They requested releases from the Media Rights and Conflicting 9 Events Regulations to participate in one or more LIV Golf events. The Tour denied their requests and 10 imposed severe punishment when they exercised their rights as independent contractors to play in the LIV Golf events (detailed further below). While the PGA Tour's charter requires that the PGA Tour's 11 12 acquisition of players' media rights be used "to promote the common interests of professional golfers," 13 the Tour uses its acquisition of players' media rights to prevent other promoters from competing for its 14 members' services.

77. Furthermore, after dozens of Tour members (including Plaintiffs) sought Conflicting Events releases to participate in a LIV Golf event in London, the Tour amended its Conflicting Events Release request form to require its members to verify the event would not be shown on any medium in the United States—an impossible verification given modern technology. As the sequence of events makes clear, the Tour added that provision (the Contractual Assurance Confirmation) in response to LIV Golf's attempted competitive entry. This amendment makes it even harder for Plaintiffs to exercise their independent contractor right to play for other promoters during their off-weeks.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The PGA Tour's Anticompetitive Response to Potential Competitive Entry in 2020

78. After the PGA Tour used its Media Rights and Conflicting Events Regulations to deter entry by the World Golf Tour in 1994, there was no attempted entry into professional golf for over 25 years. Then, in late 2019 and into 2020, a number of the individuals and entities, some of whom who later became involved with LIV Golf, attempted to launch a competing tour known as the Premier Golf League ("PGL"). The Tour orchestrated an anticompetitive response that blocked PGL's attempted entry.

#### 28 COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

## Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 30 of 106

79. PGL was a venture involving the Raine Investor Group SPV, World Golf Group
 ("WGG"), the Public Investment Fund of Saudi Arabia, and Performance 54. PGL developed a
 proposal for a new golf league, and it approached various golf stakeholders as part of its effort to launch
 a new elite professional golf tour to compete with the Tour.

80. PGL had discussions with player representatives in the fourth quarter of 2019 and began
offering contracts to players in January 2020.

81. In January 2020, the Tour obtained copies of PGL's marketing materials and the
packages the PGL offered Tour players.

9 82. In response, Commissioner Monahan distributed his Monopoly Manifesto
10 acknowledging that the PGL "would be competitive to the PGA TOUR," and detailed the PGA Tour's
11 "response" to "mitigate any impact" from this potential competitive threat.

12 83. In that memorandum, Commissioner Monahan explained that the principal means to 13 defeat the threat of competition was to prevent players from joining the new league. As Commissioner 14 Monahan wrote, "[t]he impact that [the new league] can have on the PGA TOUR is dependent on the 15 level of support it may receive from these players. Without this support, [the new league's] ability to 16 attract media and corporate partners will be significantly marginalized and its impact on the TOUR 17 diminished."

18 84. Commissioner Monahan pointed out that PGA Tour members would have "a significant
19 hurdle" to join the new league because the Regulations prohibit players from joining a competing tour.
20 In addition, Commissioner Monahan pointed to a rule he claimed would prevent players from
21 competing in the team format proposed by the new league (based on a rule prohibiting "players having
22 a financial interest in another player") and prevent players from competing in "conflicting events"
23 except under limited circumstances.

85. In the 2020 memorandum, Commissioner Monahan also informed the Tour Policy
Board that in November 2019, in response to rumors about potential competitive entry of an upstart
international golf tour, the Tour had amended the Regulations to expand the Media Rights Regulation
"to ensure that all golf events are unequivocally covered on a global basis." He also detailed plans to
"further crystallize[] these restrictions."

## Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 31 of 106

86. Commissioner Monahan proposed two additional revisions to the Regulations, one that would tighten restrictions on conflicting events and a second that would prohibit players from having an equity interest in another's performance, a direct response to the PGL's team concept. On information and belief, these revisions were later adopted.

87. In addition, Commissioner Monahan stated that the PGA Tour has "communicated with key members of the Tournament Advisory Council," a group of PGA Tour tournament directors who advise the PGA Tour on its business conditions, "to prepare for a possible entrance of the [new league] to the marketplace." Commissioner Monahan similarly detailed that the PGA Tour has "liaised with each [Major Championships and Governing Bodies] organization to learn of its position regarding [the new league]." And the PGA Tour communicated with the OWGR regarding the new league's eligibility for OWGR ranking points.

12 88. The Monopoly Manifesto described the PGA Tour's efforts to secure commitments 13 from across the global golf ecosystem to foreclose potential competitive entry. Recognizing that the 14 competitive threat from the new league would be greatly strengthened through a partnership with the 15 European Tour, Commissioner Monahan stated that the PGA Tour has "continued discussions with the 16 European Tour about the potential to work more closely together, thereby removing the European Tour 17 as a potential partner of [the upstart competitor]." As described, the PGA Tour did in fact partner with 18 the European Tour to prevent competitive entry.

19 89. Commissioner Monahan and the PGA Tour executed this anticompetitive plan to
20 prevent players from joining the PGL and "remov[e]" others in the ecosystem as potential partners of
21 the PGL, ensuring that the competitive threat from the PGL was thwarted before it could launch.

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

The PGA Tour Threatens Players Considering Joining The PGL

90. At a Tour players' meeting in January 2020 at Torrey Pines in La Jolla, California,
Commissioner Monahan read aloud a message to Tour players similarly detailing some of his messages
from his Monopoly Manifesto. In that meeting, Commissioner Monahan told PGA Tour players, "[t]he
schedule for the [PGL] is designed to directly compete and conflict with the PGA Tour's FedExCup
schedule, and to not conflict with [and be in addition to] the Masters, PGA Championship, U.S. Open
and The Open Championship." Then, Commissioner Monahan threatened the Tour members with a

30 COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

## Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 32 of 106

ban from the PGA Tour if they joined the PGL or any other new league, stating: "If the Team Golf 2 Concept or another iteration of this structure becomes a reality in 2022 or at any time before or after, 3 our members will have to decide whether they want to continue to be a member of the PGA Tour or play on a new series." 4

91. As Commissioner Monahan made clear, the Tour demanded exclusivity from its independent contractor members, under penalty of a ban from the Tour.

1

5

6

7

8

11

13

92. In March 2020, Monahan repeated his threats to the players, stating that the Tour would "vigilantly protect [the Tour's] business model" from the competitive entrance of a new league.

9 93. The Tour's threats to the players' livelihoods had their intended effect. As one player 10 was quoted anonymously in a leading golf publication in early 2020, "the risk of getting banned by the PGA Tour has to be an obvious concern." Many other Tour Members felt the same. As of 2020, the 12 potential harm to Plaintiffs resulting from a ban from the Tour made the idea of signing on to a new Nonetheless, many Tour members-recognizing that they were start-up too risky to bear. 14 disadvantaged by the Tour's monopsonistic control over the market-remained very interested in new 15 playing opportunities in addition to the Tour.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## The Tour Induces The European Tour Into a Group Boycott

94. As Commissioner Monahan admitted in his Monopoly Manifesto, the PGA Tour agreed with the European Tour to remove the European Tour as a potential partner of any new entrant.

95. Throughout 2020, the PGL had been negotiating with the European Tour to develop a partnership to co-sponsor events, which would have been a key step toward enabling the PGL to launch.

96. The co-sponsorship was important because it would have assured that PGL events would qualify players to earn points under the OWGR system. OWGR rankings are used to determine qualification for the Majors. Professional golfers are reluctant to join any tour that does not provide a path to qualify for the Majors.

25 97. Under the rules of the OWGR (on whose board Commissioner Monahan sits), a brand new tour purportedly cannot qualify for OWGR points for at least three years and must be sponsored 26 27 by one of the six full members of the International Federation of PGA Tours (PGA Tour, European Tour, Asian Tour, Japan Tour, Australasia Tour, and Sunshine Tour). This establishes a barrier to the 28

> 31 COMPLAINT - DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

## Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 33 of 106

1

entry of any new tour: No elite professional tour can sustain in the long-term unless it provides players 2 with a path to earn OWGR points, but no professional tour can secure points until it has existed for at 3 least three years (absent an OWGR waiver of that requirement) and has sponsorship from one of the established International Federation members. To navigate through this Catch-22, the PGL sought to 4 5 partner with the European Tour as part of its plan to enter and obtain a sponsor for its OWGR 6 application.

7 98. Recognizing the PGL's need for a partnership with the European Tour, the PGA Tour 8 forged an alliance with the European Tour through threats and financial incentives to put a bearhug 9 around the European Tour and cut off a potential partner of the PGL. To obtain this agreement, the 10 Tour threatened rule changes that would have made it more difficult for top European players who 11 participate on the PGA Tour to play in European Tour events.

12 99. The PGA Tour's approach proved highly effective. In November 2020, the European 13 Tour announced that it would not partner with the PGL, but instead it would enter into an alliance with the PGA Tour. One condition of the agreement was that the European Tour not partner with or sponsor 14 15 the PGL, thereby removing a key partner for the PGL's planned entry. Additionally, through the alliance with the European Tour, PGA Tour Commissioner Monahan secured a seat on the Board of 16 17 Directors of the European Tour and the PGA Tour made a massive investment in the European Tour 18 and its subsidiaries. The Tour's illegal alliance with the European Tour enabled it to require the 19 European Tour to work in concert with the PGA Tour to prevent competitive entry. The Tour used its 20 strategic alliance with the European Tour throughout the next two years to carry out its anticompetitive scheme to thwart LIV Golf's entry. The Tour entered into the illegal agreement with the illegal purpose 21 22 to eliminate a competitor and future potential entrants.

23 100. The PGA Tour's efforts to thwart the PGL's entry were successful. The PGL never got 24 off the ground, the venture as it existed disbanded, and the PGL was left with no real prospect of 25 viability. In 2022, the PGL offered to partner with the PGA Tour, but under the Tour's control. The Tour summarily rejected the proposal. 26

27 101. And through its campaign to destroy the PGL, the PGA Tour had secured an 28 anticompetitive agreement with the European Tour to foreclose any future potential competitive entrants.

1

2

# LIV Golf Promises Long-Needed Competition

3 102. After the Tour destroyed PGL's viability and the venture disbanded, LIV Golf formed 4 in 2021. LIV Golf is a new golf company whose goal is to improve professional golf for all 5 stakeholders: fans, players, broadcasters, sponsors, and tournament hosts. It seeks to offer more of 6 what fans, broadcasters, and sponsors want, including an exciting new format that will ensure heightened competition among golf's star players. LIV Golf seeks to modernize the professional game 7 8 by allowing the game's superstars to realize their true market potential, while enhancing the 9 professional golf marketplace with a dynamic, team-inspired format that will complement individual 10 competition.

11 103. LIV Golf developed a new golf tour (the League) that would include 48 top golfers who 12 would compete both as individuals and on 12 teams of four. The LIV Golf League's format is inspired by the globally successful format for Formula 1 racing.<sup>2</sup> Twelve headline players would be player-13 owners, each holding an equity interest in their team and having substantial opportunities to guide their 14 15 team to on-course and commercial success. Each LIV Golf League team of four was also set to have 16 two substitute players, thereby offering 72 total players the opportunity to play. The player-owner of 17 each team was to select four of the six players to play in a given week. By introducing an innovative 18 format highlighting weekly head-to-head competition among the top players in the game, LIV Golf 19 League's format would have created a more desirable product offering than the PGA Tour format, 20 which has not changed for decades and has the lowest youth viewership of any North American major 21 sport. LIV Golf League was going to include 54-hole tournaments with shotgun starts<sup>3</sup> and no cut, 22 offering a faster-paced format with high levels of competition in every tournament, dramatically 23 improving the fan experience.

24

25

Tour.

104. The LIV Golf League format was designed as a fan-friendly alternative to the PGA The proposed "shotgun" format would reduce the number of hours required to watch a

26

27

28

<sup>2</sup> Formula 1 is the world's premier international auto racing series.

<sup>3</sup> Shotgun starts are when all golfers in a tournament tee off of different holes at the same time so that they finish their rounds around the same time, as compared to tournaments where all golfers tee off of the first hole and proceed to the eighteenth hole in consecutive fashion.

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 35 of 106

tournament and increase the excitement of the viewer experience. The team format would provide opportunities for team allegiances among fans and lead to multiple levels of competition within any given tournament. The LIV Golf League would also benefit sponsors, advertisers, and other stakeholders, as each team was to be independently commercialized with freedom to develop and select team sponsors and a home city or region. LIV Golf had strategies for improved broadcast output and an entertainment experience with more storylines and content.

7 The LIV Golf League was also set to improve conditions for players. In contrast to the 105. 8 PGA Tour's stagnating tournament purses (until LIV Golf emerged), with about half the players not 9 making the cut and earning nothing in any given tournament, LIV Golf League was set to introduce 10 the benefits of competition to players, including offering players greater economic benefits more 11 commensurate with their ability to attract revenue, equity ownership opportunities in their own success, 12 and guaranteed income for every tournament in which they participated. LIV Golf would not require 13 players to sign away their name, image and likeness rights for non-LIV Golf events. LIV Golf also 14 would not foreclose players from playing in other tournaments during weeks in which LIV Golf is not 15 playing, which would respect players' independent contractor status and allow them to participate in 16 other tournaments and tours (to the extent not banned by the Tour).

106. The introduction of competition from the LIV Golf League would provide new and improved options for players, fans, and other stakeholders. Innovation would replace stagnation. Players, fans, sponsors, advertisers, and broadcasters would all benefit. The introduction of the LIV Golf franchise model to the sport of golf—with city, country, and regional affiliations—would engage more fans and increase commercial opportunities.

107. The LIV Golf League also aspired to enhance player opportunities more broadly and add meaningfully to the playing opportunities for professional golfers worldwide. It planned to provide qualification opportunities for players not initially selected and to embrace other tours, providing their players with pathways into the League. This format was designed to ensure a high level of competition throughout each season, as well as a fair and inclusive platform for golfers throughout the world, including younger development golfers.

28

17

18

19

20

21

108. If not for the anticompetitive conduct of the Tour, the LIV Golf League would have

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 36 of 106

launched in 2022. LIV Golf had developed a ground-breaking business plan. It secured a chief 1 2 executive officer and Commissioner-Greg Norman, a giant in the world of golf and a highly 3 successful businessman in multiple industries—hired an experienced team of executives, assembled a board, and built out a full front office with dozens of employees and numerous industry consultants 4 5 and contractors. LIV Golf partnered with the Asian Tour and invested several hundred million dollars 6 in the Asian Tour to sponsor marquee events throughout the world and develop the sport at multiple 7 levels on a worldwide basis. LIV Golf negotiated with broadcast companies, sponsors, venues, 8 advertisers, vendors, and several other business partners who expressed interest in LIV Golf League. 9 All these successful stakeholders indicated, however, that they would commit only when LIV Golf 10 League had signed up the players needed to launch LIV Golf and, critically, secured the players' media 11 rights.

12 109. LIV Golf also sought to cultivate relationships with other tours in the existing golf 13 "ecosystem," in order to ensure that there were further player pathways into and out of LIV Golf events (both within and across seasons) and to ensure that LIV Golf's entry would be additive and beneficial 14 15 to the sport of golf throughout the world. For example, LIV Golf made offers to the Ladies European Tour and the Ladies PGA Tour, which rejected those offers due to the PGA Tour's opposition to LIV 16 17 Golf, and due to the PGA Tour's board seats in those organizations and its control over the golfing 18 world. As described below, the PGA Tour has thwarted LIV Golf's efforts by spearheading a group 19 boycott designed to exclude LIV Golf from the "ecosystem" and punish any player who plays in any 20 LIV Golf events.

21

22

23

#### The Tour's Anticompetitive Response to the Potential Entry of LIV Golf

110. In response to the potential entry of LIV Golf, the PGA Tour has used a carrot-and-stick approach to prevent Plaintiffs and other PGA Tour Members from playing with LIV Golf.

111. The carrot is a loosening of the PGA Tour's purse strings to make somewhat greater compensation available to players than the Tour historically provided. This increased compensation to players in response to competitive entry is direct proof of the PGA Tour's monopsony power and the anticompetitive effects on players (including Plaintiffs) from excluding competition. When the PGA Tour faced the meaningful threat of competitive entry for the first time in a quarter-century, it suddenly

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 37 of 106

and substantially increased player compensation, thus providing direct proof of the Tour's monopsony 1 2 power in suppressing player compensation below competitive levels.<sup>4</sup> The stick used by the Tour is 3 an array of anticompetitive actions by the PGA Tour to destroy the careers and livelihood of Plaintiffs, 4 their business partners and agents, and anyone who associates with LIV Golf or its players. It is 5 particularly notable that as LIV Golf's threat of entry grew, and as the press reported increased player 6 interest and player signings, the Tour ramped up the intensity of its punishment and threats. As 7 Commissioner Monahan made clear in his Monopoly Manifesto, the Tour knew that if it could deter 8 players from joining a new league, the new league's "ability to attract media and corporate partners 9 will be significantly marginalized" and "its impact on the [Tour] diminished." Particularly for a 501(c)(6) organization that is required to further the interests of its members, the Tour's commitment 10 11 to attack and destroy the careers of its members in order to defeat competition is striking. The Tour's 12 conduct is also blatantly anticompetitive, serves no purpose but to harm competition, and cannot be 13 justified under the antitrust laws.

14 112. **The carrot.** In April 2021, in direct response to rumors of LIV Golf's potential entry 15 into the marketplace, the PGA Tour announced the "Player Impact Program," a \$40 million bonus pool 16 for the top 10 players on the PGA Tour who drive engagement with sponsors and fans. This new bonus 17 pool, announced by the PGA Tour in response to potential competitive entry, is a clear indicator of the benefits of competition for players. As the PGA Tour recognized, competition in the labor market from 18 19 LIV Golf will force it to raise compensation to the players or it will lose its talent to the new entrant. 20 The PGA Tour's "Player Impact Program," however, was a half-measure, and offered far less than the 21 compensation the players would earn in a competitive labor market.

In August 2021, in response to reports that LIV Golf's efforts to secure player commitments were gaining momentum and that the new entrant would offer substantially greater compensation, the Tour announced it would increase the purse sizes for tournaments and bonus pools for the 2021–2022 PGA Tour season by 18 percent compared to the purse size and bonus pools for the 2020–2021 PGA Tour season. As noted above, PGA Tour purse sizes had grown at an anemic low-

27

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Despite these increases in compensation in response to LIV Golf's entry, PGA Tour compensation for players remains well below competitive levels.

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 38 of 106

single-digit rate for years, but when competitive entry was rumored, the Tour responded with an 18 percent increase for the next season. This is clear and direct proof of the Tour's monopsony power, the benefits of LIV Golf's competitive entry, and the harm to competition and Plaintiffs if the Tour is permitted to destroy LIV Golf's nascent entry.

114. In October 2021, the Tour announced it would increase the purse size for the Players Championship by \$5 million (from \$15 to \$20 million) and would provide players with a \$50,000 bonus if they compete in 15 PGA Tour events.

115. In December 2021, the Tour published its increased purse size for 2022 (increasing from \$367 million to \$427 million in aggregate) including: (1) increasing FedEx Cup bonus pool from \$60 million to \$75 million; (2) increasing Top 10 Comcast Business Tour bonus from \$10 million to \$20 million; (3) increasing the Player Impact Program prize pool from \$40 million to \$50 million; and (4) making official the October 2021 compensation announcements.

116. In December 2021, the Tour also disclosed initial plans to copy LIV Golf's team-golf, international, prestigious, exclusive, no-cut, high purse, tournament format. Whereas the Tour and its spokespersons had previously used LIV Golf's new format as an excuse for justifying their opposition to the new entrant, the Tour's announcement that it planned to knock off LIV Golf's format revealed that any opposition based on the new format was merely pretext. And again, the Tour's response to LIV Golf's innovations demonstrates the benefits of competition.

19 117. In February 2022, the Tour leaked further information about its plan to copy LIV Golf's
20 ideas in creating a fall series of team events with high purses and no cuts. With that announcement,
21 the Tour also discussed further plans to increase player compensation, reflecting further competitive
22 benefits of LIV Golf's nascent entry.

118. The increased purses and bonuses that the Tour offered in response to LIV Golf's anticipated entry were, however, a half-measure. They are materially less than the compensation the players would earn in a competitive labor market. In a nutshell: before LIV Golf's anticipated entry, the Tour's market power and the barriers to entry it had created allowed the Tour to compensate its players at levels substantially below what would exist in a competitive market. In response to LIV Golf's attempted entry, the Tour increased player compensation on numerous occasions, but still at less

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 39 of 106

than competitive levels. For example, LIV Golf offers tournament purses between 200 percent to 300
percent higher than PGA Tour's purses, including guaranteed income to all participants. The lowest
purse on the LIV Golf tour is millions of dollars greater than the largest purse ever offered by the PGA
Tour. The point at which compensation becomes competitive will be determined only when the Tour
is enjoined from using its anticompetitive threats, retaliations, and restrictive contractual provisions,
and has to compete on a level playing field with LIV Golf to secure players' services.

7 119. Nonetheless, even the early effects of the threat of competitive entry were striking. The 8 Tour increased player compensation several times in response to the potential competitive entry of LIV Golf, totaling \$135 million in a matter of a few months. This is clear and direct evidence of the Tour's 9 10 monopsony power and the benefits of competition from LIV Golf. It is also direct evidence of the 11 harm to competition that will result if LIV Golf's competitive entry is thwarted. Without the threat of competition from LIV Golf, the Tour would again face neither competition nor any reasonable 12 13 likelihood of competition in the future. The Tour would then have both the ability and incentive to 14 suppress player compensation to the sub-competitive levels that existed in the decades before LIV Golf 15 launched.

16 120. In response to the increased compensation from the PGA Tour, players recognized that
17 the threat of competitive entry prompted the changes:

18	i.	Plaintiff Mickelson: "I'm appreciative of the fact that there is competition, and
19		that leverage has allowed for a much better environment on the PGA Tour,
20		meaning we would not have an incentive program like the PIP [Player Impact
21		Program] for the top players without this type of competition. We would not
22		have the increase in the FedEx Cup money. We would not have the increase in
23		the Players Championship to \$20 million this year if it wasn't for this threat."
24	ii.	Joel Dahmen: "The PGA Tour magically come up with \$40 million for PIP
25		and then there paying us all 50 grand to play 15 events, which is another X
26		million dollars. That's like, \$50 million they just magically found laying
27		around overnight. The money is there. There's a way to do it."
28	iii.	Plaintiff Kokrak: "I'm curious to see if the PGA Tour would've ever increased
		38 COMPLANT DEMAND FOR HIRV TRIAL
		COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

any of that without this competition."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

121. As PGA Tour Member and then-PGA Tour Policy Board Member Jordan Spieth said, "I think as a player overall it [competition from LIV Golf] will benefit us . . . . I can only say from my point of view I think that it's been beneficial to the players to have competition." PGA Tour member Rickie Fowler said, "I think competition is a good thing, and in business, whatever it may be. . . . if you're trying to be the best, you want to find ways that you can be better than your competitors. It goes through sport, business, tours, whatever it may be." And Mr. Fowler noted that these new tours are coming about because the PGA Tour's stale product left players frustrated: "These tours or leagues, however you want to classify or call them, they wouldn't really be coming up if they didn't see that there was more opportunity out there. I've always looked at competition being a good thing. It's the driving force of our game."

12 Then, after LIV Golf had achieved some success with its first LIV Golf Invitational 122. 13 Series event and contracting with some popular golfers, the Tour managed to come up with yet more 14 money to try to deter golfers from leaving the Tour for LIV Golf. On June 21, 2022, just days after 15 LIV Golf London Invitational, the Tour copied LIV Golf's concept of limited field, no cut, team events with high purses, and announced its version of the events to begin in 2023. In that announcement, the 16 17 Tour announced another increase of approximately \$54 million to existing events and, in total, over 18 \$100 million purse increases across all of its events. In its announcement to its players, the Tour 19 admitted the increase came from its "reserves." The Tour had the money, but didn't compensate the 20 athletes or seek to offer innovative tournament ideas until LIV Golf introduced actual-albeit fragilecompetition in the relevant market. On August 1, 2022, the Tour announced the purse amounts for the 21 22 entire 2022–2023 schedule, which totaled a record \$415 million in prize money in official events and 23 another \$145 million in bonuses-further showing how competition from LIV Golf caused the Tour to increase compensation for players. 24

123. The stick. The Tour's increased purses were not successful in deterring player interest
in LIV Golf. As noted, Plaintiffs and other players recognized that competition was good for the game
of golf and for them, and the promise of true competition for their services fueled player interest in LIV
Golf, which offered a more desirable format, more favorable terms for Plaintiffs and other players (such

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 41 of 106

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

as owning their media rights), and far greater compensation than the Tour was offering even with the recent increases in compensation. As a result, in a desperate effort to thwart competitive entry and protect its monopoly position, the Tour launched a vicious campaign of threats against its own members, including Plaintiffs, that promised career destruction for any players who joined LIV Golf.

- 124. After news broke in April 2021 that LIV Golf made formal offers to a number of the top players in the world, on May 4, 2021, Commissioner Monahan addressed a meeting of Tour players (including Plaintiffs) and informed the players that any golfer who joined LIV Golf would immediately lose their status as a PGA Tour member and face *a lifetime ban from the PGA Tour*. Plaintiffs were understandably intimidated by the Tour's threat.
- 10 125. The Tour intended its threat of lifetime ban to be a serious deterrent. It was. The 11 prospect of leaving the Tour for an upstart golf promoter that could not guarantee its long-term 12 existence, under threat of a lifetime ban from the incumbent monopsonist, was prohibitively risky. If 13 banned from the Tour, the player would face a serious risk of being foreclosed from pursuing his chosen 14 profession, a harrowing prospect for any golfer, and particularly younger golfers capable of 20 or more 15 years of elite play.

126. In the 24 hours after the Tour announced that it would impose a lifetime ban on players who join LIV Golf, and after the Tour leaned on them for support, other entities in the golf "ecosystem" issued public statements reinforcing and expanding the Tour's threat:

- Seth Waugh, the CEO of the PGA of America, which sponsors the PGA Championship, publicly indicated the PGA of America's support for the PGA Tour and the European Tour in excluding competition from the "ecosystem of the professional game." He stated: "We are in full support of the PGA Tour and the European Tour regarding the current ecosystem of the professional game."
- Augusta National, which sponsors the Masters, issued a statement that "[t]he PGA Tour and European Tour have each served the global game of golf with honor and distinction. . . . As it has for many decades, the Masters Tournament proudly supports both organizations in their pursuit to promote the game and world's best players."

#### 40 COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

• A spokesperson for the R&A, which sponsors The Open, stated, "we have deep relationships with the [PGA Tour and the European Tour] and are supportive of them."

127. When the Tour learned that LIV Golf was continuing to talk with players' representatives (including Plaintiffs' representatives) despite the threat of lifetime bans, the Tour threatened certain of the players' representatives, saying that it would harm the representatives' and the players' business interests if they continued to engage in discussions with LIV Golf.

128. The Tour threatened—without basis—Plaintiffs, their representatives, and other players and their representatives, that the Tour would withhold players' vested retirement funds if they were to join LIV Golf.

129. Several player representatives, including those of the Plaintiffs, were threatened that the Tour would use its connections to pressure their sponsors to revoke sponsorship agreements were they to join LIV Golf. Upon information and belief, the Tour successfully pressured sponsors to revoke player sponsorships.

#### The Tour Uses Its Strategic Alliance with the European Tour to Exclude LIV Golf and Its Partners from the "Ecosystem"

130. Before the PGA Tour formed an illegal alliance with the European Tour, the European Tour was a willing partner for prospective innovators and entrants into the global golf ecosystem. This included Golf Saudi and the Saudi investors who ultimately sponsored LIV Golf. For example, in a panel discussion in 2019, European Tour CEO Keith Pelley asserted that Saudi Arabia "are at the forefront of helping us develop the game." In fact, the European Tour partnered with Golf Saudi in launching the Saudi International, co-sanctioning the tournament for three years from 2019 to 2021.

131. While the Tour and those it has leaned on now use the Saudi sponsorship of LIV Golf as a weapon to smear golfers (including the Plaintiffs) who play in LIV Golf events and justify their attacks on the golfers, Mr. Pelley's statements reveal that attacks on the Saudi sponsorship of LIV Golf are pure pretext. The Tour had no problem entering into a partnership with the European Tour at the same time that the European Tour co-sanctioned the Saudi International and while Mr. Pelley gushed about the prospect of partnering with Golf Saudi to grow the sport. And the Tour has no problem

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 43 of 106

accepting its own sponsorship money from companies that do billions of dollars in business with Saudi 1 2 Arabia each year. An estimated 23 PGA Tour sponsors conduct regular business with Saudi Arabia 3 each year—an estimated \$40 billion dollars of business with Saudi Arabia. That the PGA Tour eagerly 4 does business with these companies while criticizing golfers for playing on a tour primarily sponsored 5 by the Public Investment Fund of Saudi Arabia is the height of hypocrisy. And it exposes as pure 6 pretext any notion that the Tour is orchestrating an attack on the players because the Tour is somehow 7 unable to do business with anyone who has business connections to Saudi Arabia. The Tour's 8 campaign to destroy these players is purely about defeating competition. Twitter

9 132. The European Tour's support for Golf Saudi changed starkly once the European Tour 10 entered into its alliance with the PGA Tour and when Golf Saudi supported a potential competitive entrant to the PGA Tour. 11

12 133. The Tour's agreement with the European Tour to form a group boycott to block competitive entry that could challenge the PGA Tour's dominance is a matter of public record. For example, on May 4, 2021, the European Tour released a statement that "we are aligned with the PGA 15 Tour in opposing an alternative golf league, in the strongest possible terms."

13

14

27

28

16 134. Just over a week later, on May 12, 2021, European Tour Commissioner Keith Pelley 17 wrote to representatives of Golf Saudi, noting its understanding that "Golf Saudi appears to be leading 18 the current pursuit of a new golfing enterprise, referred to widely as the Super Golf League or [LIV 19 Golf]." Commissioner Pelley wrote that the European Tour believed Golf Saudi was "talking to our 20 members about joining this rebel enterprise." In an effort to deter Golf Saudi from supporting a new 21 entrant, Commissioner Pelley threatened that the European Tour would refuse to co-sanction the Saudi 22 International (which the European Tour had co-sanctioned since 2019) unless Golf Saudi "publicly 23 denounce[d] [LIV Golf]."

24 Commissioner Pelley also made clear that his threats to Golf Saudi were in furtherance 135. 25 of the European Tour's anticompetitive agreement with the Tour to lock arms in a global "ecosystem" to foreclose LIV Golf's entry: 26

> We had, and indeed still have, aspirations of working with Golf Saudi in continuing to build the Saudi International into a world class event, and indeed look for other opportunities and have shared this view with our Strategic

#### 42 COMPLAINT - DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

Alliance partners at the PGA TOUR.

It is, however, impossible for us to continue those discussions while Golf Saudi is championing an alternative Tour that we believe is detrimental to both the European Tour, the PGA TOUR and global professional golf. I know PGA TOUR Commissioner Jay Monahan feels the same.

We would therefore encourage you in the strongest possible terms to publicly denounce SGL as soon as possible which would allow us to reopen dialogue about the Saudi International and how Golf Saudi, operating inside the ecosystem, could resume the joint vision we began in 2017.<sup>5</sup>

136. The Tour and the European Tour also threatened other prospective partners of LIV Golf, making clear that they will seek to punish those who support LIV Golf by excluding them from the so-8 called world golf "ecosystem." For example, LIV Golf sought to enter into a relationship with the 9 Asian Tour to co-sanction LIV Golf's tournaments to ensure that players would qualify for OWGR 10 points (which, as described above, is essential to the long-term success of an elite level tour) and to establish a broader relationship for investment in the Asian Tour to grow the sport globally. In 12 response, the European Tour sent a list of "Consequences" to the CEO of the Asian Tour-under the logos of the European Tour and the PGA Tour-that the Asian Tour would suffer if it entered into any partnership with LIV Golf. Those consequences included (1) eliminating a "[p]athway for Asian Tour 15 members onto European Tour," (2) taking away "[e]xisting tournaments we co-sanction, totaling in 16 excess of US\$10m of prize money and 250 playing opportunities," (3) eliminating all future "cosanctioned tournaments between the European Tour/PGA TOUR and Asian Tour" and (4) the Asian 18 Tour would lose its "[p]osition within existing global golf ecosystem." Notably, the European Tour and Tour threatened not only to punish the Asian Tour directly, but to punish golfers on the Asian Tour by eliminating a "pathway for Asian Tour members onto [the] European Tour" and by removing prize money that had previously been available to Asian Tour members. Despite these threats, LIV Golf 22 was able to offer constructive collaboration and investment in the Asian Tour sufficient to convince the Asian Tour to partner with LIV Golf in the face of the threats from the European Tour and Tour.

25

137.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

13

14

17

19

20

21

23

24

26 27 Despite these threats, LIV Golf and its sponsors continued in its effort to work

constructively with existing golfing bodies in its effort to grow the sport. For example, on July 5, 2021,

<sup>28</sup> <sup>5</sup> "SGL" in Commissioner Pelley's email stands for Saudi Golf League and refers to the entity and potential entrant that is now known as LIV Golf.

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 45 of 106

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

representatives of the entities that would sponsor LIV Golf met in Malta with leaders of European Tour. 2 They presented an offer that would have made the European Tour a partner in innovating in the sport 3 worth up to \$1 billion for the European Tour. As reflected in the meeting minutes provided by a representative of the European Tour's title sponsor (DP World), the representatives from European 4 5 Tour were "[g]rateful for the detailed work and preparation" and "[c]onfirmed" the LIV Golf series had "appeal and fit." However, the European Tour representatives "stated main issue is US PGA 6 7 mighty power and need to avoid a collision course between ET and PGA." Simply put, partnering 8 with LIV Golf was good for the European Tour, its members, and the sport of golf, but the European 9 Tour feared the "mighty power" of the PGA Tour, turning down the opportunity to partner with LIV 10 Golf because of its "need to avoid a collision course between ET and PGA."

When Golf Saudi did not yield to Commissioner Pelley's May 2021 demand that it 138. "publicly denounce" LIV Golf, the European Tour followed through on its threat to refuse to continue sanctioning the Saudi International. Then, in August 2021, the Tour announced through the press that it would not grant any PGA Tour members conflict releases for the Saudi International as it had done since 2019, because the Saudi International was no longer sanctioned by the European Tour.

In response to the PGA Tour's threats to deny releases to play in the Saudi International, 139. the players expressed their concerns:

- a. Sergio Garcia: "When you get banned from playing, or whatever, it hurts the game.... People want to see us play all around the world and enjoy us wherever we go."
- b. Rory McIlroy: "My view as a professional golfer is I'm an independent contractor, I should be able to play where I want if I have the credentials and I have the eligibility to do so.... Just the one thing I would worry about is if guys want to go to Saudi and they are going to make ten percent of their yearly income just by going and playing and [the PGA Tour is] restricting them from doing that, punishing them, that creates resentment for the players and that creates a problem between the tours."
- Xander Schauffele: "I feel like there just needs to be some sort of counter in the way c. certain things work. I'll try and do what I need to do, and they'll tell me what I can and can't do at a certain point, but I feel like they need to counter. They can't just tell me

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

no, you can't do this and then just kick rocks, kid. That's not really how I'd want to do things."

140. Despite the Tour's threat, the demand from the Tour members to play in this non-Toursanctioned event was so strong that over 30 players (including Plaintiffs Mickelson and DeChambeau) sought releases to play in the Saudi International. In response to this pressure from the players, the Tour granted the release requests under the Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations, but imposed conditions on the players (including Plaintiffs). The Tour informed players that the Regulations fully supported the denial of the players' requests but that it would permit players to play in the Saudi International provided that: (1) players who have not played in the AT&T Pebble Beach Pro-Am (a PGA Tour event that takes place annually in this district) at least once in the last five years must commit to playing Pebble Beach at least twice in the next three years; and (2) players who have played Pebble Beach at least once in the last five years must commit to play Pebble Beach at least once in the next two years. The Tour did not impose these conditions and restrictions when it granted past releases—it did so only after Golf Saudi refused the threat to denounce and boycott LIV Golf.

141. In a message to European Tour members, Commissioner Pelley made clear that the opposition to having players participate in the Saudi International, which the European Tour had co-sanctioned from 2019 to 2021, was purely an attack on competition from LIV Golf, which he described as "a clear existential threat." As Commissioner Pelley stated, "we have done everything we can to encourage the Asian Tour and LIV Investments to play within our ecosystem," letting golfers play for a partner of LIV Golf would "damage" our business. Commissioner Pelley was blunt in conceding that the tours are acting to protect their own business interests, which diverge from the interests of the players whom they are supposed to support: "We want the best for our members but at the same time will vehemently do everything we can to protect your Tour."

142. Similarly, on December 16, 2021, the PGA Tour and European Tour flexed the muscle
of their group boycott and made good on their threats to the Asian Tour. After the Asian Tour accepted
investment and partnership with LIV Golf, Asian Tour CEO Cho Minn Thant received a call from
Martin Slumbers, the CEO of the R&A, which hosts The Open. Mr. Slumbers told Mr. Thant that the
R&A would end its years-long practice of giving the Asian Tour Order of Merit winner entry into The

1

Open because the PGA Tour and European Tour, with whom the R&A was aligned, were displeased 2 about LIV Golf's investment in the Asian Tour. Days later, the media confirmed the R&A would 3 revoke the Asian Tour Order of Merit winner's entry into the Open, identifying punishment of LIV Golf as the basis for harming individual Asian Tour players. Once again, the Tour and those it was 4 5 pressuring were attacking LIV Golf and its partners by punishing golfers who had any association with LIV Golf. 6

7 As LIV Golf's player recruitment efforts continued, the Tour encouraged the European 143. 8 Tour to tighten its grip on its members and ensure they would not leave the "ecosystem" to play with 9 LIV Golf. In threatening and imposing punishment on European Tour members, Commissioner Pelley 10 made clear that it was doing so (1) pursuant to its agreement with the PGA Tour, (2) in an effort to 11 thwart competition from LIV Golf, and (3) that the punishments were aimed at coercing players to act 12 contrary to their individual interests. For example, on April 19, 2022, Commissioner Pelley wrote to 13 European Tour members, reminding them of the European Tour's Conflicting Events Regulation. He stated: "Conflicting events, regardless of how attractive they might appear to you personally, 14 15 potentially compromise our efforts in these areas and could significantly hurt your Tour in both the short and long term." He continued: "Please, therefore, continue to bear this bigger picture in mind, 16 17 particularly considering some of these conflicting events in 2022 are scheduled directly opposite some 18 of our most prestigious 'heritage events." He also stated: "We are unwavering in our belief that 19 working together with PGA Tour . . . will make our sport less fractured and benefit global golf."

20 144. As part of their concerted efforts to tighten the reins, on June 24, 2022, the PGA Tour and European Tour suspended golfers who participated in the initial LIV Golf tournament from their 21 three co-sanctioned events-the Scottish Open, Barbasol, and Barracuda Championships-and fined 22 23 the suspended golfers €100,000. They further threatened to double the sanctions for future violations (which all participants in the second LIV Golf tournament in Portland, Oregon had already committed). 24

25 145. Just four days later, on June 28, 2022, the PGA Tour and the European Tour announced a further agreement to solidify their strategic alliance whereby: (1) the PGA Tour invested more in the 26 27 European Tour Productions (the European Tour's media arm) to take a 40% share; and (2) the PGA 28 Tour arranged for the European Tour to be a direct feeder tour into the PGA Tour, with the top 10

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 48 of 106

performing golfers on the European Tour earning PGA Tour cards. In a press conference announcing the agreement, when asked whether players who play in LIV Golf events could earn the tour cards, European Tour Commissioner Pelley and PGA Tour Commissioner Monahan both struggled to answer, until Commissioner Pelley conceded their plan to impose total bans on golfers who participate in LIV Golf events: "This won't come into place until next year and I honestly don't think we'll have that problem by then" because LIV Golf players will not be permitted to play on the European Tour to earn a PGA Tour card.

8 146. The PGA Tour's agreement with the European Tour to form a group boycott against 9 LIV Golf and its players is further reflected in the punishments the European Tour imposed on its 10 members who participated in LIV Golf events. The European Tour has historically considered playing in a competing event without a release to be a minor breach of its Regulations, with a punishment of 11 12 €12,000 for a violation. In contrast, when its members participated in the first LIV Golf event, the 13 European Tour issued punitive sanctions at the behest of the Tour, including fines of approximately €100,000 and suspensions from the three events the European Tour co-sanctions with the PGA Tour 14 15 (but not other European Tour events not co-sanctioned by the PGA Tour), and threatened that 16 participating in further LIV Golf events would lead to double fines and suspensions. To engineer these 17 punishments, the European Tour first amended its regulations twice-after entering into the illegal 18 alliance with the PGA Tour-to make the relevant violation of the European Tour's Regulation a 19 "Serious Breach," which would give the Commissioner discretion to punish players and expand the 20 scope of the Regulation.

147. On July 1, 2022 three of the golfers suspended from the co-sanctioned events, Ian
Poulter, Adrian Otaegui, and Justin Harding, sued the European Tour to stay their suspensions and
allow them to participate in the Scottish Open. The players challenged the European Tour's sanction
process as unfair and partial, and challenged the legality of its regulations. They also challenged the
sanctions as contrary to the European Tour's interest, as it was clear the European Tour was acting in
concert with the PGA Tour.

148. The matter was referred to an arbitrator (pursuant to European Tour rules and an
agreement between the players and the European Tour to stay the players' suit), who granted the

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 49 of 106

players' request to stay their suspension from the Scottish Open until the merits of their appeal could
be heard before an independent panel. The arbitrator reasoned that European Tour CEO and
Commissioner Keith Pelley undertook "no process . . . close to replicating the guidelines for a
disciplinary hearing" and "was on record as having made strong adverse public statements on LIV,"
and, as the European Tour stated itself, he was "necessarily partial.""

6 149. On July 1, 2022, the PGA Tour demonstrated its power over the European Tour, and 7 laid bare its purely anticompetitive motives in banning participants in LIV Golf events from its 8 tournaments, by banning from the co-sanctioned events in the United States (the Barbasol and the 9 Barracuda) all European Tour golfers in good standing who had played in the LIV Golf London 10 Invitational. These golfers were not members of the PGA Tour, and thus could not have violated any 11 PGA Tour rule. And even though they are members of the European Tour, they had not violated any 12 European Tour rule because they were permitted by the European Tour to participate in the LIV Golf 13 event.<sup>6</sup> Nonetheless, the PGA Tour barred these golfers from playing in co-sanctioned events, because 14 the PGA Tour has a policy of total foreclosure of LIV Golf players from any of its events.

# 15 150. On July 20, 2022, in furtherance of its agreement with the Tour to boycott LIV Golf and those who associate with it, the European Tour removed Henrik Stenson as the European Team's 2023 Ryder Cup Captain because he joined LIV Golf.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

#### PGA Tour Leans on the Majors to Do Its Bidding Against LIV Golf

151. The Tour's threats to impose bans on players who join LIV Golf are vastly strengthened if the ban encompasses not only PGA Tour events, but also the four annual Major Championships the PGA Championship, the Masters, the Open, and the U.S. Open—as well as the biannual Ryder Cup. Participating in and winning the Majors and the Ryder Cup are the ultimate goal of most top professional golfers. And, in turn, one of the goals of playing on a tour each year is to secure qualification to the Majors and the Ryder Cup. The Tour is aware that if it can foreclose LIV Golf players from having access to these events—or even create enough credible doubt about whether participation in LIV Golf will end a player's chance of playing in those events—LIV Golf will find it

27

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The golfers did not need a release from the European Tour to play in the LIV Golf London event because they had not qualified for the conflicting event on the European Tour. Thus, the golfers had not breached any European Tour rule and were not subject to any discipline from the European Tour.
 48

1

4

5

6

prohibitively difficult to sign and sustain a critical mass of players to field a competitive elite-level

tour. Accordingly, the Tour has pressured and encouraged the Major organizations to join its group
boycott and to prevent LIV Golf from entering the global golf ecosystem.

152. For example, in January 2020, PGA Tour Commissioner Jay Monahan wrote in his Monopoly Manifesto: "We have liaised with each [Major] organization to learn of its position regarding Private Equity Golf."

7 As with the Tour's ramping up of its player threats over time as the threat of LIV Golf's 153. 8 competitive entry has grown, the Tour's pressure on the Major organizations has grown over time as 9 well. For example, as part of its strategy to pressure the Majors into doing its bidding, in July 2022, 10 the Tour had its 2022 Presidents Cup Captain and Hall of Fame Golfer Davis Love III use his position 11 and influence to publicly encourage Tour members to enter into a group boycott of the Majors if the 12 Majors do not ban all players who have played in LIV Golf. As Mr. Love stated, in encouraging a per 13 se unlawful group boycott among Tour members: "Well, here's the biggest lever; and it's not the nice 14 lever. But if a group of veterans and a group of top current players align with 150 guys on the Tour, 15 and we say, 'Guess what? We're not playing,' that solves it, right? If LIV guys play in the U.S. Open, we're not playing. If they sue in court, and they win, well, we're not playing. You know, there won't 16 17 be a U.S. Open. It's just like a baseball strike." As Mr. Love's comments make clear, the Tour and its 18 representatives view themselves as being above the law, exempt from the requirements of the Sherman 19 Act, and free to engineer a self-help group boycott aimed at frustrating any injunction entered by this 20 Court.

21 154. The PGA Championship and the Ryder Cup. The PGA of America is a separate 22 entity from the PGA Tour, which organizes the PGA Championship and co-organizes the Ryder Cup 23 along with the European Tour. The PGA of America has a representative, President Jim Richerson, on 24 the PGA Tour Policy Board. On May 4, 2021, during a time when LIV Golf was gaining momentum 25 in attracting players' interest and on the eve of the PGA Championship in South Carolina, the CEO of the PGA of America, Seth Waugh, stated publicly that the PGA of America was aligned with the Tour 26 27 in opposing LIV Golf's competitive entry. Specifically, he said, "We [PGA of America] are in full 28 support of the PGA Tour and the European Tour regarding the current ecosystem of the professional

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 51 of 106

game." Then, two weeks later, Mr. Waugh said that the PGA of America would ban players from 1 2 future PGA Championships and the Ryder Cup if they joined LIV Golf. Specifically, Mr. Waugh said, 3 "If someone wants to play on a Ryder Cup for the U.S., they're going to need to be a member of the 4 PGA TOUR—excuse me, a member of the PGA of America, and they get that membership through 5 being a member of the TOUR. ... It's a little murkier in our championship, but to play from a U.S. 6 perspective you also have to be a member of the TOUR and the PGA of America to play in our 7 championship, and we don't see that changing." Mr. Waugh went on to state, "I believe the Europeans 8 feel the same way. And so I don't know that we can be more clear than that." Mr. Waugh's public 9 threat inaccurately characterized the PGA of America's Constitution, as there are many ways to be a 10 member of the PGA of America beyond being a member on the PGA Tour.

11

At the September 2021 Ryder Cup, PGA of America representatives privately 155. threatened golfers and their representatives that they would be banned from future Ryder Cups and the 12 13 PGA Championship if they joined LIV Golf.

14 156. Mr. Waugh repeated the threat a year later at the 2022 PGA Championship. He said, 15 "As I said, we're a fan of the current ecosystem and world golf ranking system and everything else that 16 goes into creating the best field in golf. Right now we really-I don't know what it'll look like next 17 year. We don't think this [LIV Golf] is good for the game and we are supportive of that ecosystem. 18 We have our own bylaws that we will follow towards those fields." He was then asked by the media, 19 "I'm sorry do your bylaws preclude letting those players [players who played in LIV Golf] play?" Mr. 20 Waugh responded, "Not specifically, but our bylaws do say that you have to be a recognized member 21 of a recognized Tour in order to be a PGA member somewhere, and therefore eligible to play."

22 157. And then, in June 2022, the 2023 PGA of America Ryder Cup Captain Zach Johnson 23 repeated the same unfounded threat and expanded it to suggest that Plaintiffs will not be eligible for 24 the 2023 Ryder Cup. When he was asked by the media whether a player who plays in LIV Golf will 25 be eligible for his 2023 Captain Picks, he responded, "The way that we're members of the PGA of America is through the PGA Tour. I'll let you connect the dots from there." 26

27 158. The Open. The R&A, the global golf rules organization and promoter of The Open 28 Championship, has taken multiple actions to support the PGA Tour's efforts to exclude LIV Golf. For

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 52 of 106

example, the R&A has taken away the Asian Tour's Order of Merit winner's entry into the Open 1 2 Championship in order to deter the Asian Tour from partnering with LIV Golf. Similarly, the CEO of 3 the R&A (Martin Slumbers) and the Chairman of Augusta National (Fred Ridley) called the CEO of 4 the Asian Tour (Cho Minn Thant) to threaten consequences relating to the Asian Tour's position in the 5 current "ecosystem" if the Asian Tour continued to support LIV Golf and its LIV Golf Invitational 6 Series. More recently, in July 2022, the R&A demonstrated its alignment with the PGA Tour by 7 publicly disinviting two-time Open Championship winner Greg Norman from champions events at the 8 150th Open Championship because he is the CEO of LIV Golf. The R&A also informed Mr. Mickelson 9 he was not welcome. And, at the Open Championship in July 2022, R&A CEO Martin Slumbers 10 suggested that players who play in LIV Golf may not be eligible or qualify for future Open 11 Championships, and that it would be harder for them to make it in the tournaments.

12 159. The Masters. Augusta National, the promoter of The Masters, has taken multiple actions 13 to indicate its alignment with the PGA Tour, thus seeding doubt among top professional golfers whether 14 they would be banned from future Masters Tournaments. As an initial matter, the links between the 15 PGA Tour and Augusta National run deep. The actions by Augusta National indicate that the PGA 16 Tour has used these channels to pressure Augusta National to do its bidding. For example, in February, 17 2022 Augusta National representatives threatened to disinvite players from The Masters if they joined 18 LIV Golf. In addition, Augusta National Chairman Fred Ridley personally instructed a number of 19 participants in the 2022 Masters not to play in the LIV Golf Invitational Series. Plainly, these threats 20 to top players served no beneficial purpose, as they would only serve to weaken the field in the Masters.

160. In May, 2022 the PGA Tour also encouraged Augusta National representatives to attend
Tour Player Advisory Council meetings to discuss ramifications for players participating in LIV Golf
events, further demonstrating how the Tour has leaned on Augusta National to aid it in dissuading
golfers from joining LIV Golf.

161. And, when LIV Golf CEO Greg Norman asked Mr. Ridley if he would meet with him
to understand LIV Golf's business model and discuss how LIV Golf could operate in the existing
professional golf world, Mr. Ridley declined the invitation—another example of LIV Golf trying to
work with existing golfing entities and being turned away before even getting an opportunity to show

them what LIV Golf is about.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

162. In addition, the Tour and others are utilizing their positions on the Governing Board of the OWGR to create enough credible doubt about whether LIV Golf will be eligible for OWGR points and whether players who participate in OWGR will be able to earn points playing in LIV Golf tournaments.

#### The Tour Announces Policy to Permanently Ban Players Who Join LIV Golf

163. Between January 2020 and February 2022, the Tour increased the severity of its threats of punishment to any player who would consider joining LIV Golf, as well as threats to the players' representatives and entities involved in golf sponsorship and advertisement. These threats, both individually and in combination, were anticompetitive acts that harmed Plaintiffs and tortiously interfered with the Plaintiffs' business relationships.

12 With multiple press reports in early 2022 describing LIV Golf's forward momentum 164. 13 and reporting that LIV Golf was nearing the critical mass needed to launch its tour, the Tour once again increased its threats to the players. In February 2022, the Tour gathered the agents of players (including 14 15 Plaintiffs' agents) who were assembled for a Tour event in Los Angeles, California and informed them 16 that the Tour would impose a lifetime ban on any player who signed with LIV Golf. This threat was a 17 significant deterrent for players to take the risk to join LIV Golf. At that time, LIV Golf had not held 18 its first tournament, and there was simply too great of a risk of career destruction in the face of such 19 unlawful and brazen threats. For example, one star player, who had been in favor of joining the LIV 20 Golf League before the threat, stated that younger players were "s\*\*\*ting in [their] pants" in response to this threat, and that he was not sure how LIV Golf could get the players it needed with the Tour's 21 22 lifetime ban threat.

165. On February 22, 2022, Commissioner Monahan addressed a meeting of Tour players at
the Honda Classic and reiterated that any player who joined LIV Golf would receive a lifetime ban
from the Tour. According to an article quoting an anonymous player present at the meeting,
Commissioner Monahan told players that if they were going to play in the league operated by LIV Golf
to "walk out that door now" and "made the ban seem like it was in all capital letters."

28

166. The Tour's threats of punishment and career destruction greatly affected LIV Golf's

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 54 of 106

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

ability to sign enough elite professional golfers to fill out its League. Some players (including Plaintiff DeChambeau) who had previously signed contracts with LIV Golf were forced to publicly profess loyalty to the Tour. Other players who had previously agreed in principle to all terms with LIV Golf informed LIV Golf that they now could not sign, and instead publicly professed loyalty to the Tour. Players who had been enthusiastic about joining LIV Golf informed LIV Golf that they regrettably could not join in light of these threats. Just as Commissioner Monahan had predicted in his 2020 Memorandum outlining the PGA Tour's plan to attack a new entrant, a competing tour without player support would prove unable to pose a competitive threat to the PGA Tour.

167. The Tour's lifetime ban policy had its desired effect, as LIV Golf League's 2022 launchplan died. Plaintiffs were denied the opportunity for increased playing and income opportunities andsustained competition for their services.Doesn't mention Phil/Shipnuck

#### LIV Golf Invitational Series

168. 13 Forced to scrap its plans for a 2022 launch of the League, LIV Golf regrouped and 14 developed a substantially scaled-down launch plan that became known as the LIV Golf Invitational 15 Series. The Invitational Series did not include franchised teams or other planned League features, and promised two fewer events in 2022. Instead, on March 16, 2022, LIV Golf announced that the 16 17 Invitational Series would feature an eight-event series showcasing a new golf format starting in June 18 2022. The format features both individual and team play, and offers more than \$250 million dollars in 19 prize purses. The first seven LIV Golf Invitational Series events each carry a purse of \$25 million 20 dollars, comprised of \$20 million dollars in individual prizes (all players in the field earn a share) and \$5 million dollars, split among the top three teams. Following the first seven LIV Golf Invitational 21 22 Series events, an Individual Champion will be crowned and a \$30 million dollar bonus prize will be 23 split among the top three individual performers throughout the series. The eighth LIV Golf Invitational 24 Series event will be a Team Championship that will provide an additional \$50 million dollars in total 25 prize funds. The LIV Golf Invitational 2022 schedule started with the LIV Golf London Invitational on June 9-11, 2022, the LIV Golf Portland Invitational at the Pumpkin Ridge Golf Club on June 30-26 27 July 2, 2022, and the LIV Golf New York Invitational in Bedminster, New Jersey on July 29–31, 2022. 28 The remaining LIV Golf scheduled events are:

- Sept. 2–4: The International Boston, Massachusetts
- Sept. 16–18: Rich Harvest Farms Chicago, Illinois
- Oct. 7–9: Stonehill Golf Club Bangkok, Thailand
- Oct. 14–16: Royal Greens Golf Club Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
- Oct. 28–30: Trump Doral Golf Course Miami, Florida

169. During weeks in which there is no LIV Golf Invitational Series tournament, LIV Golf encourages players to play wherever they choose, including Tour events, other events on other tours, or events that might be created in the future (and which are currently prevented from developing because of the Tour's restrictive rules).

#### Efforts to Prevent and Harm LIV Golf's Invitational Series

170. On March 15, 2022, LIV Golf Commissioner and CEO Greg Norman sent emails regarding the LIV Golf Invitational Series to approximately 250 top professional golfers (including Plaintiffs). Plaintiffs were excited that LIV Golf was going to host tournaments despite the obstacles the Tour put in its path. On March 23, 2022, LIV Golf formally invited the same group of players to participate in the LIV Golf Invitational Series. Several players (including Plaintiffs) reached out to LIV Golf to say that they were interested in playing in the Invitationals, but they were concerned about doing so in light of the Tour's threats to players. Plaintiffs remained interested in LIV Golf and continued discussions, as did others.

171. Plaintiffs and many other players (at least 170 golfers) filed entry application for LIV Golf Invitational Series' first event. Plaintiffs and, on information and belief, some 80 Tour members sought conflicting events and media rights releases from the PGA Tour under the Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations.

172. In furtherance of its monopsony and its illegal agreement with the European Tour, on May 10, 2022, the Tour denied *all* requests from Tour members to participate in LIV Golf Invitational Series events. The denials were striking, because the Tour has historically granted releases to players to permit them to participate in events outside the U.S., but in this case the Tour issued an across-the-board denial for an event taking place in London. In its letter to the players denying the release requests, the Tour made clear that the reason it was departing from past practice was that LIV Golf planned to compete against the PGA Tour in North America:

While releases have been granted in limited circumstances for one off-events outside North America or for events outside of North America on tours based exclusively outside of North America, the event for which you have requested a release is the first in an eight-event "2022 LIV Golf Invitational Series" season, and more than half of them will be held in the United States.

173. There is no possible procompetitive justification for the denial, particularly because as the Tour acknowledged—it would have granted the release for another event or tour that was not trying to compete against the Tour. This was simply an effort to defeat competition.

174. Then, unsatisfied with prohibiting all current Tour members from participating in LIV Golf events, the Tour extended its threat college golfers, explaining that if they played in any LIV Golf events they would be banned from entry into the PGA Tour University program, which provides top college golfers entry into the Tour's developmental tour (Korn Ferry Tour). Again, this action served no procompetitive purpose, but was simply aimed at thwarting competition by preventing LIV Golf from being able to secure top golfers to participate in its tournaments.

175. On May 17, 2022, the European Tour acted in concert with the Tour and sent notices to its members denying them permission to participate in the LIV Golf Invitational Series event in London. The European Tour stated that the basis for the denial is that the LIV Golf Invitational Series event will compete with its European Tour event. Notably, however, the European Tour historically did not deny golfers requests to participate in conflicting events.

176. In response to these threats, LIV Golf was forced to commit to substantial up-front payments to a number of top golfers to convince the players to take on the risk of punishment from the Tour, as well as the risk of lost sponsorships and other injuries orchestrated by the Tour. These substantial payments have greatly increased LIV Golf's costs of launching its Invitational Series, and, if the Tour's conduct is not enjoined, the ongoing cash outlays significantly impact long-term viability of LIV Golf.

177. On May 31, 2022, LIV Golf announced the field for its London Invitational. In that announcement, the field included 16 PGA Tour players, 22 European Tour players, three promising young amateurs, and a number of other top players from across the world. Players were very interested in the product. But it was not the quality of field LIV Golf set out to have and was not the field of players LIV Golf would have had but for the PGA Tour's unlawful regulations and threats.

COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

178. Tour members who agreed to participate in the LIV Golf London Invitational publicly expressed the difficulty of doing so in light of the Tour's conduct.

- a. For example, the agent for PGA Tour member Dustin Johnson released a statement that: "Dustin has been contemplating this opportunity off-and-on for the past couple of years. Ultimately, he decided it was in his and his family's best interest to pursue it. Dustin has never had any issue with the PGA Tour and is grateful for all it has given him, but in the end felt this was too compelling to pass up."
  - b. Plaintiff Matt Jones averred that participating in the LIV Golf Invitational Series
    "was a good business opportunity for me and my family. I like the concept, the idea of the three-day tournaments, [and] the team format aspect of things is great. I have thought about that [threat of punishment from the PGA Tour], which is something I had to weigh. I don't think banning players is a good look for the PGA Tour, or for golf in general."
    - c. PGA Tour member Graeme McDowell stated, "[t]he perceived consequences are definitely concerning. It was an exceedingly difficult decision. It is a difficult decision as a player when there's so many unknowns. We do not know what the reaction is going to be. It just boils down to the fact that I am a business and I have operated all over the world for 20 years. This is a compelling opportunity."

21 179. Other Tour members who agreed to compete in the LIV Golf London Invitational
22 welcomed the innovations LIV Golf brought to the game. Player Plaintiff Swafford stated that LIV
23 Golf's "[s]chedule is very enticing to a guy who has two small kids. I think the format, the team aspect,
24 is going to be incredible. Look at Zurich [the Zurich Classic of New Orleans, which is a two-man team
25 event], putting teams together turned an event that was in a tough part of the schedule into one that gets
26 some incredible fields. I'm really looking forward to seeing how that works."

27 180. After the LIV Golf field was announced, the PGA Tour Player Advisory Council held
28 an emergency meeting with representatives from Augusta National present. They informed the golfers

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 58 of 106

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

in attendance that the PGA Tour and Augusta National had agreed to work together to address LIV Golf. As described above, the threat of exclusion from the Masters (and the other Majors) is a powerful weapon in the Tour's arsenal to deter players from joining LIV Golf.

181. On information and belief, the Tour also ramped up its pressure on sponsors to prevent them from doing business with players who join LIV Golf, including pressuring a number of sponsors to sever longstanding relationships with players.

182. The Tour also continued its campaign of direct pressure on players to seek to convince them to withdraw from the LIV Golf event. The Tour sent letters to all Tour members listed in LIV Golf's May 31, 2022 press release, notifying them they were in violation of the PGA Tour Member Regulations and that the Tour Commissioner would take "appropriate course of action" against the players unless they withdrew from the LIV Golf Invitational Series event "in a manner reasonably satisfactory to the [PGA] Tour within forty-eight (48) hours." The European Tour sent similar notices to its members who were included in the LIV Golf Invitational Series field.

14 183. The PGA Tour also enforced its Regulations on players agreeing to participate in LIV
15 Golf Invitational Series who had not even qualified for the Tour but are members of the developmental
16 Korn Ferry Tour owned by the PGA Tour (and subject to nearly identical Regulations). For example,
17 the PGA Tour applied its Regulations to prohibit Korn Ferry Tour members Mr. Uihlein and Turk Pettit
18 from participating in LIV Golf Invitational Series.

19 The PGA Tour also sent a letter to Andy Ogletree, a Korn Ferry Tour Member, 184. threatening him with punishment if he played in the LIV Golf event. In response, Mr. Ogletree reached 20 21 out to Tour Vice President of Competition Administration Kristen Burgess regarding the Tour's denial of his release request. Mr. Ogletree explained that he had not qualified for the conflicting event on the 22 23 Korn Ferry Tour taking place the same weekend as the London LIV Golf Invitational Series. Thus, his 24 participation in the London LIV Golf Invitational Series event did not keep him from otherwise 25 participating in a Korn Ferry Tour event (or, for that matter, a PGA Tour events). Mr. Ogletree informed the Tour that he had "spent thousands and thousands of dollars" in his unsuccessful effort to 26 27 play in Korn Ferry Tour and PGA Tour events. He asked the PGA Tour: "Should I just sit at home on 28 my couch next week and not make any money? It seems like this is your stance." Mr. Ogletree also

### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 59 of 106

1					
1	noted the inconsistency of the Tour's stance since it had given Mr. Ogletree a release to participate in				
2	the Asian Tour International Series event from June 2–5, 2022 sponsored by LIV Golf. In response,				
3	the Tour cited the fact the LIV Golf Invitational Series will host events in the United States-				
4	specifically, that the LIV Golf Invitational Series competes with the Tour-as the basis for his event				
5	release denial.				
6	185. This episode highlights that there is no conceivable procompetitive justification for the				
7	Tour's punishment of players for participating in LIV Golf events. Mr. Ogletree was not going to play				
8	in any PGA Tour or Korn Ferry Tour event that weekend, because he was not qualified by those tours				
9	to participate in their events. The LIV Golf event thus did not pull Mr. Ogletree away from any PGA				
10	Tour event. Instead, it simply provided an opportunity for a player to pursue his trade and earn				
11	compensation. And yet the PGA Tour denied a release for Mr. Ogletree and subjected him to discipline				
12	for the offense of playing in a tournament when he otherwise would have been "just sit[ting] at home				
13	on [his] couch."				
14	186. It also demonstrates that the PGA Tour's opposition to LIV Golf is not based on the				
15	source of capital for LIV Golf events. The Tour granted a release to Mr. Ogletree to play in the Asian				
16	Tour event that was funded by LIV Golf, because the Asian Tour is not competing with the PGA Tour.				
17	But when Mr. Ogletree sought to participate in an event that the PGA Tour deemed a competitive				
18	threat, the Tour denied the release and threatened punishment against him.				
19					
	187. The Tour then went further to contact individually players who had chosen to play in				
20	the LIV Golf Invitational Series. Among them was Player Plaintiff Gooch. PGA Tour Chief				
21	Tournament & Competitions Officer Andy Pazder texted Mr. Gooch on June 2: "Just want to make				
22	sure you understand the implications of playing without an approved conflicting event release." Mr.				
23	Gooch responded, "Davis [Love III] called yesterday and said jay [Monahan, PGA Tour				
24	Commissioner] is going to suspend, is this true?" In response, Mr. Pazder told Mr. Gooch that he				
25	would be banned from the Tour for life if he played in one LIV Golf Invitational Series event: "Our				
26	position has been that a player may choose to be a member of the Tour or to play in the Saudi/LIV				
27	events, but he can't do both. If the player chooses the latter, he should not expect to be welcomed				
28	back."				
	58				
	COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				

COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 60 of 106

188. On June 3, 2022, the PGA Tour sent an additional letter to all its members who had 1 2 agreed to participate in the LIV Golf London Invitational, informing them: "pursuant to Article VII, 3 Section C, you are being placed on probation until further notice. Specifically, as reflected in the 4 Notice of Disciplinary Inquiry to you dated June 1, 2022, the rule infraction triggering your probation 5 is violation of Article V, Section A.2 of the PGA Tour Player Handbook & Tournament Regulations 6 ("Regulations"). Accordingly, if you violate any other rule of the PGA Tour while on probation 7 including, but not limited to, violating Article V, Section B.1, which prohibits your participation in a 8 live or recorded golf program, such as the LIV Golf Invitational London, for which a media release has 9 been denied, the Commissioner may immediately suspend your playing privileges." Article VII, 10 Section C of the PGA Tour Regulations relates to "conduct unbecoming a professional." Thus, the Tour told its members that the act of playing in a professional golf tournament constituted "conduct 11 12 unbecoming a professional golfer."

13

189. Simply put, the Tour's position that merely playing professional golf for another promoter constitutes "conduct unbecoming a professional" golfer is breathtaking. And it reveals the 14 15 threat to competition that underlies the PGA Tour's Regulations giving the PGA Tour Commissioner 16 absolute discretion to interpret the Regulations and punish its Members.

17 190. On June 4, 2022, former Tour member Kevin Na resigned his PGA Tour membership 18 due to the PGA Tour's refusal to permit him to participate in the LIV Golf Invitational Series. Mr. Na 19 expressed his desire as an independent contractor to "exercise[e] my right as a free agent" to have "the 20 freedom to play wherever I want," noting that he "cannot remain a PGA Tour member" and exercise his independent contractor rights due to the Tour's Regulations and threats. He expressed his 21 22 "sad[ness]" and his desire that PGA Tour Regulations change to enable him to play on the PGA Tour 23 again.

24 25

191. In total, 10 Tour members who agreed to participate in the LIV Golf London Invitational resigned from the PGA Tour in response to these threats to avoid Tour punishment.

192. When the Tour learned that members were considering resignation to avoid the 26 27 punishments it had threatened, it informed them that "should a member resign in an effort to avoid 28 disciplinary action for future violations of the Regulations, the member would still be subject to

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 61 of 106

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

disciplinary actions for violations prior to the date of Resignation. In addition, a player should not expect that he will be able to rejoin membership or play in any events without membership at any particular time, as such matters would be governed by the Regulations and event requirements in effect at the time, as they may be amended from time to time." Most PGA Tour tournaments are managed by other nonprofit organizations and offer sponsorship exemptions to PGA Tour and non-PGA Tour golfers. Thus, in order for the PGA Tour's written threat to play out it requires agreement from other economic actors (the sponsors and tournament hosts).

193. Minutes after the golfers teed off at the LIV Golf London Invitational on June 9, 2022, the Tour distributed letters to its current and former members immediately suspending them and promising "the same fate [would] hold" for any Tour member playing in future LIV Golf events.

194. Also on June 9, 2022, Commissioner Monahan sent a letter to all PGA Tour Members and released the letter to the public identifying the golfers the PGA Tour was punishing. Contrary to its historical practices, the Tour sought to expose and malign these golfers for pursuing their profession. In particular, the PGA Tour Commissioner wrote:

- a. Tour members, including Plaintiffs and former members "are suspended or otherwise no longer eligible to participate in PGA Tour tournament play, including the Presidents Cup;"
  - b. The suspension applies to all tours sanctioned by the PGA Tour (Korn Ferry, Champions, Canada, Latinoamerica);
  - c. The golfers participating in the LIV Golf London Invitational Series "did not receive the necessary conflicting events and media rights releases—or did not apply for releases at all—and their participation . . . is in violation" of the Regulations;
  - d. The Tour Commissioner made clear that any players "who participate in future [LIV Golf Invitational Series] events in violation of our Regulations" will suffer the "same fate" of suspension;
    - e. Non-PGA Tour members who participated in LIV Golf Invitational Series "will not be permitted to play in PGA Tour tournaments as a non-member via a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

sponsor exemption or any other eligibility category;"

- f. The Commissioner tried to embarrass Plaintiffs by claiming that they and others made "their own financial-based" choice and they cannot demand the same "PGA Tour membership benefits" as other golfers;
- g. The Commissioner further acknowledged that "there are true consequences for every shot" taken on the PGA Tour where a golfer could earn no compensation while paying for his travel to the event, whereas LIV Golf compensates its participants; and
  - h. The Commissioner embraced the notion that the PGA Tour is the "preeminent organization in the world of professional golf."

11 195. Also on June 9, 2022, the PGA Tour Vice President of Competition Administration,
12 Kristen Burgess, sent letters to all former PGA Tour Members who participated in the LIV Golf London
13 Invitational Series but had resigned from the Tour, informing them they "remain subject to disciplinary
14 action for violations prior to the date of resignation" and they "should not expect that [they] will be
15 able to rejoin membership or play in any events without membership at any particular time."

16 196. The Tour expanded its punishments by threatening to revoke the agency credentials for
17 agencies that represent golfers who join LIV Golf—thereby threatening to injure the agents' business
18 for merely representing golfers who chose to join LIV Golf. For example, the Tour has threatened to
19 revoke GSE Worldwide Management's credentials because it represented golfers who joined LIV Golf.

20 197. The Tour also got Tiger Woods to do its bidding and publicly criticize golfers-21 particularly younger golfers-for joining LIV Golf by suggesting they would never play in The 22 Masters, The Open, or other Majors and would not earn OWGR points: "Some of these players may 23 not ever get a chance to play in major championships. That is a possibility. We don't know that for 24 sure yet. It's up to all the major championship bodies to make that determination. But that is a 25 possibility, that some players will never, ever get a chance to play in a major championship, never get a chance to experience this right here, walk down the fairways at Augusta National. . . , especially if 26 27 the LIV organization doesn't get world-ranking points and the major championship change their criteria 28 for entering the events." Mr. Woods' comments echoed earlier evidence indicating that the Tour was

#### 61 COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

continuing to pressure the Majors to join the Tour's unlawful group boycott to exclude LIV Golf and punish any players who played in any LIV events.

#### **PGA Tour Disciplinary Process**

198. On June 9, 2022, PGA Tour Senior Vice President of Tournament Administration Andy Levinson sent letters to all PGA Tour Members who participated in the LIV Golf London Invitational Series event, including Plaintiffs. In that Letter, Mr. Levinson informed golfers that (1) the PGA Tour considered them in violation of the Media Rights Regulation (V.B.1.b), (2) the PGA Tour considered them in violation of a PGA Tour Regulation against Public Attacks (VI.E.), (3) they were suspended immediately from playing in PGA Tour events "until further notice," and (4) they had 14 days to submit written statements and/or evidence that the PGA Tour Commissioner should consider "before determining an appropriate course of action separate from your current suspension."

199. The PGA Tour's Regulations detail its Disciplinary Procedures and Appeals, which provide an unconscionable and unfair process by which the players have no legitimate chance of getting fair treatment as it relates to punishments having anything to do with LIV Golf. Exhibit 1. The Tour's Regulations provide that the Commissioner has discretion to hear the appeal in the first instance. The Commissioner can also transfer the appeal to a panel of three Tour policy board members. The procedures do not give the player a hearing as a matter of right. After the procedures conclude, the Regulations provide that a player has released any and all claims against "the PGA TOUR Policy Board, the Commissioner or the Appeals Committee, PGA TOUR, Inc., the Professional Golfers' Association of America, and each director, officer, member, employee, agent or representative of any of the foregoing." Thus, the Tour's Regulations are set up as follows: (1) the Tour sets the Regulations which bind any player member, including changing those Regulations from time to time without input or consent from the player members, (2) the Regulations give the Commissioner the sole authority to interpret the Regulations in his discretion, (3) the Regulations demand that the biased Commissioner serve as judge, (4) the Regulations allow that same biased Commissioner to hear any appeals, (5) the Regulations provide no independent review process, as the Tour Board is put in the position of reviewing a Tour commercial policy that it approved and executed over the last few years, and (6) at the end of it all, the Regulations purportedly provide that the player has no right to challenge the punishment having released all involved. That release is unenforceable and the Regulations' Disciplinary Procedures are procedurally and substantively unconscionable.

200. Several golfers submitted letters to the Tour challenging the Tour's indefinite suspension and objecting to any further course of action punishing the golfers.

201. On June 29, 2022, and various other dates, the PGA Tour suspended Plaintiffs until March 31, 2023, issued threats to extend the suspensions based on further violations of the Regulations, including (in the Tour's view) continuing to play in LIV Golf events or even to talk favorably about LIV Golf. Commissioner Monahan considered the golfers in violation of the Conflicting Events Regulation and Media Rights Regulation. Additionally, Commissioner Monahan considered the golfers in violation of the PGA Tour's Regulation Section VI.E ("Public Comments, Public Attacks") provision which provides that:

The favorable public reputation of PGA TOUR, its players and its tournaments are valuable assets and create tangible benefits for all PGA TOUR members. Accordingly, it is an obligation of membership to refrain from making comments that unreasonably attack or disparage others, including, but not limited to tournaments, sponsors, fellow members/players and/ or PGA TOUR. Speech that could be reasonably viewed as hateful, abusive, obscene and/ or divisive is expressly prohibited. Responsible expressions of legitimate disagreement with PGA TOUR policies are not prohibited. However, public comments that a member knows, or should reasonably know, will harm the reputation or financial best interest of PGA TOUR, a fellow member/player, a tournament sponsor or a charity are expressly covered by this section. Any violation of this section shall be considered conduct unbecoming a professional.

Commissioner Monahan deemed the golfers' reasonable statements of opinion and compliments of LIV Golf in violation of this provision merely because favorable comments regarding a competitor to the PGA Tour supposedly could cause the Tour financial harm.

202. The Tour's punishments put the players in an untenable position: They were banned for roughly nine months, which prevents them from playing in PGA Tour events (and its subsidiary tours) and they have been told that if they play in any LIV Golf events while the suspensions are in effect, the Tour will deem that an additional violation and impose event greater punishments. In effect, the Tour's punishments amount to a lifetime ban, because the only chance for a player to be clear of the PGA Tour's suspensions is to refrain from playing in any elite professional events—and thus essentially drop out of his profession.

## Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 65 of 106

2	Council Rory	N / T1				
2		Council Rory McIlroy said that golfers who join LIV Golf are "basically leaving all [their] peers behind				
3	to go make more money, which is fine. But just go over there. Don't try and come back and play over					
4	here again." Several years ago, Mr. McIlroy left the European Tour to play predominantly on the PGA					
5	Tour, and was still permitted by the PGA Tour to remain a European Tour member through his					
6	participation in the minimum number of events required by each tour.					
7	204.	On .	July 13, 2022, Plaintiffs appealed their nine-month suspension (and career			
8	threatening ban from the PGA Tour). The grounds for the players' appeals were:					
9		a.	Provisions of Sections V.A.2, V.A.3, and V.B.1.b are plainly unlawful restraints			
10			of trade that violate Section 2 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2, and various			
11			state laws, and therefore (1) no punishment for purportedly violating those			
12			unlawful provisions may issue and (2) any purported agreement by any person			
13			to adhere to those unlawful provisions is void and unenforceable;			
14		b.	Commissioner Monahan and the PGA Tour (the "Tour") violate Section 2 of the			
15			Sherman Act by applying Sections VII.E. and VII.C to unlawfully punish golfers			
16			to thwart LIV Golf's competitive entry, and therefore no punishment for			
17			purportedly violating those provisions may issue;			
18		c.	Provisions of Sections V.A.2, V.A.3, and V.B.1.b enable Commissioner			
19			Monahan to unlawfully control what independent contractor-golfers do when			
20			they are not playing on the PGA Tour (the "Tour"), and thus no punishment for			
21			purportedly violating those provisions may issue;			
22		d.	The Tour has unlawfully agreed with other entities in the purported golf			
23			"ecosystem," including the European Tour, to establish a group boycott to			
24			prevent LIV Golf from succeeding and has targeted its Regulations to			
25			impermissibly punish golfers to carry out its coordinated dealings with others in			
26			violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1;			
27		e.	Commissioner Monahan has violated the Tour's purported nonprofit purpose			
28			and violated his fiduciary duties to the Tour and its members by punishing			
			64 COMPLAINT DEMAND FOR HURY TRIAL			
	COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486					

golfers in this way;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- f. There was patent injustice and a lack of fair process because Commissioner
  Monahan cannot be impartial in his determination whether to sanction golfers
  because he has engaged in a two-year vendetta against prospective and new
  competitor professional golf promoter(s) and golfers are being punished for
  participating in a competitive promoter's events;
- g. There was injustice and a lack of fair process because the Regulations' Disciplinary Process is procedurally and substantively unconscionable; and
- In the alternative, the sanction imposed by Commissioner Monahan is grossly disproportionate to the seriousness of the alleged breaches of the Regulations that the Tour contends the players committed.

12 205. While some Plaintiffs' appeals of the Commissioner's disciplinary action were pending, 13 on July 23, 2022, Mr. Levinson sent them a letter informing that: (1) the PGA Tour Commissioner 14 believed they violated the Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations (Article V, Sections A.2 15 and B.1) by participating in the June 30 – July 2, 2022 LIV Golf Invitational Portland event; (2) the PGA Tour Commissioner imposed a Major Penalty of suspension from participation in any PGA Tour-16 17 affiliated tournaments, including PGA Tour, PGA Tour Champions, Korn Ferry Tour, PGA Tour 18 Latinoamérica, and PGA Tour Canada, and a suspension of their privileges at Tournament Players 19 Clubs, for a period ending no earlier than March 31, 2024 (an additional year suspension), at which 20 time they may seek in writing to have their suspension lifted; (3) the PGA Tour Commissioner may 21 impose further disciplinary action for any additional violation of the Regulations; and (4) they may 22 appeal the sanctions by written notice to the PGA Tour Commissioner within 14 days of the letter. In 23 other words, the PGA Tour Commissioner unilaterally imposed further sanctions-a full additional 24 year of suspension for playing in a second LIV Golf tournament-while the appeal of the first Notice 25 of Disciplinary Action was still pending.

26 206. On July 25, 2022, the Tour informed the Player Advisory Council that golfers who were 27 suspended for playing in LIV Golf would not be permitted to play in the FedEx Cup, even though some 28 of their appeals of the suspensions were pending and should have been abated under the Tour's Regulations.

207. On July 27, 2022, Commissioner Monahan referred some of the Plaintiffs' appeals to the Appeals Committee and requested that any materials in support of appeal be submitted by August 10, 2022. In response, Plaintiff Gooch requested confirmation that the Tour would abate their suspensions pending appeal to the Appeals Committee. In response, Commissioner Monahan indicated he would not abate Plaintiffs' suspensions pending appeal.

208. On July 29, 2022, Mr. Levinson informed some Plaintiffs that the Tour would no longer send them Notice of Disciplinary Inquiry letters for "ongoing violations." The Tour thus chose to abandon its disciplinary process.

209. And, then on August 2, 2022, the Tour informed Mr. Gooch that the Tour would not abate suspensions pending appeals in violation of the Tour's regulations.

210. Plaintiffs' suspensions were a critical means employed by the Tour to achieve its anticompetitive end. Punishing the players is essential to the scheme to eliminate competition in the market. Absent participants in elite professional golf events, no nascent league can enter the market. By suspending the Plaintiffs and threatening to suspend other players, the Tour endeavored to eliminate competition.

#### Specific Plaintiffs' PGA Tour Disciplinary Proceedings and Harm

211. Phil Mickelson: The Tour's anticompetitive scheme is apparent from the disciplinary action levied against Plaintiff Mickelson. On March 22, 2022, the Commissioner suspended Plaintiff Mickelson (with the opportunity to apply for reinstatement in May of 2022) for, among other alleged reasons, "attempting to recruit players to join [LIV Golf]." Following an appeal, the appeals committee (a three-person committee comprised of members of the Tour Policy Board) affirmed the Commissioner's two-month suspension. On June 20, 2022, Mr. Mickelson applied for reinstatement from the two-month suspension. The Tour denied his request, stating that Plaintiff Mickelson violated Tour regulations by participating in the LIV Golf London Invitational. In addition to denying his request for reinstatement, the Tour extended Plaintiff Mickelson's suspension, forbidding him from seeking reinstatement to play professional golf with the Tour until March 31, 2023. While Plaintiff Mickelson was suspended from tournament play, the Tour continued to levy suspensions. On July 23,

COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

2022, the Tour imposed additional sanctions on him for participating in the LIV Golf Invitational in 1 2 Portland. Specifically, the Tour extended Plaintiff Mickelson's suspension once again, deferring even 3 the mere opportunity to apply for reinstatement until after March 31, 2024.

4 Mr. Mickelson's unlawful two-year suspension from the PGA Tour has caused him 212. 5 irreparable professional harm, as well as financial, and commercial harm. The Tour's unlawful 6 suspensions are denying Mr. Mickelson the right he has earned to play in events on the Tour, to earn 7 compensation playing on the Tour, and to have the opportunities that come with such play. The Tour's 8 suspension has denied Mr. Mickelson the right to the platform and the public exposure provided by 9 playing on the Tour. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Mickelson the opportunity to hone and 10 maintain his golf game by playing professional golf in the tournaments that he would choose to play. 11 The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Mickelson access to play professional golf before his fans via 12 live attendance and video broadcast of Tour events. The Tour's unlawful conduct cost Plaintiff 13 Mickelson endorsement deals and sponsorships. Notably, the Tour is the only golf tour shown 14 regularly on broadcast television in the United States, and it earns vastly more in sponsorship, 15 advertising, and broadcast revenue than any other golf tour. The Tour's unlawful conduct eliminated Plaintiff Mickelson's opportunity to earn up to \$10 million annually in the Player Impact Program, a 16 17 program that measures player impact by, among other things, calculating the player's Nielsen score 18 (how often a player is featured during PGA Tour tournament broadcasts). The Tour's suspension has 19 denied Mr. Mickelson the opportunity to earn FedEx Cup rankings and OWGR rankings. The Tour's 20 suspensions have denied Mr. Mickelson the opportunity to earn deferred compensation pursuant to the 21 PGA Tour Player Retirement Plan—which is his right as a member of the Tour and which he earns for 22 each tournament cut he makes. The Tour's unlawful suspensions have damaged Mr. Mickelson's 23 goodwill and caused him substantial reputational harm. The Tour's unlawful Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations have denied Mr. Mickelson competition for his services for years, have 24 25 depressed his earnings, and have decreased output of professional golf earning opportunities. The Tour's unlawful Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations Tour's unlawful control of Mr. 26 27 Mickelson and his use of his media rights are causing him irreparable, financial and commercial harm 28 that have denied him income and playing opportunities in the past and as long as the Regulations that

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 69 of 106

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

give the Tour such purported control remain in place, Mr. Mickelson will be financially and irreparably harmed. As a lifetime member of the Tour, Mr. Mickelson is particularly harmed by the Tour wrongfully taking away what he has rightfully earned—opportunity to play in Tour events for the remainder of his golfing career.

213. The Tour's unlawful conduct has also denied Mr. Mickelson the opportunity to play in PGL tournaments and to earn compensation he foreseeably would have received competing in PGL tournaments.

214. **Talor Gooch.** On June 9, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr. Gooch on an indefinite basis from playing on the Tour. On June 30, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr. Gooch from playing on the Tour (or any affiliated tours) through at least March 31, 2023. On July 23, 2022, the Tour unlawfully extended Mr. Gooch's suspension through at least March 31, 2024. The PGA Tour has threatened to impose further disciplinary sanction on Mr. Gooch if he continues to play in LIV Golf events when he is not playing on the Tour.

14 215. Mr. Gooch's unlawful two-year suspension from the PGA Tour has caused him 15 irreparable professional harm, as well as financial, and commercial harm. The Tour's unlawful 16 suspensions are denying Mr. Gooch the right he has earned to play in events on the Tour, to earn 17 compensation playing on the Tour, and to have the opportunities that come with such play. The Tour's 18 suspension has denied Mr. Gooch the strong chance to qualify for the 2023 Major Championships by 19 placing in the Top 30 of the 2022 FedEx Cup Rankings. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Gooch 20 the right to the platform and the public exposure provided by playing on the Tour. The Tour's 21 suspension has denied Mr. Gooch the opportunity to hone and maintain his golf game by playing 22 professional golf in the tournaments that he would choose to play. The Tour's suspension has denied 23 Mr. Gooch access to play professional golf before his fans via live attendance and video broadcast of 24 Tour events. The Tour's unlawful conduct cost Plaintiff Gooch endorsement deals and sponsorships. Notably, the Tour is the only golf tour shown regularly on broadcast television in the United States, 25 and it earns vastly more in sponsorship, advertising, and broadcast revenue than any other golf tour. 26 27 The Tour's unlawful conduct eliminated Plaintiff Gooch's opportunity to earn up to \$10 million 28 annually in the Player Impact Program, a program that measures player impact by, among other things,

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 70 of 106

calculating the player's Nielsen score (how often a player is featured during PGA Tour tournament 1 2 broadcasts). The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Gooch the opportunity to earn FedEx Cup rankings 3 and OWGR rankings. The Tour's suspensions have denied Mr. Gooch the opportunity to earn deferred 4 compensation pursuant to the PGA Tour Player Retirement Plan-which is his right as a member of 5 the Tour and which he earns for each tournament cut he makes. The Tour's unlawful suspensions have damaged Mr. Gooch's goodwill and caused him substantial reputational harm. The Tour's unlawful 6 7 Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations have denied Mr. Gooch competition for his services 8 for years, have depressed his earnings, and have decreased output of professional golf earning 9 opportunities. The Tour's unlawful Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations Tour's unlawful 10 control of Mr. Gooch and his use of his media rights are causing him irreparable, financial and 11 commercial harm that have denied him income and playing opportunities in the past and as long as the 12 Regulations that give the Tour such purported control remain in place, Mr. Gooch will be financially 13 and irreparably harmed.

14 216. The Tour's unlawful conduct has also denied Mr. Gooch the opportunity to play in PGL
15 tournaments and to earn compensation he foreseeably would have received competing in PGL
16 tournaments.

17 217. Hudson Swafford. On June 9, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr. Swafford on
18 an indefinite basis from playing on the Tour. On June 29, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr.
19 Swafford from playing on the Tour (or any affiliated tours) through at least March 31, 2023. On July
20 23, 2022, the Tour unlawfully extended Mr. Swafford's suspension through at least March 31, 2024.
21 The PGA Tour has threatened to impose further disciplinary sanction on Mr. Swafford if he continues
22 to play in LIV Golf events when he is not playing on the Tour.

23 218. Mr. Swafford's unlawful two-year suspension from the PGA Tour has caused him 24 irreparable professional harm, as well as financial, and commercial harm. The Tour's unlawful 25 suspensions are denying Mr. Swafford the right he has earned to play in events on the Tour, to earn 26 compensation playing on the Tour, and to have the opportunities that come with such play. The Tour's 27 suspension has denied Mr. Swafford the chance to qualify for the 2023 Major Championships by 28 placing in the Top 30 of the 2022 FedEx Cup Rankings. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr.

> 69 COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 71 of 106

Swafford the strong chance to qualify for the 2023 premier Invitationals on the Tour by placing in the 1 2 Top 70 of the 2022 FedEx Cup Rankings. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Swafford the right 3 to the platform and the public exposure provided by playing on the Tour. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Swafford the opportunity to hone and maintain his golf game by playing professional golf 4 5 in the tournaments that he would choose to play. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Swafford 6 access to play professional golf before his fans via live attendance and video broadcast of Tour events. 7 The Tour's unlawful conduct cost Plaintiff Swafford endorsement deals and sponsorships. Notably, 8 the Tour is the only golf tour shown regularly on broadcast television in the United States, and it earns 9 vastly more in sponsorship, advertising, and broadcast revenue than any other golf tour. The Tour's 10 unlawful conduct eliminated Plaintiff Swafford's opportunity to earn up to \$10 million annually in the 11 Player Impact Program, a program that measures player impact by, among other things, calculating the 12 player's Nielsen score (how often a player is featured during PGA Tour tournament broadcasts). The 13 Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Swafford the opportunity to earn FedEx Cup rankings and OWGR 14 The Tour's suspensions have denied Mr. Swafford the opportunity to earn deferred rankings. 15 compensation pursuant to the PGA Tour Player Retirement Plan-which is his right as a member of the Tour and which he earns for each tournament cut he makes. The Tour's unlawful suspensions have 16 17 damaged Mr. Swafford's goodwill and caused him substantial reputational harm. The Tour's unlawful 18 Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations have denied Mr. Swafford competition for his 19 services for years, have depressed his earnings, and have decreased output of professional golf earning 20 opportunities. The Tour's unlawful Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations Tour's unlawful 21 control of Mr. Swafford and his use of his media rights are causing him irreparable, financial and 22 commercial harm that have denied him income and playing opportunities in the past and as long as the 23 Regulations that give the Tour such purported control remain in place, Mr. Swafford will be financially 24 and irreparably harmed.

25 26 219. The Tour's unlawful conduct has also denied Mr. Swafford the opportunity to play in PGL tournaments and to earn compensation he foreseeably would have received competing in PGL tournaments.

28

27

220. Matt Jones. On June 9, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr. Jones on an indefinite

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 72 of 106

1

2

3

4

5

basis from playing on the Tour. On June 30, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr. Jones from playing on the Tour (or any affiliated tours) through at least March 31, 2023. On July 23, 2022, the Tour unlawfully extended Mr. Jones's suspension through at least March 31, 2024. The PGA Tour has threatened to impose further disciplinary sanction on Mr. Jones if he continues to play in LIV Golf events when he is not playing on the Tour.

6 221. Mr. Jones's unlawful two-year suspension from the PGA Tour has caused him 7 irreparable professional harm, as well as financial, and commercial harm. The Tour's unlawful 8 suspensions are denying Mr. Jones the right he has earned to play in events on the Tour, to earn 9 compensation playing on the Tour, and to have the opportunities that come with such play. The Tour's 10 suspension has denied Mr. Jones the chance to qualify for the 2023 Major Championships by placing 11 in the Top 30 of the 2022 FedEx Cup Rankings. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Jones the strong 12 chance to qualify for the 2023 premier Invitationals on the Tour by placing in the Top 70 of the 2022 13 FedEx Cup Rankings. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Jones the right to the platform and the 14 public exposure provided by playing on the Tour. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Jones the 15 opportunity to hone and maintain his golf game by playing professional golf in the tournaments that he would choose to play. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Jones access to play professional golf 16 17 before his fans via live attendance and video broadcast of Tour events. The Tour's unlawful conduct 18 cost Plaintiff Jones endorsement deals and sponsorships. Notably, the Tour is the only golf tour shown 19 regularly on broadcast television in the United States, and it earns vastly more in sponsorship, 20 advertising, and broadcast revenue than any other golf tour. The Tour's unlawful conduct eliminated 21 Plaintiff Jones's opportunity to earn up to \$10 million annually in the Player Impact Program, a 22 program that measures player impact by, among other things, calculating the player's Nielsen score 23 (how often a player is featured during PGA Tour tournament broadcasts). The Tour's suspension has 24 denied Mr. Jones the opportunity to earn FedEx Cup rankings and OWGR rankings. The Tour's 25 suspensions have denied Mr. Jones the opportunity to earn deferred compensation pursuant to the PGA Tour Player Retirement Plan—which is his right as a member of the Tour and which he earns for each 26 27 tournament cut he makes. The Tour's unlawful suspensions have damaged Mr. Jones's goodwill and caused him substantial reputational harm. The Tour's unlawful Conflicting Events and Media Rights 28

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 73 of 106

1

7

8

9

11

13

Regulations have denied Mr. Jones competition for his services for years, have depressed his earnings, 2 and have decreased output of professional golf earning opportunities. The Tour's unlawful Conflicting 3 Events and Media Rights Regulations Tour's unlawful control of Mr. Jones and his use of his media rights are causing him irreparable, financial and commercial harm that have denied him income and 4 5 playing opportunities in the past and as long as the Regulations that give the Tour such purported 6 control remain in place, Mr. Jones will be financially and irreparably harmed.

222. The Tour's unlawful conduct has also denied Mr. Jones the opportunity to play in PGL tournaments and to earn compensation he foreseeably would have received competing in PGL tournaments.

10 223. Bryson DeChambeau. On June 30, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr. DeChambeau on an indefinite basis from playing on the Tour. On July 8, 2022, the Tour unlawfully 12 suspended Mr. DeChambeau from playing on the Tour (or any affiliated tours) through at least March 31, 2023. The PGA Tour has threatened to impose further disciplinary sanction on Mr. DeChambeau 14 if he continues to play in LIV Golf events when he is not playing on the Tour. On July 29, 2022, the 15 Tour sent notice to Mr. DeChambeau that it was sanctioning him for talking to other Tour members about the positive experience he had had with LIV Golf. 16

17 224. Mr. DeChambeau's unlawful suspension from the PGA Tour has caused him irreparable 18 professional harm, as well as financial, and commercial harm. The Tour's unlawful suspensions are 19 denying Mr. DeChambeau the right he has earned to play in events on the Tour, to earn compensation 20 playing on the Tour, and to have the opportunities that come with such play. The Tour's suspension 21 has denied Mr. DeChambeau the right to the platform and the public exposure provided by playing on 22 the Tour. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. DeChambeau the opportunity to hone and maintain 23 his golf game by playing professional golf in the tournaments that he would choose to play. The Tour's 24 suspension has denied Mr. DeChambeau access to play professional golf before his fans via live 25 attendance and video broadcast of Tour events. The Tour's unlawful conduct cost Plaintiff DeChambeau endorsement deals and sponsorships. Notably, the Tour is the only golf tour shown 26 27 regularly on broadcast television in the United States, and it earns vastly more in sponsorship, advertising, and broadcast revenue than any other golf tour. The Tour's unlawful conduct eliminated 28

> 72 COMPLAINT - DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 74 of 106

Plaintiff DeChambeau's opportunity to earn up to \$10 million annually in the Player Impact Program, 1 2 a program that measures player impact by, among other things, calculating the player's Nielsen score 3 (how often a player is featured during PGA Tour tournament broadcasts). The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. DeChambeau the opportunity to earn FedEx Cup rankings and OWGR rankings. The 4 5 Tour's suspensions have denied Mr. DeChambeau the opportunity to earn deferred compensation 6 pursuant to the PGA Tour Player Retirement Plan-which is his right as a member of the Tour and 7 which he earns for each tournament cut he makes. The Tour's unlawful suspensions have damaged 8 Mr. DeChambeau's goodwill and caused him substantial reputational harm. The Tour's unlawful 9 Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations have denied Mr. DeChambeau competition for his 10 services for years, have depressed his earnings, and have decreased output of professional golf earning 11 opportunities. The Tour's unlawful Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations Tour's unlawful 12 control of Mr. DeChambeau and his use of his media rights are causing him irreparable, financial and 13 commercial harm that have denied him income and playing opportunities in the past and as long as the Regulations that give the Tour such purported control remain in place, Mr. DeChambeau will be 14 15 financially and irreparably harmed.

225. The Tour's unlawful conduct has also denied Mr. DeChambeau the opportunity to play in PGL tournaments and to earn compensation he foreseeably would have received competing in PGL tournaments.

16

17

18

Abraham Ancer. On June 30, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr. Ancer on an
indefinite basis from playing on the Tour. On July 8, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr. Ancer
from playing on the Tour (or any affiliated tours) through at least March 31, 2023. The PGA Tour has
threatened to impose further disciplinary sanction on Mr. Ancer if he continues to play in LIV Golf
events when he is not playing on the Tour.

24 227. Mr. Ancer's unlawful suspension from the PGA Tour has caused him irreparable
25 professional harm, as well as financial, and commercial harm. The Tour's unlawful suspensions are
26 denying Mr. Ancer the right he has earned to play in events on the Tour, to earn compensation playing
27 on the Tour, and to have the opportunities that come with such play. The Tour's suspension has denied
28 Mr. Ancer the chance to qualify for the 2023 Major Championships that he has not qualified for by

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 75 of 106

placing in the Top 30 of the 2022 FedEx Cup Rankings. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Ancer 1 2 the strong chance to qualify for the 2023 premier Invitationals on the Tour by placing in the Top 70 of 3 the 2022 FedEx Cup Rankings. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Ancer the right to the platform and the public exposure provided by playing on the Tour. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Ancer 4 5 the opportunity to hone and maintain his golf game by playing professional golf in the tournaments 6 that he would choose to play. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Ancer access to play professional 7 golf before his fans via live attendance and video broadcast of Tour events. The Tour's unlawful 8 conduct cost Plaintiff Ancer endorsement deals and sponsorships. Notably, the Tour is the only golf 9 tour shown regularly on broadcast television in the United States, and it earns vastly more in 10 sponsorship, advertising, and broadcast revenue than any other golf tour. The Tour's unlawful conduct 11 eliminated Plaintiff Ancer's opportunity to earn up to \$10 million annually in the Player Impact 12 Program, a program that measures player impact by, among other things, calculating the player's 13 Nielsen score (how often a player is featured during PGA Tour tournament broadcasts). The Tour's 14 suspension has denied Mr. Ancer the opportunity to earn FedEx Cup rankings and OWGR rankings. 15 The Tour's suspensions have denied Mr. Ancer the opportunity to earn deferred compensation pursuant to the PGA Tour Player Retirement Plan-which is his right as a member of the Tour and which he 16 17 earns for each tournament cut he makes. The Tour's unlawful suspensions have damaged Mr. Ancer's 18 goodwill and caused him substantial reputational harm. The Tour's unlawful Conflicting Events and 19 Media Rights Regulations have denied Mr. Ancer competition for his services for years, have depressed 20 his earnings, and have decreased output of professional golf earning opportunities. The Tour's 21 unlawful Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations Tour's unlawful control of Mr. Ancer and 22 his use of his media rights are causing him irreparable, financial and commercial harm that have denied 23 him income and playing opportunities in the past and as long as the Regulations that give the Tour such 24 purported control remain in place, Mr. Ancer will be financially and irreparably harmed.

25 228. The Tour's unlawful conduct has also denied Mr. Ancer the opportunity to play in PGL
26 tournaments and to earn compensation he foreseeably would have received competing in PGL
27 tournaments.

28

229. Carlos Ortiz. On June 30, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr. Ortiz on an

2

3

4

indefinite basis from playing on the Tour. On July 8, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr. Ortiz from playing on the Tour (or any affiliated tours) through at least March 31, 2023. The PGA Tour has threatened to impose further disciplinary sanction on Mr. Ortiz if he continues to play in LIV Golf events when he is not playing on the Tour.

5 230. Mr. Ortiz's unlawful suspension from the PGA Tour has caused him irreparable 6 professional harm, as well as financial, and commercial harm. The Tour's unlawful suspensions are 7 denying Mr. Ortiz the right he has earned to play in events on the Tour, to earn compensation playing 8 on the Tour, and to have the opportunities that come with such play. The Tour's suspension has denied 9 Mr. Ortiz the chance to qualify for the 2023 Major Championships that he has not qualified for by 10 placing in the Top 30 of the 2022 FedEx Cup Rankings. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Ortiz 11 the chance to qualify for the 2023 premier Invitationals on the Tour by placing in the Top 70 of the 12 2022 FedEx Cup Rankings. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Ortiz the right to the platform and 13 the public exposure provided by playing on the Tour. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Ortiz the 14 opportunity to hone and maintain his golf game by playing professional golf in the tournaments that he 15 would choose to play. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Ortiz access to play professional golf before his fans via live attendance and video broadcast of Tour events. The Tour's unlawful conduct 16 17 cost Plaintiff Ortiz endorsement deals and sponsorships. Notably, the Tour is the only golf tour shown 18 regularly on broadcast television in the United States, and it earns vastly more in sponsorship, 19 advertising, and broadcast revenue than any other golf tour. The Tour's unlawful conduct eliminated 20 Plaintiff Ortiz's opportunity to earn up to \$10 million annually in the Player Impact Program, a program 21 that measures player impact by, among other things, calculating the player's Nielsen score (how often 22 a player is featured during PGA Tour tournament broadcasts). The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. 23 Ortiz the opportunity to earn FedEx Cup rankings and OWGR rankings. The Tour's suspensions have 24 denied Mr. Ortiz the opportunity to earn deferred compensation pursuant to the PGA Tour Player 25 Retirement Plan—which is his right as a member of the Tour and which he earns for each tournament cut he makes. The Tour's unlawful suspensions have damaged Mr. Ortiz's goodwill and caused him 26 27 substantial reputational harm. The Tour's unlawful Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations have denied Mr. Ortiz competition for his services for years, have depressed his earnings, and have 28

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 77 of 106

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

decreased output of professional golf earning opportunities. The Tour's unlawful Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations Tour's unlawful control of Mr. Ortiz and his use of his media rights are causing him irreparable, financial and commercial harm that have denied him income and playing opportunities in the past and as long as the Regulations that give the Tour such purported control remain in place, Mr. Ortiz will be financially and irreparably harmed.

231. The Tour's unlawful conduct has also denied Mr. Ortiz the opportunity to play in PGL tournaments and to earn compensation he foreseeably would have received competing in PGL tournaments.

232. **Ian Poulter**. On June 9, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr. Poulter on an indefinite basis from playing on the Tour. On June 30, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr. Jones from playing on the Tour (or any affiliated tours) through at least March 31, 2023. On July 23, 2022, the Tour unlawfully extended Mr. Poulter's suspension through at least March 31, 2024. The PGA Tour has threatened to impose further disciplinary sanction on Mr. Poulter if he continues to play in LIV Golf events when he is not playing on the Tour.

15 233. Mr. Poulter's unlawful two-year suspension from the PGA Tour has caused him irreparable professional harm, as well as financial, and commercial harm. The Tour's unlawful 16 17 suspensions are denying Mr. Poulter the right he has earned to play in events on the Tour, to earn 18 compensation playing on the Tour, and to have the opportunities that come with such play. The Tour's 19 suspension has denied Mr. Poulter the opportunity to participate in events that would have permitted 20 him the chance to qualify for the Tour in 2023. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Poulter the right 21 to the platform and the public exposure provided by playing on the Tour. The Tour's suspension has 22 denied Mr. Poulter the opportunity to hone and maintain his golf game by playing professional golf in 23 the tournaments that he would choose to play. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Poulter access 24 to play professional golf before his fans via live attendance and video broadcast of Tour events. The 25 Tour's unlawful conduct cost Plaintiff Poulter endorsement deals and sponsorships. Notably, the Tour is the only golf tour shown regularly on broadcast television in the United States, and it earns vastly 26 27 more in sponsorship, advertising, and broadcast revenue than any other golf tour. The Tour's unlawful conduct eliminated Plaintiff Poulter's opportunity to earn up to \$10 million annually in the Player 28

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 78 of 106

1 Impact Program, a program that measures player impact by, among other things, calculating the 2 player's Nielsen score (how often a player is featured during PGA Tour tournament broadcasts). The 3 Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Poulter the opportunity to earn FedEx Cup rankings and OWGR 4 The Tour's suspensions have denied Mr. Poulter the opportunity to earn deferred rankings. 5 compensation pursuant to the PGA Tour Player Retirement Plan-which is his right as a member of 6 the Tour and which he earns for each tournament cut he makes. The Tour's unlawful suspensions have 7 damaged Mr. Poulter's goodwill and caused him substantial reputational harm. The Tour's unlawful 8 Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations have denied Mr. Poulter competition for his services 9 for years, have depressed his earnings, and have decreased output of professional golf earning 10 opportunities. The Tour's unlawful Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations Tour's unlawful 11 control of Mr. Poulter and his use of his media rights are causing him irreparable, financial and 12 commercial harm that have denied him income and playing opportunities in the past and as long as the 13 Regulations that give the Tour such purported control remain in place, Mr. Poulter will be financially 14 and irreparably harmed.

234. The Tour's unlawful conduct has also denied Mr. Poulter the opportunity to play in PGL tournaments and to earn compensation he foreseeably would have received competing in PGL tournaments.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

235. **Pat Perez.** On June 30, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr. Perez on an indefinite basis from playing on the Tour. On July 8, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr. Perez from playing on the Tour (or any affiliated tours) through at least March 31, 2023. The PGA Tour has threatened to impose further disciplinary sanction on Mr. Perez if he continues to play in LIV Golf events when he is not playing on the Tour.

23 236. Mr. Perez's unlawful suspension from the PGA Tour has caused him irreparable 24 professional harm, as well as financial, and commercial harm. The Tour's unlawful suspensions are 25 denying Mr. Perez the right he has earned to play in events on the Tour, to earn compensation playing 26 on the Tour, and to have the opportunities that come with such play. The Tour's suspension has denied 27 Mr. Perez the opportunity to participate in events that would have permitted him the chance to qualify 28 for the Tour in 2023. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Perez the right to the platform and the

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 79 of 106

public exposure provided by playing on the Tour. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Perez the 1 2 opportunity to hone and maintain his golf game by playing professional golf in the tournaments that he 3 would choose to play. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Perez access to play professional golf before his fans via live attendance and video broadcast of Tour events. The Tour's unlawful conduct 4 5 cost Plaintiff Perez endorsement deals and sponsorships. Notably, the Tour is the only golf tour shown 6 regularly on broadcast television in the United States, and it earns vastly more in sponsorship, 7 advertising, and broadcast revenue than any other golf tour. The Tour's unlawful conduct eliminated 8 Plaintiff Perez's opportunity to earn up to \$10 million annually in the Player Impact Program, a 9 program that measures player impact by, among other things, calculating the player's Nielsen score 10 (how often a player is featured during PGA Tour tournament broadcasts). The Tour's suspension has 11 denied Mr. Perez the opportunity to earn FedEx Cup rankings and OWGR rankings. The Tour's 12 suspensions have denied Mr. Perez the opportunity to earn deferred compensation pursuant to the PGA 13 Tour Player Retirement Plan—which is his right as a member of the Tour and which he earns for each tournament cut he makes. The Tour's unlawful suspensions have damaged Mr. Perez's goodwill and 14 15 caused him substantial reputational harm. The Tour's unlawful Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations have denied Mr. Perez competition for his services for years, have depressed his earnings, 16 17 and have decreased output of professional golf earning opportunities. The Tour's unlawful Conflicting 18 Events and Media Rights Regulations Tour's unlawful control of Mr. Perez and his use of his media 19 rights are causing him irreparable, financial and commercial harm that have denied him income and playing opportunities in the past and as long as the Regulations that give the Tour such purported control remain in place, Mr. Perez will be financially and irreparably harmed.

237. The Tour's unlawful conduct has also denied Mr. Perez the opportunity to play in PGL tournaments and to earn compensation he foreseeably would have received competing in PGL tournaments.

238. **Jason Kokrak.** On July 29, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr. Kokrak on an indefinite basis from playing on the Tour. The PGA Tour has threatened to impose further disciplinary sanction on Mr. Kokrak.

28

239. Mr. Kokrak's unlawful indefinite suspension from the PGA Tour has caused him

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 80 of 106

irreparable professional harm, as well as financial, and commercial harm. The Tour's unlawful 1 2 suspensions are denying Mr. Kokrak the right he has earned to play in events on the Tour, to earn 3 compensation playing on the Tour, and to have the opportunities that come with such play. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Kokrak the strong chance to qualify for the 2023 Major Championships by 4 5 placing in the Top 30 of the 2022 FedEx Cup Rankings. The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Kokrak 6 the right to the platform and the public exposure provided by playing on the Tour. The Tour's 7 suspension has denied Mr. Kokrak the opportunity to hone and maintain his golf game by playing 8 professional golf in the tournaments that he would choose to play. The Tour's suspension has denied 9 Mr. Kokrak access to play professional golf before his fans via live attendance and video broadcast of 10 Tour events. The Tour's unlawful conduct cost Plaintiff Kokrak endorsement deals and sponsorships. Notably, the Tour is the only golf tour shown regularly on broadcast television in the United States, 11 12 and it earns vastly more in sponsorship, advertising, and broadcast revenue than any other golf tour. 13 The Tour's unlawful conduct eliminated Plaintiff Kokrak's opportunity to earn up to \$10 million 14 annually in the Player Impact Program, a program that measures player impact by, among other things, 15 calculating the player's Nielsen score (how often a player is featured during PGA Tour tournament broadcasts). The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Kokrak the opportunity to earn FedEx Cup 16 17 rankings and OWGR rankings. The Tour's suspensions have denied Mr. Kokrak the opportunity to 18 earn deferred compensation pursuant to the PGA Tour Player Retirement Plan-which is his right as a 19 member of the Tour and which he earns for each tournament cut he makes. The Tour's unlawful 20 suspensions have damaged Mr. Kokrak's goodwill and caused him substantial reputational harm. The 21 Tour's unlawful Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations have denied Mr. Kokrak 22 competition for his services for years, have depressed his earnings, and have decreased output of 23 professional golf earning opportunities. The Tour's unlawful Conflicting Events and Media Rights 24 Regulations Tour's unlawful control of Mr. Kokrak and his use of his media rights are causing him 25 irreparable, financial and commercial harm that have denied him income and playing opportunities in the past and as long as the Regulations that give the Tour such purported control remain in place, Mr. 26 27 Kokrak will be financially and irreparably harmed.

28

240. The Tour's unlawful conduct has also denied Mr. Kokrak the opportunity to play in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

PGL tournaments and to earn compensation he foreseeably would have received competing in PGL tournaments.

241. **Peter Uihlein**. On June 9, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr. Uihlein on an indefinite basis from playing on the Tour, the Korn Ferry Tour and any affiliated tours. On June 30, 2022, the Tour unlawfully suspended Mr. Uihlein from playing on the Tour, the Korn Ferry Tour, (or any affiliated tours) through at least March 31, 2023. On July 23, 2022, the Tour unlawfully extended Mr. Uihlein's suspension through at least March 31, 2024. The PGA Tour has threatened to impose further disciplinary sanction on Mr. Uihlein if he continues to play in LIV Golf events when he is not playing on the Tour or the Korn Ferry Tour.

10 242. Mr. Uihlein's unlawful two-year suspension from the PGA Tour and its affiliated tours, including but not limited to the Korn Ferry Tour, has caused him irreparable professional harm, as well 11 12 as financial, and commercial harm. The Tour's unlawful suspensions are denying Mr. Uihlein the right 13 he has earned to play in events on the Korn Ferry Tour, to earn compensation playing on the Korn Ferry Tour, and to have the opportunities that come with such play. The Tour's suspension has denied 14 15 Mr. Uihlein the opportunity to hone and maintain his golf game by playing professional golf in the tournaments that he would choose to play. The Tour's unlawful suspensions are denying Mr. Uihlein 16 17 to the right he has earned to participate in the Korn Ferry Tour Championship Series finals (three 18 events) to have a chance to earn a PGA Tour card for the 2022-2023 season. If Mr. Uihlein is prohibited 19 from playing that series then he will have no other way to qualify for the PGA Tour next season. The 20 Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Uihlein the right to the platform and the public exposure provided 21 by playing on the Korn Ferry Tour (and possibly the Tour). The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. 22 Uihlein access to play professional golf before his fans via live attendance and video broadcast of Korn 23 Ferry Tour events (and possibly the Tour events). The Tour's suspension has denied Mr. Uihlein the 24 opportunity to earn Korn Ferry season rankings (and possibly FedEx Cup rankings next season).and 25 OWGR rankings. The Tour's unlawful suspensions have damaged Mr. Uihlein's goodwill and caused 26 him substantial reputational harm. The Tour's unlawful Conflicting Events and Media Rights 27 Regulations, which apply to Korn Ferry Tour members just as they apply to Tour member, have denied Mr. Uihlein competition for his services for years, have depressed his earnings, and have decreased 28

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 82 of 106

output of professional golf earning opportunities. The Tour's unlawful Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulations Tour's unlawful control of Mr. Uihlein and his use of his media rights are causing him irreparable, financial and commercial harm that have denied him income and playing opportunities in the past and as long as the Regulations that give the Tour such purported control remain in place, Mr. Uihlein will be financially and irreparably harmed.

243. The Tour's unlawful conduct has also denied Mr. Uihlein the opportunity to play in PGL tournaments and to earn compensation he foreseeably would have received competing in PGL tournaments.

#### **Tour Threatens Small Businesses and Vendors To Boycott LIV Golf**

244. As part of its efforts to foreclose competition from LIV Golf and to foreclose competition for Plaintiffs' services, the Tour has also threatened companies and individuals in the golf and sports production industry that they will be blackballed from working with the Tour if they work with LIV Golf.

245. In January 2022, LIV Golf was negotiating with a tent vendor, Arena Americas, about providing tents for LIV Golf events. Arena Americas indicated that it was interested in working with LIV Golf, and LIV Golf engaged Arena Americas for its LIV Golf Invitational Series. However, Arena Americas subsequently informed LIV Golf that it could not work with LIV Golf because the Tour had told Arena Americas that it would cease doing business with Arena Americas if it worked with LIV Golf.

246. LIV Golf had contracted with a golf scoring technology company, R2 Innovative Technologies, to provide live scoring during LIV Golf events for fans watching and following along on the Internet. On March 23, 2022, LIV Golf received an email from R2 Innovative Technologies that it needed to rescind the contract with LIV Golf due to a business issue and needed to discuss the issue with its attorney. R2 Innovative Technologies later represented to LIV Golf that representatives from the PGA Tour had threatened R2 Innovative Technologies that the Tour would cease doing business with it if it provided LIV Golf with support. R2 Innovative Technologies also told LIV Golf that the PGA Tour has a "blacklist" for any vendor that works with LIV Golf. A Tour representative called R2 Innovative Technologies and threatened to blacklist them if they worked with LIV Golf.

# Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 83 of 106

1	247. LIV Golf was in negotiation with Top Tracer to license its shot-tracing technology for			
2	use during LIV Golf broadcasts. The Chief Executive Officer of Top Tracer was engaged with LIV			
3	Golf on multiple calls, expressed major interest in providing LIV Golf with a license to Top Tracer			
4	technology and developing a broader relationship to deploy and develop innovative products.			
5	Suddenly, however, Top Tracer ceased communication with LIV Golf. After a period of radio silence,			
6	Top Tracer informed LIV Golf that it would not be putting itself up for the potential business with LIV			
7	Golf			
8	248. LIV Golf was in negotiation with Levelwear athletic apparel for LIV Golf volunteer			
9	apparel for LIV Golf Invitational Series event staffing. On March 25, 2022, Levelwear informed LIV			
10	that it would not sell LIV Golf any apparel because it did not want to jeopardize its relationship with			
11	the Tour.			
12	249. LIV Golf reached out to numerous producer candidates, many of whom are independent			
13	contractors, who have communicated to LIV Golf that NBC and Golf Channel personnel have informed			
14	all producers that they will not be hired or renewed for any work with NBC or Golf Channel moving			
15	forward if they work with LIV Golf.			
16	250. Senior programming executives at CBS revealed to LIV Golf that they cannot touch			
16 17	LIV Golf even for consideration due to its relationship with the PGA Tour.			
17	LIV Golf even for consideration due to its relationship with the PGA Tour.			
17 18	LIV Golf even for consideration due to its relationship with the PGA Tour. 251. LIV Golf tried to retain the Endeavor Company, which includes IMG, IMG Arena, IMG			
17 18 19	LIV Golf even for consideration due to its relationship with the PGA Tour. 251. LIV Golf tried to retain the Endeavor Company, which includes IMG, IMG Arena, IMG Media and WME, and despite interest in working with LIV Golf, they have told LIV Golf they cannot			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>LIV Golf even for consideration due to its relationship with the PGA Tour.</li> <li>251. LIV Golf tried to retain the Endeavor Company, which includes IMG, IMG Arena, IMG</li> <li>Media and WME, and despite interest in working with LIV Golf, they have told LIV Golf they cannot</li> <li>work with it because Tour Commissioner Monahan has impressed upon Ari Emmanuel (Endeavor</li> </ul>			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	LIV Golf even for consideration due to its relationship with the PGA Tour. 251. LIV Golf tried to retain the Endeavor Company, which includes IMG, IMG Arena, IMG Media and WME, and despite interest in working with LIV Golf, they have told LIV Golf they cannot work with it because Tour Commissioner Monahan has impressed upon Ari Emmanuel (Endeavor CEO) and Mark Shapiro (Endeavor President) that Endeavor cannot work with LIV Golf.			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	LIV Golf even for consideration due to its relationship with the PGA Tour. 251. LIV Golf tried to retain the Endeavor Company, which includes IMG, IMG Arena, IMG Media and WME, and despite interest in working with LIV Golf, they have told LIV Golf they cannot work with it because Tour Commissioner Monahan has impressed upon Ari Emmanuel (Endeavor CEO) and Mark Shapiro (Endeavor President) that Endeavor cannot work with LIV Golf. 252. Other vendors, like Intersport (event management company) and Aggreko			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	LIV Golf even for consideration due to its relationship with the PGA Tour. 251. LIV Golf tried to retain the Endeavor Company, which includes IMG, IMG Arena, IMG Media and WME, and despite interest in working with LIV Golf, they have told LIV Golf they cannot work with it because Tour Commissioner Monahan has impressed upon Ari Emmanuel (Endeavor CEO) and Mark Shapiro (Endeavor President) that Endeavor cannot work with LIV Golf. 252. Other vendors, like Intersport (event management company) and Aggreko (Power/HVAC) engaged with LIV Golf but backed out without explanation, likely indicating that they			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>LIV Golf even for consideration due to its relationship with the PGA Tour.</li> <li>251. LIV Golf tried to retain the Endeavor Company, which includes IMG, IMG Arena, IMG</li> <li>Media and WME, and despite interest in working with LIV Golf, they have told LIV Golf they cannot</li> <li>work with it because Tour Commissioner Monahan has impressed upon Ari Emmanuel (Endeavor</li> <li>CEO) and Mark Shapiro (Endeavor President) that Endeavor cannot work with LIV Golf.</li> <li>252. Other vendors, like Intersport (event management company) and Aggreko</li> <li>(Power/HVAC) engaged with LIV Golf but backed out without explanation, likely indicating that they</li> <li>were subjected to pressure from the PGA Tour similar to that expressed by other third-party vendors.</li> </ul>			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>LIV Golf even for consideration due to its relationship with the PGA Tour.</li> <li>251. LIV Golf tried to retain the Endeavor Company, which includes IMG, IMG Arena, IMG</li> <li>Media and WME, and despite interest in working with LIV Golf, they have told LIV Golf they cannot</li> <li>work with it because Tour Commissioner Monahan has impressed upon Ari Emmanuel (Endeavor</li> <li>CEO) and Mark Shapiro (Endeavor President) that Endeavor cannot work with LIV Golf.</li> <li>252. Other vendors, like Intersport (event management company) and Aggreko</li> <li>(Power/HVAC) engaged with LIV Golf but backed out without explanation, likely indicating that they</li> <li>were subjected to pressure from the PGA Tour similar to that expressed by other third-party vendors.</li> <li>253. LIV Golf negotiated with Provision Events, an event management company. On</li> </ul>			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>LIV Golf even for consideration due to its relationship with the PGA Tour.</li> <li>251. LIV Golf tried to retain the Endeavor Company, which includes IMG, IMG Arena, IMG</li> <li>Media and WME, and despite interest in working with LIV Golf, they have told LIV Golf they cannot</li> <li>work with it because Tour Commissioner Monahan has impressed upon Ari Emmanuel (Endeavor</li> <li>CEO) and Mark Shapiro (Endeavor President) that Endeavor cannot work with LIV Golf.</li> <li>252. Other vendors, like Intersport (event management company) and Aggreko</li> <li>(Power/HVAC) engaged with LIV Golf but backed out without explanation, likely indicating that they</li> <li>were subjected to pressure from the PGA Tour similar to that expressed by other third-party vendors.</li> <li>253. LIV Golf negotiated with Provision Events, an event management company. On</li> <li>February 15, 2020 Provision Events told LIV Golf, "we feel like we can provide exactly what you need</li> </ul>			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	LIV Golf even for consideration due to its relationship with the PGA Tour. 251. LIV Golf tried to retain the Endeavor Company, which includes IMG, IMG Arena, IMG Media and WME, and despite interest in working with LIV Golf, they have told LIV Golf they cannot work with it because Tour Commissioner Monahan has impressed upon Ari Emmanuel (Endeavor CEO) and Mark Shapiro (Endeavor President) that Endeavor cannot work with LIV Golf. 252. Other vendors, like Intersport (event management company) and Aggreko (Power/HVAC) engaged with LIV Golf but backed out without explanation, likely indicating that they were subjected to pressure from the PGA Tour similar to that expressed by other third-party vendors. 253. LIV Golf negotiated with Provision Events, an event management company. On February 15, 2020 Provision Events told LIV Golf, "we feel like we can provide exactly what you need and our ambition would be to become your activation partner." Provision Events and LIV Golf corresponded regarding scope and arranged for a meeting to occur in March 2022. On March 10, 2022, 82			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>LIV Golf even for consideration due to its relationship with the PGA Tour.</li> <li>251. LIV Golf tried to retain the Endeavor Company, which includes IMG, IMG Arena, IMG</li> <li>Media and WME, and despite interest in working with LIV Golf, they have told LIV Golf they cannot</li> <li>work with it because Tour Commissioner Monahan has impressed upon Ari Emmanuel (Endeavor</li> <li>CEO) and Mark Shapiro (Endeavor President) that Endeavor cannot work with LIV Golf.</li> <li>252. Other vendors, like Intersport (event management company) and Aggreko</li> <li>(Power/HVAC) engaged with LIV Golf but backed out without explanation, likely indicating that they</li> <li>were subjected to pressure from the PGA Tour similar to that expressed by other third-party vendors.</li> <li>253. LIV Golf negotiated with Provision Events, an event management company. On</li> <li>February 15, 2020 Provision Events told LIV Golf, "we feel like we can provide exactly what you need</li> <li>and our ambition would be to become your activation partner." Provision Events and LIV Golf</li> </ul>			

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Provision Events emailed LIV Golf and informed LIV Golf without explanation that it could no longer work with LIV Golf.

254. To supply drug testing procedures for the competing athletes, LIV Golf contacted Drug Free Sport. A Drug Free Sport representative informed LIV Golf that it would have to take the prospect of doing business with LIV Golf to his boss because of Drug Free Sport's involvement with the Tour, but stated that "we do business with other organizations, not sure why this would be any different." After checking with the "boss," the Drug Free Sport representative responded to LIV Golf, "I've spoken to our CEO and given current headwinds in our space, we won't be able to engage at this time."

255. LIV Golf tried to negotiate with a golf shot technology company, Hawk Eye. Chris Wary of Hawk Eye emailed LIV Golf that "[u]pon careful consideration and following internal discussions, regrettably, at this point in time, we are not in a position to proceed any further with the potential delivery of these technologies due to conflict of interest with our existing relationships." The Tour is a Hawk Eye client.

256. LIV Golf tried to schedule events at a premier golf course, Sentosa Golf Club. Bob 15 Tan, Chairman of Sentosa Golf Club, informed LIV Golf that Dominic Wall of the R&A called him and informed him that Sentosa Golf Club would be excluded and shunned by the rest of the world of 16 17 golf if it worked with LIV Golf.

18 LIV Golf tried to engage Ticketmaster for ticketing at its events. Ticketmaster was 257. 19 prepared to work with LIV Golf until Ticketmaster pulled out of helping LIV Golf with ticket sales in 20 response to pressure from the PGA Tour.

21 258. LIV Golf tried to engage Pro Secrets, a yardage book company. Michael Etherington 22 of Pro Secrets informed LIV Golf that the PGA Tour had asked Pro Secrets to not work with LIV Golf.

23 259. LIV Golf tried to engage a company known as Cueto to provide software for organizing event volunteers. Cueto was prepared to work with LIV Golf until Cueto informed LIV Golf that it 24 25 cannot work with LIV Golf "because of the threat it received from the PGA Tour."

LIV Golf tried to order custom hats through American Needle hat company, and 26 260. 27 American needle informed LIV Golf that it does not want to do business with LIV Golf because of its 28 relationship with the PGA Tour and Augusta National.

#### 83 COMPLAINT - DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 85 of 106

LIV Golf tried to enter into a business relationship with Dick's Sporting Goods. In
 response, Dick's Sporting Goods informed LIV Golf that "[g]iven our relationship with the PGA Tour
 and our Tournament [PGA Tour Champions tournament], [] [Dick's Sporting Goods representatives]
 agree it's best to pass right now."

5 262. The PGA Tour threatened numerous golf courses with adverse consequences if they 6 hosted LIV Golf events. LIV Golf secured commitments from pristine high level courses, but the PGA 7 Tour and the R&A retaliated against the owners of the venues with which LIV Golf contracted. The 8 R&A punished one golf course owner by adopting a policy that it would not host The Open at his 9 course in the future because he is giving LIV Golf "a platform," and as the R&A is "firmly on the side 10 of the traditional Tours [PGA Tour and European Tour]." The Tour informed the same golf course 11 owner that it would never work with the Tour again because it had worked with LIV Golf.

12 263. In July 2022, LIV Golf's branding team, Czarnowski, terminated its relationship with
13 LIV Golf due to pressure from the PGA Tour.

264. The Tour threatened sponsors that they would lose opportunities to partner with the Tour if they worked with LIV Golf.

16

14

15

17

18

#### Relevant Market and the PGA Tour's Monopoly Position

265. The PGA Tour is a monopsonist in the national market for the services of professional golfers for elite golf events.

19 266. The relevant product market is the services of professional golfers for elite golf events. 20 A hypothetical monopsonist in this product market would have the power to suppress compensation for golfers substantially below competitive levels for a sustained period of time, because professional 21 22 golfers who sell their services for elite golf events have no reasonable substitute to which they could 23 plausibly turn in the event of a suppression of compensation below competitive levels. For example, 24 non-elite golf events do not offer the level of purse sizes, Major qualifying opportunities, public 25 platforms, sponsorship opportunities, OWGR ranking opportunities, or the other attributes of elite events that would make them viable options for professional golfers in the event that a hypothetical 26 27 monopsonist controlled the market for the purchase of services of elite golf events. This is 28 demonstrated in the evidence surrounding the PGA Tour, which has been able to impose subcompetitive compensation for elite golf events without losing a meaningful number of golfers to
 another type of sport or event.

3 The relevant geographic market for the product market is national; in the alternative, 267. 4 the market for each product is global in scope. A hypothetical monopsonist in the purchase of services 5 of professional golfers for elite events in the United States would have the power to suppress 6 compensation for golfers substantially below competitive levels for a sustained period of time, because 7 professional golfers would be unlikely to leave the country to pursue their profession in sufficient 8 numbers to make sub-competitive compensation unprofitable for a hypothetical monopsonist in the 9 United States. This is also demonstrated in the evidence surrounding the PGA Tour, which has been 10 able to impose sub-competitive compensation for its elite golf events in the United States without losing a meaningful number of golfers to golf tours in other countries. In the alternative, the relevant 11 12 geographic market is global. Under either formulation, the Tour has unquestioned monopsony power.

13 Until LIV Golf's nascent entry, the Tour was the only viable buyer of professional golfer 268. services in the relevant market for the purchase of services of professional golfers for elite golf events 14 15 because there is no reasonable substitute for playing on the Tour. The European Tour's participation in the market is limited to events it co-sanctions with the Tour and thus, while it could be a purchaser 16 17 of services of professional golfers for elite events in the United States, it has entered into an agreement 18 with the Tour to not even try to compete with it. And, in the alternative global market, the European 19 Tour is not a viable alternative to the Tour because it cannot compete with the Tour on purse size, 20 Major qualifying opportunities, OWGR rankings, public platforms, sponsorship opportunities and 21 other benefits, and, regardless, it has entered into an agreement not to compete with the Tour.

22 269. Until LIV Golf's nascent entry, the Tour offered earnings opportunities for professional 23 golfers that are many times greater than any other tour in the world through far greater prize pools and 24 opportunities to secure sponsorships. The Tour offers far greater opportunities for recognition and 25 exposure, on-course competition, and opportunity to accrue OWGR points than any other tour in the 26 world. Until LIV Golf's nascent entry, virtually every golfer who qualifies for membership on the 27 PGA Tour joined it. Until LIV Golf's nascent entry, all of the top 50 golfers in the world were members 28 of the PGA Tour.

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 87 of 106

270. The Tour's monopsony control of the purchase of services of professional golfers for
 elite golf events allows it to compensate players at substantially lower levels than professional golfers
 would earn in a competitive market, without risk of losing players to other promoters.

4 271. The Tour has used its monopsony power to impose anticompetitive regulations, notably
5 the Media Rights and Conflicting Events Regulations, which it forces on all of its Members and which
6 have the intent and effect of excluding competition.

7 272. Until LIV Golf's nascent entry, the Tour's share of the relevant market was 100 percent, 8 as all of the elite professional golfers in the United States (and the world) were members of the Tour. 9 Even after LIV Golf's entry-which the Tour's Commissioner has characterized as "irrational"-the 10 Tour's share of the relevant market is dominant, as measured by the Tour's share of purchases in the 11 services market for elite professional golf events. Indeed, all of the top golfers in the world, other than 12 those whom the Tour suspended, are locked into the PGA Tour. The Tour's monopsony power is also 13 reflected in the bonus pool, increased purses, new marquee high-purse events that the Tour established in response to the threat of entry by LIV Golf. The bonus pool and increased purses are direct evidence 14 15 of the Tour's monopsony power, as the Tour significantly raised its prices in response to LIV Golf's competitive entry. This evidence also shows that compensation for professional golfers for elite events 16 17 would be significantly greater in a competitive labor market.

18 The Tour excludes competition for independent contractor players to sell their services 273. 19 to others and manage their own name, image, and likeness because the Tour uses its market power to 20 prohibit them from doing so. The Tour's Media Rights and Conflicting Events Regulations prevent 21 competitors from acquiring the services of Tour members. And even when a rival is able to get Tour 22 members to play in its events, the Media Rights Regulation excludes competition because it prevents 23 the competing event from securing broadcast partners for its events. That the Tour is able to require 24 Plaintiffs and the Tour's other members to agree to these rules without guaranteed compensation for doing so is powerful evidence of the Tour's monopsony power. 25

26 274. The Majors are not substitutes for the PGA Tour in the market for services of elite
27 professional golfers. The Tour schedules its tournaments around the Majors and most qualifying
28 opportunities for the Majors are derived from the players' play in the Tour.

86 COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

## **Barriers To Entry To The Relevant Market**

2 275. The Tour's monopsony power in the market for the services of professional golfers for 3 elite golf events is protected by high barriers to entry. To enter this market, a competing elite 4 professional golf promoter needs to raise hundreds of millions of dollars in capital, recruit a sufficient 5 number of elite professional golfers to comprise a credible competing tour, arrange venues and 6 tournaments, arrange for television coverage of tournaments, recruit sponsors and advertisers, and 7 overcome the Tour's antitrust violations. It also needs to offer OWGR ranking points.

8 276. As the facts giving rise to this litigation attest, the Tour's Media Rights and Conflicting 9 Events Regulations restrict a competitor's ability to contract for the services of professional golfers for 10 elite golf events. Despite offering far greater prize money, and guaranteed compensation for 11 participating players, LIV Golf was only able to attract a minority of elite golf professionals and had 12 to pay excessively higher guaranteed payments to recruit a number of marquee players than would be 13 required in a competitive market.

14

15

16

17

18

1

277. The Tour's threats to Plaintiffs, its members, agencies, small businesses, and others, and the threats of those acting in concert with it, erect an additional and substantial barrier to entry. Any entity looking to enter the market relevant to this litigation now knows what it will face. As Commissioner Monahan put it, the Tour will impose costs on any potential entrant such that there will be "no possibility of a return" on the enormous investment it would take to attempt to enter the market.

19 278. The last prospective entrant before LIV Golf to garner any meaningful support from
20 players was the World Golf Tour in the mid-1990s. The Tour's Media Rights and Conflicting Events
21 Regulations precluded its entry in short order. After the World Golf Tour folded, there was no
22 meaningful threat of competitive entry for roughly a quarter-century. If the Tour's naked exercise of
23 its market power renders LIV Golf unable to sustain its efforts to enter the market, it would be
24 unreasonable to expect any attempt at competitive entry for the foreseeable future.

25 279. LIV Golf was able and prepared to enter the market before the anticompetitive conduct
26 of the Tour diminished its entry to what Commissioner Monahan dismissed as "exhibition matches"
27 that were acquired with a cost structure that offered "no possibility of a return." LIV Golf is as serious
28 a nascent entrant as the PGA Tour has ever encountered. If LIV Golf fails, there will be no alternatives

for the participants in elite professional golf events, fans, and sponsors of the game. They will be left with whatever the Tour chooses to offer.

280. Absent the Tour's anticompetitive conduct, LIV Golf would be an established and healthy competitor to the Tour in the market for the services of professional golfers for elite golf events. The Tour recognizes that LIV Golf "would be competitive to the PGA Tour." It denied LIV Golf access to Plaintiffs' and its other members' services because it viewed LIV Golf as a competitor.

281. Plaintiffs, other professional golfers and Tour members are participants in the restrained market because they sell their services to the PGA Tour and are thus subject to its monopsony power. In addition, the Plaintiffs, other professional golfers, and Tour members are the target of the Tour's anticompetitive scheme to destroy LIV Golf and monopolize the market.

### Anticompetitive Effects of the Tour's Conduct, Antitrust Injury, and Irreparable Harm

282. The Tour's conduct, including (1) unreasonably restrictive regulations, (2) threats of and now imposition of career-threatening bans, (3) suspensions of Plaintiffs and other members who played at LIV Golf events, (4) the promise it will visit the "same fate" on any member who follows their example, and (5) its exclusionary group boycott with other golfing bodies in the "ecosystem," all serve no purpose other than to thwart competitive entry and preserve the Tour's entrenched monopoly power. Faced with punishments of this nature, which could cause incalculable damage to players' careers, Plaintiffs have been denied their right as independent contractors to sell their services to buyers other than the PGA Tour. And they have been directly and irreparably harmed by being prevented from participating in events in which they have already qualified, including the FedEx Cup Playoffs. Many other players are effectively prevented from playing in LIV Golf events due to fear of punishment from the PGA Tour.

283. As a result, the fields LIV Golf has been able to attract are weaker than would have been the case in the absence of the punishments from the PGA Tour, because many golfers are simply unwilling to take on the risks of playing in even a single LIV Golf event. This threatens irreparable harm to the Plaintiffs because it threatens to permanently entrench the PGA Tour's monopsony power.

27 284. The punishments from the PGA Tour and others have forced LIV Golf to concentrate
28 funds towards increasing upfront payments, which has caused LIV Golf to scale down its entry plans

1

and offer fewer tournaments in 2022.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

285. It is Plaintiffs' understanding that while LIV Golf has the financial resources to make initial cash outlays to launch its product, the ongoing cash outlays significantly impact long-term viability of LIV Golf.

286. The risk that LIV Golf could be driven out of the marketplace only serves to make it more difficult for Plaintiffs to overcome the threat of punishments from the PGA Tour. It has been suggested that the actions of the PGA Tour and others have simply presented Plaintiffs with a "choice"-stay within the existing "ecosystem" or choose to switch to the LIV Golf series. But this is a false choice for several reasons.

10 287. As Commissioner Monahan admitted in his 2020 Memorandum, the Tour's Media Rights and Conflicting Events Regulations are intended to restrict its member players from offering 12 their services to others. The Tour's amendments of its Regulations and the procedures for members being released from them underscore the obvious: the Tour uses these provisions to create a roadblock to competition. The Conflicting Events and Media Rights Regulation serve no legitimate business 14 15 purpose.

288. The Tour's unlawful conduct has depressed professional golfer wages, denied Plaintiffs labor mobility, blunted the effective entry of the potential entrants into the market that could challenge the Tour's monopoly, decreased the output of elite professional golf events and tours, decreased opportunities for broadcast of elite professional golf, decreased opportunities for advertising and sponsoring surrounding professional golf, decreased output of elite professional golf entertainment for fans, and diluted LIV Golf's opportunity to compete in the elite professional golf marketplace.

The Tour's punishments have deprived Plaintiffs' opportunities to continue playing on 289. the Tour, earning deserved compensation, earning opportunities into Majors, sponsorship relationships and revenue, and future opportunities to play and earn on the Tour. The Tour's punishments have also caused irreparable harm to Plaintiffs' goodwill, reputation, and brand. The Tour has denied Player Plaintiff Gooch, Swafford and Jones entry into the FedEx Cup Playoffs, which they have earned through their performance.

28

290. LIV Golf sought to secure commitments from players by March 2022 to establish its

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 91 of 106

1

5

6

7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

League for the summer 2022. The Tour's anticompetitive conduct caused top professional golfers not 2 to sign up. The Tour's conduct denied Plaintiffs and other Tour members compensation they would 3 earned from the LIV Golf League. Its anticompetitive conduct diminished competition, reduced marketwide output, and put LIV Golf League on the shelf for 2022. 4

291. Moreover, the Tour's threats of possible punishment for violating its Regulations and its actual punishments have caused even further foreclosure and have caused LIV Golf to employ a cost structure that significantly impacts its long-term viability.

8 The Tour's Regulations, unilateral and coordinated threats of lifetime bans, and 292. 9 imposition of career-threatening punishment have scared off the large majority of elite professional 10 golfers and other participants in elite professional golf events and have caused LIV Golf to employ a 11 cost structure that significantly impacts its long-term viability.

12 293. The Tour's conduct has substantially diminished and impaired the entry of the 13 promoters that could meaningfully threaten the PGA Tour's monopoly, which has stood unchallenged 14 for decades. Its conduct has denied LIV Golf the opportunity to pursue its innovative business model 15 in 2022. Its conduct decreased elite professional golf tournaments in 2022 and 2023 as LIV Golf was required to change its model and allocate further capital to try to overcome the Tour's Regulations and 16 17 threats.

294. The Tour's conduct has harmed Plaintiffs as they have been suspended from what the Tour calls the "preeminent" golf association in the world for exercising their right as independent contractors to pursue their livelihood, sell their services to buyers other than the incumbent monopolist, and expand their sponsorship opportunities.

295. The Tour's conduct has also harmed Plaintiffs as they have lost sponsorship opportunities and other business opportunities as a result of the Tour's pressure on sponsors and other entities with which the Plaintiffs do business.

25 296. If the Tour's unlawful conduct is not enjoined, the harm to Plaintiffs will be permanent and irreparable. While LIV Golf has partially entered the market at great expense, it has done so on 26 27 terms that the Tour recognizes are "irrational." For competition for Plaintiffs' services, the Tour's Regulations frustrating the labor mobility of its members and tying up their media rights must be 28

enjoined.

297. If the Tour can force LIV Golf out of the relevant market, the Tour's monopsony will be cemented for many years to come, and immune to even attempted entry. Injunctive relief is necessary to restore competition for Tour members' services and to innovate the game that the Tour, only in theory, promises to support. All of the equities and the public interest support such relief. Otherwise, the harm to competition will be irreversible and permanent.

# **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

# COUNT I: Unlawful Monopsonization of the market for ELITE GOLF EVENT SERVICES in Violation of Sherman Act § 2 (15 U.S.C. § 2)

298. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations of all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth in this Count I.

299. At all relevant times, the Tour has had monopsony power over the market for the services of professional golfers for elite golf events in the United States (or, alternatively, in the world).

300. The Tour has willfully maintained and abused its monopsony power through anticompetitive conduct, including, among other things, by: (1) threatening to expel and impose a lifetime ban on all players who contract with LIV Golf; (2) imposing unreasonable and anticompetitive restrictions on players' ability to sell their independent contractor services, including the Media Rights Regulation and Conflicting Events Regulation in the Regulations, which have the effect of foreclosing competition; (3) threatening to enforce the terms of the Regulations beyond their meaning to deny players the freedom to play in competing tours; (4) enforcing the terms of the Regulations to deny Plaintiffs' competitive opportunities; (5) threatening to harm other agencies, businesses or individuals who would otherwise work with Plaintiffs and/or LIV Golf; and (6) suspending and punishing Plaintiffs for playing in LIV Golf and supporting it, all in order to punish and harm Plaintiffs, to prevent competition for their services, and to prevent LIV Golf from launching a competitive elite professional golf tour.

301. The anticompetitive actions of the PGA Tour do not further any procompetitive goals and are not reasonably necessary to achieve any legitimate procompetitive benefits.

28

302. The PGA Tour's exclusionary conduct has unreasonably restrained competition in the

### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 93 of 106

market for services of professional golfers for elite golf events by:

- Preventing vigorous competition for elite professional golfer services;
- Suspending Plaintiffs for playing professional golf;
- Preventing LIV Golf from contracting with agencies, vendors, sponsors, advertisers and players needed to offer an elite professional golf entertainment product;
- Impacting competition in contracting for the services of elite professional golfers;
- Depressing compensation for the services of elite professional golfers below competitive levels;
- Decreasing the output of elite professional golfer services opportunities;
- Denying Plaintiffs the right to have free agency for their independent contractor services;
- Interfering with Plaintiffs' and others' contractual negotiations with LIV Golf;
- Interfering with LIV Golf's contractual negotiations with agencies, sponsors, venues, vendors, broadcasters, and partners to work with LIV Golf; and
- Preventing LIV Golf from promoting elite professional golf to fans.

303. As a result of the PGA Tour's anticompetitive conduct, Plaintiffs have been and will
continue to be harmed in their business or property; competition in the relevant market will be harmed;
the PGA Tour will unlawfully maintain its monopoly position; and players, consumers, and other
stakeholders will be harmed.

304. Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be irreparably harmed by the PGA Tour's
unlawful conduct such that Plaintiffs need expedited injunctive relief in order to stop immediately the
PGA Tour's threats and imposition of onerous punishments on professional athletes to thwart LIV
Golf's entry and maintain the PGA Tour's monopoly and an order enjoining enforcement of the PGA
Tour's anticompetitive Regulations.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

305. The PGA Tour's anticompetitive acts violate Section 2 of the Sherman Act.

306. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief, monetary damages, treble damages, costs of this suit,
reasonable attorney's fees, and interest pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 15(a), 26 and any other relief this
Court deems just and proper under Count I.

92 COMPLAINT – DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

# Count II: Unlawful Restraint of Trade in Violation of Sherman Act § 1 (15 U.S.C. § 1) [Group Boycott]

307. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations of all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth in this Count II.

308. The PGA Tour has unlawfully reached an agreement, with the purpose to eliminate competition, with the European Tour (and possibly others) to not compete for players' services and to prevent the entry of LIV Golf into the market for the services of professional golfers for elite golf events. Specifically, the PGA Tour and the European Tour have agreed to engage in a group boycott of LIV Golf, other potential competitors, golfers (like Plaintiffs) who agree to play in LIV Golf's league, and any other person or entity who seeks to partner with LIV Golf, to harm competition for the services of professional golfers for elite golf events.

309. The actions of the Tour and the European Tour make clear that they had a conscious commitment to a common scheme: to prevent the entry of new competitors into the market. The unlawful agreement is evidenced by the actions and statements of the Tour and the European Tour, as set forth in this Complaint, including:

- The Tour admitted the existence of an unlawful agreement with the European Tour. In his Monopoly Manifesto describing the PGA Tour's plan to foreclose new entry, Commissioner Monahan explained that this alliance with the European Tour was aimed at removing the European Tour as a potential partner for a new entrant: "We have continued discussions with the European Tour about the potential to work more closely together, thereby removing the European Tour as a potential partner of" a new entrant;
  - As Mr. Pelley detailed, in November 2020 the European Tour and the PGA Tour ceased competing with each other for players' services, and instead formed an illegal alliance to eliminate new competition for players' services;
  - Following the agreement, in November 2020, the European Tour announced it would not partner with PGL;
  - Pursuant to its illegal alliance, the European Tour agreed not to partner with LIV

Golf despite recognizing the "fit and appeal" of partnering with LIV Golf because the European Tour had contracted with the Tour and could not upset the "US PGA mighty power;"

- The Tour and the European Tour then took steps in furtherance of their scheme, including threatening all players with lifetime bans if they competed in the PGL and, later, LIV Golf, tournaments; and
- In addition, the Tour and European Tour agreed to suspend players who competed in LIV Golf tournaments.

310. The Tour used its Regulations to implement the unlawful agreement and achieve the anticompetitive purpose of the agreement, harming Plaintiffs and competition.

After the agreement was reached, the Tour enforced its unlawful Regulations and 311. 12 proceeded to suspend Plaintiffs for violating the Regulations. Further evidencing the agreement that 13 was in fact reached, the Tour enforced the Regulations in a way that they had not been enforced 14 previously. Historically, the Tour permitted members to associate with multiple tours simultaneously 15 and routinely granted releases for golfers to compete in non-Tour affiliated tournaments. In contrast, the Tour denied all releases for LIV Golf events and imposed effective career-ending suspensions on 16 Plaintiffs. The Tour made clear its enforcement of these Regulations is intended to destroy the entry 17 18 of LIV Golf, harming the Plaintiffs and competition as a whole in the process. Likewise, as detailed 19 in this Complaint, the European Tour has departed from its longstanding practices regarding conflicting 20 events to align with the Tour in furtherance of their agreement to act jointly to exclude LIV Golf and punish the Plaintiffs and other golfers who play in LIV Golf events.

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

312. Professional golfers (including Plaintiffs) are essential to the Tour's scheme to eliminate competition in the market. As Commissioner Monahan admitted: "The impact that [the new league] could have on the PGA TOUR is dependent on the level of support it may receive from these players. Without this support, [the new league's] ability to attract media and corporate partners will be significantly marginalized and its impact on the TOUR diminished."

Plaintiffs are the pawns (and targets) used to effectuate the group boycott and eliminate 313. competition in the market for the services of professional golfers for elite golf events; the Plaintiffs' 28

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 96 of 106

suspensions are a necessary means to accomplish the Tour's anticompetitive scheme.

314. The agreements constitute unreasonable restraints of trade that are per se illegal under Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1. The agreement constitutes a group boycott orchestrated by a monopolist that is expressly aimed at foreclosing the entry of the only viable alternative to the Tour into the relevant market. No elaborate analysis is required to demonstrate the anticompetitive character of this group boycott.

315. The agreements are also unreasonable restraints of trade that are unlawful under Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, under the rule of reason analytical framework. The principal tendency of the agreement is to restrain competition, reinforce the market power of the PGA Tour, defeat the nascent entry of LIV Golf, and eliminate competition in the relevant market. This harmed Plaintiffs and other professional golfers by eliminating competition in the market for their services and also restricted competition in the market generally. The agreement between the Tour and the European Tour to lock arms in a joint effort to foreclose competitive entry lacks any legitimate procompetitive justifications.

316. As a result of the PGA Tour's anticompetitive conduct, Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be harmed in their business or property; competition in the relevant market will be harmed; the PGA Tour will unlawfully maintain its monopoly position; and Plaintiffs, LIV Golf, consumers, and other stakeholders will be harmed.

317. Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be irreparably harmed by the PGA Tour's unlawful conduct such that Plaintiffs need expedited injunctive relief in order to stop the PGA Tour's unlawful conduct.

22

27

28

318. The PGA Tour's anticompetitive acts violate Section 1 of the Sherman Act.

319. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief, monetary damages, treble damages, costs of this suit, reasonable attorney's fees, and interest pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 15(a) and 26, and any other relief this Court deems just and proper under Count II.

**Count III:** Unlawful Agreement to Restrain Trade in Violation of the Cartwright Act (Cal. **Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 16720(a), 16726) [Group Boycott]** 

320. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations of all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth in this Count III.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

21

23

24

2 321. The PGA Tour has unlawfully agreed with the European Tour (and potentially others) 3 "[t]o create or carry out restrictions in trade or commerce." Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 16720(a), 16726. 4 Moreover, the Tour's violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act necessarily constitutes a violation of 5 the Cartwright Act.

322. The Tour operates six annual tournaments in the state of California, owns golf courses in California, committed multiple acts in furtherance of its unlawful group boycott in California, cohosted a tournament with the European Tour in California from which it banned any golfers who participated in a LIV Golf event, harmed California resident golfers (including Plaintiff Mickelson), and harmed competition for professional golfers' services for elite events in California. Moreover, the law and public policy of other affected states is materially similar to the law of California.

12 The Tour has unlawfully agreed with the European Tour to not compete for players' 323. services and to act jointly to prevent the entry of LIV Golf into the market for the services of 14 professional golfers for elite golf events. Specifically, the PGA Tour and the European Tour agreed to 15 boycott LIV Golf, players who work with LIV Golf, and any other person or entity that seeks to partner with LIV Golf. The Tour entered an agreement with the European Tour so as to-as Commissioner 16 17 Monahan vowed—"remov[e] the European Tour as a potential partner" of a new entrant like LIV Golf.

18 324. The PGA Tour and the European Tour have taken acts in furtherance of their unlawful 19 boycott. For instance, the European Tour has agreed to suspend and punish golfers for playing in LIV 20 Golf, to no longer compete with the PGA Tour for players' services, and to not partner with LIV Golf or other potential entrants. The two tours have unlawfully agreed to deny (and taken steps to deny) 22 golfers who play in LIV Golf events the opportunity to play in the tours' co-sanctioned events (including the event they co-sanctioned in California), and to unfairly punish independent contractors for playing with a competitor promoter.

25 325. The Tour's agreement with the European Tour has the illegal purpose to eliminate a competitor and future potential entrants. In particular, the Tour seeks to deny LIV Golf access to the 26 27 services of professional golfers for elite golf events along with the other partners and inputs necessary to compete for the services of professional golfers for elite golf events. The two tours have also 28

unlawfully agreed to not compete for players' services in order to suppress wages and decrease output of opportunities.

326. The tours' agreement constitutes an unreasonable restraint of trade that is per se illegal under California Business and Professions Code §§ 16720(a), 16726. The agreement constitutes a group boycott orchestrated by a monopolist that is expressly aimed at foreclosing the entry of the only viable alternative to the Tour into the relevant market. No elaborate analysis is required to demonstrate the anticompetitive character of this group boycott.

8 The agreement also constitutes an unreasonable restraint of trade that is unlawful under 327. 9 California Business and Professions Code §§ 16720(a), 16726, under a rule-of-reason analysis. The 10 principal tendency of the agreements is to restrain competition, reinforce the market power of the PGA Tour, and seriously hamper (or outright defeat) the competitive effectiveness and prospective entry of 11 12 LIV Golf-by harming professional golfers like Plaintiffs and thus eliminating competition for their 13 services-the only viable alternative in the relevant market, and thereby harm competition in the relevant market. This harmed Plaintiffs and other professional golfers by eliminating competition in 14 15 the market for their services and also restricted competition in the market generally. The agreement lacks any legitimate procompetitive justifications, because, among other things, the group boycott 16 17 deprives Plaintiff Mickelson and other Plaintiffs of means to practice their profession that are so 18 essential that they are necessary to compete effectively in the sport of professional golf.

As a result of the PGA Tour's anticompetitive conduct, Plaintiffs have been and will 328. continue to be harmed in their business or property; competition in the relevant market will be harmed; the PGA Tour and the European Tour will unlawfully maintain their monopoly position and unlawful boycott; and Plaintiffs, LIV Golf, consumers, and other stakeholders will be harmed.

329. As a result of the PGA Tour's anticompetitive conduct, Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be irreparably harmed such that they need preliminary and permanent injunctive relief in 25 order to stop immediately the PGA Tour's unlawful conduct.

The PGA Tour's anticompetitive acts violate the Cartwright Act. Cal. Bus. & Prof. 330. Code §§ 16720(a), 16726.

28

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief, monetary damages, treble damages, costs of this suit, 331.

reasonable attorney's fees, and interest pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 16750(a), and any other relief this Court deems just and proper under Count III.

#### Count IV: **Breach of Contract**

332. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations of all preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth in this Count IV.

333. On various dates, Plaintiffs and the Tour entered into a contract when the Plaintiffs submitted their respective membership applications and membership renewal applications and agreed to be bound by the Tour's Regulations. The Regulations (other than those described in this Complaint that violate the antitrust laws and therefore are unenforceable) are a legally binding agreement by and between Plaintiffs, respectively and individually, and the Tour. Plaintiffs maintain that provisions within the Regulations are not enforceable, but the provision the Tour has breached is not one of those provisions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

334. Plaintiffs performed all enforceable provisions in the Tour's Regulations and have complied with all obligations under the Tour's Disciplinary Process detailed in the Tour's Regulations.

335. The Tour breached Section VII.E.2 of the Regulations because it failed to abate Plaintiffs' suspensions pending their appeals of the Tour's Disciplinary Actions. Section VII.E.2 provides that "[a]n appeal shall operate to stay the effective date of any penalty, except suspension from a tournament then in progress or scheduled for the calendar week in which the alleged violation occurred, until after the final decision on the appeal." Exhibit 1. The Tour was thus required to honor some Plaintiffs' requests to participate in Tour events, including the FedEx Cup Playoff events, occurring while Plaintiffs' appeals to the Tour's Appeals Committees remained pending.

336. The Tour's breach caused Plaintiffs to incur substantial damages in the form of irreparable harm (in the form of loss of FedEx Cup ranking points, loss of OWGR ranking points, loss of career opportunities, loss of goodwill, and reputational harm), loss of income-earning opportunities, loss of retirement-plan payments, consequential damages, expenses, and costs.

#### **Count V:** Declaratory Judgment that the Tour's Suspensions of Plaintiffs Violated Their **Right to Fair Procedure**

337. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the allegations of all preceding paragraphs as

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 100 of 106

though fully set forth in this Count V.

338. The Tour is a gatekeeper organization that possesses a monopsony over the services of professional golfers for elite golf events. The Tour's suspension of the Plaintiffs thereby affects the public interest.

339. By virtue of its unlawful regulations and the suspensions, the Tour has exiled Plaintiffs from their chosen profession, thwarting their ability to earn income, including prize monies, bonuses, deferred payments, endorsement deals and sponsorships. The Tour has simultaneously damaged Plaintiffs' goodwill and caused them substantial reputational harm.

340. The PGA Tour's suspension of the Plaintiffs adversely affects their respective substantial property, contract, and other economic rights.

341. The Tour's procedures for imposing career-threatening indefinite suspensions are inadequate, substantively irrational, and procedurally unfair on numerous bases, including:

- The Tour's Commissioner imposed the suspensions to penalize Plaintiffs for participating in golf tournaments sponsored by LIV Golf in order to further the Tour's unlawful objective of foreclosing competition;
- The Plaintiffs are denied any hearing or meaningful opportunity to respond to the charges against them considering the severity of the sanctions imposed;
- The Plaintiffs are denied any review by an impartial decision maker. Instead, their appeals would be decided by a three-person appeals committee, composed of members of the Tour's Policy Board—*i.e.*, the same Tour leadership that has been engaged in a public and well-documented vendetta against anything and everything related to LIV Golf for the last two years;
- The suspensions imposed on the Plaintiffs are substantively irrational because they are
   premised on violations of unlawful Regulations, which are designed to achieve, have of
   the effect of achieving, and have been wielded in a discriminatory manner to further the
   Tour's anticompetitive end; and
  - The Tour has refused to honor its Regulations that require it to stay suspensions pending Plaintiffs' appeals of the career-threatening, long-term and indefinite suspensions—

#### Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 08/03/22 Page 101 of 106 which is particularly unfair in light of Plaintiffs' serious allegations against the unlawful 1 2 nature of the Regulations they allegedly violated and the severity of their sanctions. 3 342. The PGA Tour acted maliciously and in bad faith in imposing the suspensions upon 4 Plaintiffs. 5 343. The PGA Tour's suspensions violated the Plaintiffs' right to fair procedure under 6 California and Florida law. 7 344. The Plaintiffs seek relief declaring that the procedures used by the PGA Tour in 8 suspending the Plaintiffs were unlawful, and enjoining the PGA Tour from enforcing those 9 suspensions. 10 345. The relief sought by the Plaintiffs arises in a case of actual controversy within the 11 Court's jurisdiction, will settle substantial aspects of the controversy between the Plaintiffs and the 12 PGA Tour, and is appropriate for judgment under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C.A. § 2201 13 et seq. 14 **JURY DEMAND** 15 346. Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury of all issues so triable. 16 **RELIEF REQUESTED** 17 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs hereby request that the Honorable Court award the following 18 preliminary injunctive relief against the PGA TOUR: 19 Stay and enjoin the PGA Tour's suspension and sanctions imposed on Plaintiffs; a. 20 b. Prevent the PGA Tour from banning or threatening to ban from the PGA Tour 21 (and its affiliated Tour) players who talk to, contract with, play in, or associate 22 with LIV Golf; 23 c. Prevent the PGA Tour from expelling players from the PGA Tour (and its 24 affiliated Tour) or PGA Tour tournaments who talk to, contract with, play in, or 25 associate with LIV Golf; 26 d. Prevent the PGA Tour from threatening or imposing any other punishments or 27 otherwise harming or threatening to harm anyone who talks to, contracts with, 28 or associates with LIV Golf; 100COMPLAINT - DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

- e. Prevent the PGA Tour from conspiring or unlawfully agreeing with the European Tour to ban or threaten to ban players from participating in European Tour events or participating in the Ryder Cup for talking to, contracting with, playing in, or associating with LIV Golf;
- f. Prevent the PGA Tour from pressuring or coordinating with the R&A, Masters, and/or PGA of America (or others), to punish, exclude or threaten to exclude players otherwise eligible under current eligibility rules from participating in golf events (including the Majors);
- g. Prevent the PGA Tour from amending its rules to prevent players otherwise eligible from playing in PGA Tour events or co-sponsored events, such as the FedEx Cup, the WGC tournaments, the Tournament of Champions, the Players, the Memorial, the Arnold Palmer Invitational, the Genesis Invitational, AT&T Pebble Beach Open, and the Presidents Cup;
- h. Prevent the PGA Tour from enforcing its unlawful restrictions on independentcontractor golfers, including the Media Rights Regulation and the Conflicting Events Regulation;
  - i. Prevent the PGA Tour from amending its rules to prevent players otherwise eligible from playing in PGA Tour events or co-sponsored events; and
  - j. Prevent the PGA Tour from applying rules beyond their meaning to punish players who associate with LIV Golf, or otherwise to thwart competition.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs hereby request that the Honorable Court award the following permanent injunctive relief against the PGA TOUR:

- a. Stay and enjoin the PGA Tour's suspension and sanctions imposed on Plaintiffs;
- Enjoin the PGA Tour from banning or threatening to ban from the PGA Tour (and its affiliated Tour) players who talk to, contract with, play in, or associate with LIV Golf;

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

c.	Enjoin the PGA Tour from expelling players from the PGA Tour (and its
	affiliated Tour) or PGA Tour tournaments who talk to, contract with, play in, or
	associate with LIV Golf;

- Enjoin the PGA Tour from threatening or imposing any other punishments or otherwise harming or threatening to harm anyone who talks to, contracts with, or associates with LIV Golf;
- e. Enjoin the PGA Tour from conspiring or unlawfully agreeing with the European Tour to ban or threaten to ban players from participating in European Tour events or participating in the Ryder Cup for talking to, contracting with, playing in, or associating with LIV Golf;
- f. Enjoin the PGA Tour from agreeing, contracting or threatening the R&A, Masters, and/or PGA of America (or others), to punish, exclude or threaten to exclude players otherwise eligible under current eligibility rules from participating in golf events (including the Majors);
  - g. Enjoin the PGA Tour from amending its rules to prevent players otherwise eligible from playing in PGA Tour events or co-sponsored events, such as the FedEx Cup, the WGC tournaments, the Tournament of Champions, the Players, the Memorial, the Arnold Palmer Invitational, the Genesis Invitational, AT&T Pebble Beach Open, and the Presidents Cup;
    - Enjoin the PGA Tour from enforcing its unlawful restrictions on independentcontractor golfers, including the Media Rights Regulation and the Conflicting Events Regulation;
    - i. Enjoin the PGA Tour from amending its rules to prevent players otherwise eligible from playing in PGA Tour events or co-sponsored events; and
    - j. Enjoin the PGA Tour from applying rules beyond their meaning to punish players who associate with LIV Golf, or otherwise to thwart competition.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs request that this Honorable Court:

- Adjudge and decree that the PGA Tour is unlawfully maintaining its monopoly over the market for the services of professional golfers for elite golf events in violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Act;
- Adjudge and decree that the PGA Tour unreasonably restrained trade in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act when it entered agreement with the European Tour to boycott LIV Golf and potential competitors and those who associate with LIV Golf to try to prevent competition for professional golfers' services;
- c. Adjudge and decree that the PGA Tour violated California's Cartwright Act (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 16720(a), 16726);
- d. Adjudge and decree that the PGA Tour's punishment denied Plaintiffs fair procedure;
- e. Adjudge and decree that the PGA Tour breached its Regulations when it refused to abate Plaintiffs' suspensions pending their respective appeals;
  - f. Award Plaintiffs monetary damages, treble damages, and economic damages;
  - g. Award Plaintiffs their costs in this action, including attorneys' fees; and
  - h. Award Plaintiffs any further relief as may be just and proper.

1 DATED: August 3, 2022 Respectfully submitted, 2 By: Rachel S. Brass 3 RACHEL S. BRASS, SBN 219301 rbrass@gibsondunn.com 4 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 5 San Francisco, California 94105-0921 Telephone: 415.393.8200 6 Facsimile: 415.393.8306 7 ROBERT C. WALTERS, pro hac vice forthcoming rwalters@gibsondunn.com 8 SCOTT K. HVIDT, pro hac vice forthcoming shvidt@gibsondunn.com 9 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 2100 10 Dallas, Texas 75201-2911 Telephone: 214.698.3100 11 JOSHUA LIPTON, pro hac vice forthcoming 12 ilipton@gibsondunn.com KRISTEN C. LIMARZI, pro hac vice forthcoming 13 klimarzi@gibsondunn.com GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 14 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5306 15 Telephone: 202.955.8500 JOHN B. QUINN, SBN 90378 16 johnquinn@quinnemanuel.com 17 DOMINIC SURPRENANT, SBN 165861 dominicsurprenant@quinnemmanuel.com 18 **KEVIN TERUYA, SBN 235916** kevinteruya@quinnemanuel.com 19 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN LLP 20 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017 21 Telephone: 213.443.3000 22 ROBERT P. FELDMAN, SBN 69602 bobfeldman@quinnemanuel.com 23 555 Twin Dolphin Dr., 5th Floor Redwood Shores, California 94065 24 Telephone: 650.801.5000 25 Facsimile: 650.801.5100 26 Attornevs for Plaintiffs Talor Gooch, Hudson Swafford, Matt Jones, Bryson DeChambeau, Abraham Ancer, 27 Carlos Ortiz. Ian Poulter. Pat Perez. Jason Kokrak and 28 Peter Uihlein 104 COMPLAINT - DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486

	Case 3:22-cv-04486 Document 1 Filed 0	)8/03/22 Page 106 of 106			
1	1				
2					
	DATED: August 3, 2022 BAKER N	McKENZIE LLP			
3					
4	By: William V. Roppolo				
5	5 Willia	William V. Roppolo			
6	WILLIAM V. ROPPOLO, pro hac vice forthcoming				
7	william.ropplo@bakermckenzie.com JODI A. AVILA, pro hac vice forthcoming				
8	jodi.avila@bakermckenzie.com BAKER McKENZIE LLP				
9	1111 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1700				
10	Miami, Florida 33131 USA Telephone: 305.789.8900				
11	JEFFREY MARTINO, SBN 222805				
12	jeffrey.martino@bakermckenzie.com BAKER McKENZIE LLP				
13					
14		x, NY 10018 e: 212.626.4100			
15	15				
16	Attorneys for Phil Mickelson				
17	17				
18	*****	* *			
19	19 ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO	CIVIL LOCAL RULE 5-1			
20	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(h)(3) of the	e Northern District of California, I attest that			
21	concurrence in the filing of the document has been obt	concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories to this			
22	document.				
23	23				
24		DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP			
25	25 Bu				
26	By:	/s/ Rachel S. Brass Rachel S. Brass			
27					
28					
	105				
	COMPLAINT – DEMAND				
	CASE NO. 3:22-cv-04486				